

adjustment shall be calculated in accordance with Section II c(i) and (ii) of the suspension agreement.

With respect to the use of duty drawback, the Department verified that the amount received was not in excess of the import duties paid on physically incorporated inputs. Thus, the signatories were not in violation. (See verification report dated June 1, 1995).

Finally, the participation in the international trade promotion fund by four signatories does not confer a benefit because the Department verified that the signatories paid their own expenses. Furthermore, the Department has never determined this program to be countervailable.

Comment 2

ECS wants assurance that any benefits found by the Department during the period of review are repaid to the RTG in order to reverse any benefits received by the Thai yarn producers during the POR.

Department's Position

As stated above, the Department will require that Thai Melon repay the amount in which the tax certificate exceeds the import duties on physically incorporated inputs. If Thai Melon fails to comply with this requirement, the Department will have grounds to determine that the signatory has violated the agreement.

Comment 3

ECS urges the Department to maintain close scrutiny over the administration of the agreement so that the U.S. industry can be assured that the subsidies found by the Department will be repaid to the RTG and that such benefits will not continue in the future.

Department's Position

The Department will continue to closely monitor the administration of the agreement in order to ensure that the excess amount of the tax certificate is repaid and that the signatories do not receive any benefits in the future that would constitute a violation of the agreement.

Final Results of Review

For the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993, we determine that the signatories were not in violation of the suspension agreement.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance

with 19 C.F.R. 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)(1994)) and 19 CFR 3.5.5.22 (1994).

Dated: December 14, 1995.
Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 96-1455 Filed 1-26-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee; Closed Meeting

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Closed meeting of U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Automotive Parts Advisory Committee (the "Committee") advises U.S. Government officials on matters relating to the implementation of the Fair Trade in Auto Parts Act of 1988. The Committee: (1) reports annually to the Secretary of Commerce on barriers to sales of U.S.-made auto parts and accessories in Japanese markets; (2) assists the Secretary in reporting to the Congress on the progress of sales of U.S.-made auto parts in Japanese markets, including the formation of long-term supplier relationships; (3) reviews and considers data collected on sales of U.S.-made auto parts to Japanese markets; (4) advises the Secretary during consultations with the Government of Japan on these issues; and (5) assists in establishing priorities for the Department's initiatives to increase U.S.-made auto parts sales to Japanese markets, and otherwise provide assistance and direction to the Secretary in carrying out these initiatives. At the meeting, committee members will discuss specific trade and sales expansion programs related to U.S.-Japan automotive parts policy.

DATES AND LOCATION: The meeting will be held on February 22, 1996 from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Robert Reck, Office of Automotive Affairs, Trade Development, Room 4036, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-1418.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Assistant Secretary for Administration,

with the concurrence of the General Counsel formally determined on July 5, 1994, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Act, as amended, that the series of meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee and of any subcommittee thereof, dealing with privileged or confidential commercial information may be exempt from the provisions of the Act relating to open meeting and public participation therein because these items are concerned with matters that are within the purview of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (9) (B). A copy of the Notice of Determination is available for public inspection and copying in the Department of Commerce Records Inspection Facility, Room 6020, Main Commerce.

Dated: January 22, 1996.
Henry P. Misco,
Director, Office of Automotive Affairs.
[FR Doc. 96-1459 Filed 1-26-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 011796A]

North Pacific Fishery Management Council; Committee Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Northwest Crab Industry Advisory Committee (PNCIAC), an advisory committee to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold a meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on February 27, 1996, beginning at 9:00 a.m., and will end at approximately 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at Leif Erikson Hall, 2245 NW 57th St, Seattle, WA.

Council address: North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arni Thomson, Alaska Crab Coalition, 206-547-7560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PNCIAC will review Alaska crab fishery issues and proposed changes to current regulations, and develop recommendations to be forwarded to the Alaska Board of Fisheries and the Council.