

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**Advisory Committee for Engineering;
Notice of Meeting**

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: Advisory Committee for Engineering (1170).

Date and Time: February 22; 9:00 a.m.—4:00 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 970, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Janie M. Fouke, Division Director, Division of Bioengineering and Environmental Systems, Room 565, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Telephone: (703) 306-1320.

Purpose of Meeting: To carry out Committee of Visitors (COV) review, including examination of decisions on proposals, reviewer comments, and other privileged materials.

Agenda: To provide oversight review of the Bioengineering and Environmental Systems Division.

Reason for Closing: The meeting is closed to the public because the Committee is reviewing proposal actions that will include privileged intellectual property and personal information that could harm individuals if they were disclosed. If discussions were open to the public, these matters that are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act would be improperly disclosed.

Dated: January 29, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-2147 Filed 1-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION****The Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995**

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: President Clinton recently signed into law the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (the "Act"), which requires some individuals and entities who lobby "covered" Federal officials to register with Congress and file semiannual reports describing their lobbying activities.

For purposes of the Act, NRC "covered" officials are limited to the Members of the Commission and their personal staffs, the Inspector General, the Executive Director for Operations, the General Counsel and the Directors of

the Offices of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards and Nuclear Regulatory Research.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daryl M. Shapiro, Office of the General Counsel at 301-415-1600.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of January, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John C. Hoyle,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 96-1862 Filed 1-31-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-275]**Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant,
Unit No. 1; Notice of Consideration of
Issuance of Amendment to Facility
Operating License, Proposed No
Significant Hazards Consideration
Determination, and Opportunity for a
Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-80 issued to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the licensee) for operation of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1, located in San Luis Obispo County, California.

The proposed amendment would revise the combined Technical Specifications (TS) for the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, to allow operation of Unit 1 in Mode 3 (Hot Standby) during installation of a replacement nonvital auxiliary transformer 1-1. Specifically, TS 3/4.8.1.1, "Electrical Power Systems—A.C. Sources—Operating," Action Statement (a), would be revised to permit a one-time extension of the allowed outage time (AOT) from 72 hours to 120 hours.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a

margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

A probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) evaluation shows that the probability of a loss of off site power duration is increased slightly by the allowed outage time (AOT) increase from 72 to 120 hours. The core damage probability is 1.2 E-7 for the total 120 hour AOT. Based on EPRI/NEI [Electric Power Research Institute/Nuclear Energy Institute] guidance, this increase is not considered significant.

The consequences of the 230 kV system loss are not affected by increasing the AOT of the 500 kV system. Additionally, the consequences of the potential event are mitigated by the compensatory measures taken to assure the reliability of the remaining power sources.

Therefore, the proposed change does not significantly increase the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not affect the method of operating any equipment at Diablo Canyon Power Plant. Additionally, the proposed extension of the AOT does not result in a physical modification to any equipment.

Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

A PRA evaluation has shown that the impact of extending the AOT has no significant impact on core damage frequency. Additionally, compensatory measures have been implemented to minimize the potential of losing the 230 kV system.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period.