

adjustment shall be calculated in accordance with Section II c(i) and (ii) of the suspension agreement.

With respect to the use of duty drawback, the Department verified that the amount received was not in excess of the import duties paid on physically incorporated inputs. Thus, the signatories were not in violation. (See verification report dated June 1, 1995).

Finally, the participation in the international trade promotion fund by four signatories does not confer a benefit because the Department verified that the signatories paid their own expenses. Furthermore, the Department has never determined this program to be countervailable.

#### *Comment 2*

ECS wants assurance that any benefits found by the Department during the period of review are repaid to the RTG in order to reverse any benefits received by the Thai yarn producers during the POR.

#### *Department's Position*

As stated above, the Department will require that Thai Melon repay the amount in which the tax certificate exceeds the import duties on physically incorporated inputs. If Thai Melon fails to comply with this requirement, the Department will have grounds to determine that the signatory has violated the agreement.

#### *Comment 3*

ECS urges the Department to maintain close scrutiny over the administration of the agreement so that the U.S. industry can be assured that the subsidies found by the Department will be repaid to the RTG and that such benefits will not continue in the future.

#### *Department's Position*

The Department will continue to closely monitor the administration of the agreement in order to ensure that the excess amount of the tax certificate is repaid and that the signatories do not receive any benefits in the future that would constitute a violation of the agreement.

#### *Final Results of Review*

For the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993, we determine that the signatories were not in violation of the suspension agreement.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance

with 19 C.F.R. 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)(1994)) and 19 CFR 3.5.5.22 (1994).

Dated: December 14, 1995.  
Susan G. Esserman,  
*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*  
[FR Doc. 96-1455 Filed 1-26-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee; Closed Meeting**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Closed meeting of U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee (the "Committee") advises U.S. Government officials on matters relating to the implementation of the Fair Trade in Auto Parts Act of 1988. The Committee: (1) reports annually to the Secretary of Commerce on barriers to sales of U.S.-made auto parts and accessories in Japanese markets; (2) assists the Secretary in reporting to the Congress on the progress of sales of U.S.-made auto parts in Japanese markets, including the formation of long-term supplier relationships; (3) reviews and considers data collected on sales of U.S.-made auto parts to Japanese markets; (4) advises the Secretary during consultations with the Government of Japan on these issues; and (5) assists in establishing priorities for the Department's initiatives to increase U.S.-made auto parts sales to Japanese markets, and otherwise provide assistance and direction to the Secretary in carrying out these initiatives. At the meeting, committee members will discuss specific trade and sales expansion programs related to U.S.-Japan automotive parts policy.

**DATES AND LOCATION:** The meeting will be held on February 22, 1996 from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Washington, D.C.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Robert Reck, Office of Automotive Affairs, Trade Development, Room 4036, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-1418.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Assistant Secretary for Administration,

with the concurrence of the General Counsel formally determined on July 5, 1994, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Act, as amended, that the series of meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee and of any subcommittee thereof, dealing with privileged or confidential commercial information may be exempt from the provisions of the Act relating to open meeting and public participation therein because these items are concerned with matters that are within the purview of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (9) (B). A copy of the Notice of Determination is available for public inspection and copying in the Department of Commerce Records Inspection Facility, Room 6020, Main Commerce.

Dated: January 22, 1996.  
Henry P. Misco,  
*Director, Office of Automotive Affairs.*  
[FR Doc. 96-1459 Filed 1-26-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

#### **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

[I.D. 011796A]

#### **North Pacific Fishery Management Council; Committee Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Pacific Northwest Crab Industry Advisory Committee (PNCIAC), an advisory committee to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold a meeting.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on February 27, 1996, beginning at 9:00 a.m., and will end at approximately 5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at Leif Erikson Hall, 2245 NW 57th St, Seattle, WA.

*Council address:* North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Arni Thomson, Alaska Crab Coalition, 206-547-7560.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The PNCIAC will review Alaska crab fishery issues and proposed changes to current regulations, and develop recommendations to be forwarded to the Alaska Board of Fisheries and the Council.

**Special Accommodations**

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Arni Thomson (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**) at least 5 working days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: January 22, 1996.

Richard W. Surdi,

*Acting Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 96-1564 Filed 1-26-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-F**

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION****Applications of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange for Designation as a Contract Market in Futures and Options on the CME Argentine Brady Bond Index and the CME Brazilian Brady Bond Index**

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of the terms and conditions of proposed commodity futures and option contracts.

**SUMMARY:** The Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME or Exchange) has applied for designation as a contract market in futures and futures options on the CME Argentine Brady Bond Index and futures and futures options on the CME Brazilian Brady Bond Index. The Acting Director of the Division of Economic Analysis (Division) of the Commission, acting pursuant to the authority delegated by Commission Regulation 140.96, has determined that publication of the proposals for comment is in the public interest, will assist the Commission in considering the views of interested persons, and is consistent with the purposes of the Commodity Exchange Act.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 28, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons should submit their views and comments to Jean A. Webb, Secretary, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW, Washington, DC 20581. Reference should be made to the CME Argentine Brady Bond Index and the CME Brazilian Brady Bond Index.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Please contact Stephen Sherrrod of the Division of Economic Analysis, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre,

1155 21st Street, Washington, DC 20581, telephone 202-418-5277.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Exchange's proposed Brady bond contracts are based on indexes representing the sovereign debt of Argentina and Brazil. The Exchange has petitioned the SEC to grant the sovereign debt of Argentina and Brazil exempt status under SEC Rule 240.3a12-8. The SEC published the proposed amendment to Rule 240.3a12-8 in the Federal Register for a 30-day public comment period on December 20, 1995. Should the SEC add the sovereign debt of Argentina and Brazil to the list of exempted securities, the Commission would then be able to designate futures on such securities. See Section 2(a)(1)(B)(v) of the Act.

Copies of the terms and conditions will be available for inspection at the Office of the Secretariat, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, Washington, DC 20581. Copies of the terms and conditions can be obtained through the Office of the Secretariat by mail at the above address or by phone at (202) 418-5097.

Other materials submitted by the CME in support of the applications for contract market designation may be available upon request pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Commission's regulations thereunder (17 C.F.R. Part 145 (1987)), except to the extent they are entitled to confidential treatment as set forth in 17 C.F.R. 145.5 and 145.9. Requests for copies of such materials should be made to the FOI, Privacy and Sunshine Act Compliance Staff of the Office of the Secretariat at the Commission's headquarters in accordance with 17 C.F.R. 145.7 and 145.8.

Any person interested in submitting written data, views, or arguments on the proposed terms and conditions, or with respect to other materials submitted by the CME, should send such comments to Jean A. Webb, Secretary, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581 by the specified date.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 23, 1996.

Blake Imel,

*Acting Director.*

[FR Doc. 96-1510 Filed 1-26-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****Department of the Air Force****Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Enhanced Training In Idaho Mountain Home AFB, ID**

The United States Air Force intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to analyze the proposed action regarding the establishment of a tactical training range in Owyhee County, Idaho supporting enhanced training for Mountain Home AFB and the Idaho Air National Guard. In conjunction with the range, modification of airspace would occur in the state of Idaho and Nevada. This proposal will be known as Enhanced Training in Idaho (ETI).

The Air Force proposes to establish the ETI in the eastern half of Owyhee County, Idaho, near Clover Butte. This proposal would establish a series of target areas including one tactical range, five simulated bombing target areas and a series of 30 emitter sites to compliment existing assets and allow various training opportunities.

The ETI would consist of a 12,000-acre tactical range designed to provide aircrews with a realistic target array that allows simultaneous attacks from any axis. Only small training munitions would be expended on the tactical range. In addition, the Air Force would establish five simulated bombing sites on which no ordnance would be expended. The simulated bombing target areas would consist of two industrial complexes with a railyard, two Surface-to-Air Missile sites, and a Forward Edge of Battle Area array. Four of the simulated bombing areas would each cover 5-acres and the remaining area would cover 1-square mile.

In addition to the target areas, the Air Force would establish ten 1-acre emitter sites and twenty 0.25-acre emitter sites. These emitter sites would allow the placement of simulated enemy threat radars to provide aircrews with a diverse target/threat array. In total, the proposed ETI would supplement the existing range facilities, and allow various target numbers and locations to provide realism and simulate anticipated combat conditions.

Airspace actions associated with the ETI would permit more efficient utilization of the airspace and range assets. The proposal includes expansion of the Owyhee Military Operations Area (MOA) to the north and expansion of the Paradise East MOA to the southeast to join the Owyhee MOA. Restricted airspace would be restructured within