may solicit and recommend plan holders. Companies that wish to participate should contact the Coast Guard or EPA OSC, who will then forward the name to the NSCC at the address listed under ADDRESSES.

Dated: January 18, 1996.
G.N. Naccara,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Director for Field Activities, Office of Maine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 96–1385 Filed 1–25–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

Federal Railroad Administration

Petition for Waivers of Compliance

In accordance with 49 CFR Sections 211.9, 211.41 and 211.45, notice is hereby given that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has received a request for a waiver of compliance with certain requirements of the Federal safety laws and regulations. The individual petitions are described below, including the party seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested and the petitioner’s arguments in favor of relief.

Renfe Talgo of America, Incorporated

FRA Docket Numbers RSGM–94–2 and SA–94–1

Renfe Talgo of America, Incorporated (RTOA) petitioned the FRA to permit the operation of a second TALGO Pendular Train (TALGO) trainset expected to arrive at the Port of Baltimore on or about January 28, 1996. The trainset is similar to the one presently operating in the State of Washington under conditional waivers. The original request was for waivers of compliance with certain provisions of the Railroad Safety Glazing Standards (49 CFR Part 223) under Docket Number RSGM–94–2 and Railroad Safety Appliance Standards (49 CFR Part 231), under Docket Number SA–94–1 (see FR 9016, February 24, 1994). RTOA requested that the conditional waiver granted the first Talgo trainset be extended to include the second train set.

RTOA was granted the original waivers in order to permit operation of a TALGO train under two conditions. It was intended that the train would be operated (1) in non-revenue demonstration runs and (2) in revenue service as part of a regularly scheduled service operated by National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) in the Pacific Northwest High Speed Rail Corridor. The first TALGO train completed demonstration runs between a number of city pairs and is currently in revenue service under contract to the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT).

The second TALGO trainset will be comprised of 15 Pendular cars, which would include two service cars, one sleeper car, one dining car, one cafeteria car and ten coaches. It is similar to the trainset currently in service in Washington State. RTOA seeks to include the second TALGO train in the current conditional waivers from compliance with the Railroad Glazing Standards, (49 CFR 223.15 (b)), which requires that all side facing glazing on passenger cars must meet the FRA Type II testing criteria. The original petition RTOA stated that the side facing glazing of the TALGO train may in fact meet the FRA requirements for FRA Type II, but it had not been subjected to the test specified in the regulation. The windows in the sides of the cars are double glazed with tempered safety glass. Each layer is 6 mm (.24 inches) thick with an air space in between the two layers. RTOA says that there is not sufficient time to retrofit windows in the TALGO train prior to shipment from Spain.

The original RTOA petition also sought a waiver from compliance of the Railroad Safety Appliance Standards, (49 CFR 231.14) and Sections 2 and 4 of the Safety Appliance Act (45 U.S.C. Sections 2 and 4), which requires that each passenger car must be equipped with side handholds, end handholds and uncoupling levers. The passenger cars have side handholds at the doors for the assistance of passengers, but there are no side handholds or end handholds which the rules contemplate for use in switching operations or coupling and uncoupling. RTOA states that the cars in the TALGO train constitute a single unit, in that the cars will not be uncoupled from one another, except at specified maintenance facilities. The individual cars are joined by swivel type tractive bars which will not uncouple in normal operations and because of this configuration there is no need for uncoupling levers. Standard AAR Type E couplers will be installed at the ends of the front and rear service cars.

According to RTOA and Amtrak West Business Unit, the TALGO train will be moved directly from Baltimore to Oakland, California. RTOA and Amtrak West are cooperating in evaluating existing and potential emerging rail corridors. Amtrak suggested the following tentative list of city pairs for both revenue service and demonstration runs for the TALGO train:

Oakland, California to Reno, Nevada
Oakland to Bakersfield, California
Altamount Pass, California
Los Angeles, California to Las Vegas, Nevada
Los Angeles/San Diego, California to Santa Barbara, California
Los Angeles to San Francisco, California

RTOA says that after the revenue and demonstration runs are completed, it is their intention to have this second TALGO train operate in the Pacific Northwest. If TALGO is the successful bidder to provide two trainsets to the State of Washington, the two trainsets would be leased to WSDOT for an interim period which would terminate upon delivery of the two trainsets manufactured to WSDOT specification.

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proceeding by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with this proceeding since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (e.g., Waiver Petition Docket Number SA–94–1) and must be submitted in triplicate to the Docket Clerk, Office of Chief Counsel, Federal Railroad Administration, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590. Communications received within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.–5 p.m.) in Room 8201, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590.


Phil Olekszyk,
Associate Administrator for Safety Compliance and Program Implementation.

[FR Doc. 96–1313 Filed 1–25–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–06–M
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NCI 3363; Notice 1]

1995 Chrysler Cirrus and Dodge Stratus Passenger Cars; Public Proceeding Scheduled


ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: NHTSA will hold a public meeting at 10 a.m. on February 14, 1996, regarding its initial decision that certain 1995 model Chrysler Cirrus and Dodge Stratus passenger cars fail to comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 210, Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages.


In a compliance test performed for NHTSA on July 10, 1995, the anchorage for the rear seat safety belt on the driver side of a Chrysler Cirrus vehicle pulled loose from the floor of the vehicle prior to sustaining a force of 3,000 pounds, as required by paragraph 5.4.2.2 of FMVSS No. 210. This failure was replicated by Chrysler when it tested a Cirrus with the pelvic body block positioned several inches in front of the seat back, which is the position employed in the NHTSA test. Although it was not tested by NHTSA, the 1995 Dodge Stratus is identical to the Chrysler Cirrus in all relevant respects, and similar test results would be expected.

Chrysler contends that the anchorage in these vehicles will not fail when the body block is placed against the seat back rather than several inches from the seat back, and argues that this is sufficient to demonstrate compliance with FMVSS No. 210. A full discussion of the facts and issues involved in this matter is contained in a memorandum dated January 11, 1996, prepared by NHTSA's Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, that can be found in the agency's public file for this investigation.

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(b)(1) and 49 CFR 554.10, a public meeting will be held at 10 a.m., on Wednesday, February 14, 1996, in Room 2230, Department of Transportation Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC, at which time the manufacturer and all other interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to present information, views, and arguments on the issue of whether the vehicles covered by this initial decision comply with FMVSS No. 210.

Interested persons are invited to participate in this proceeding through written or oral presentations. Persons wishing to make oral presentations are requested to notify Ms. Elaine Beale, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 6111, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-2832 or by fax at (202) 366-1024, before the close of business on February 7, 1996. Persons who wish to file written comments should submit them to the same address, preferably no later than the beginning of the meeting on February 14, 1996. However, the agency will accept written submissions until February 28, 1996.

All materials related to the issues addressed by this notice are in the public file for NCI 3363, which is available for inspection during working hours (9:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.) in NHTSA's Technical Reference Library, Room 5108, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590.


Issued on: January 19, 1996.

Michael B. Brownlee, Associate Administrator for Safety Assurance.

To obtain copies of these environmental assessments contact Ms. Victoria Rutson or Ms. Judith Groves, Surface Transportation Board, Section of Environmental Analysis, Room 3219, Washington, DC 20423, or (202) 927-6211 or (202) 927-6246. Comments on the following assessment are due 15 days after the date of availability:

AB-No. 450 (Sub-No. 1X), Ogeechee Railway Company—Discontinuance of Service Exemption—In Bleckley and Pulaski Counties, Georgia. EA available 1/19/96.

AB-No. 290 (Sub-No. 141X), Norfolk Southern Railway Company—Abandonment Exemption—In Bleckley and Pulaski Counties, Georgia. EA available 1/19/96.


AB-No. 290 (Sub-No. 174X), Norfolk Southern Railway Company—Abandonment between Rural Hall and Brook Cove, North Carolina. EA available 1/17/96.

AB-No. 290 (Sub-No. 179X), Norfolk and Western Railway Company—Abandonment—At Lynchburg, Virginia. EA available 1/17/96.

AB-No. 55 (Sub-No 520X), CSX Transportation, Inc.—Abandonment in Chatham County, Georgia. EA available 1/23/96. Comments on the following assessment are due 30 days after the date of availability:

AB-No. 462 (Sub-No 1X), Southeastern International Corporation—Abandonment Exemption—In Chambers and Jefferson Counties, Texas. EA available 1/12/96.

Vernon A. Williams, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-1330 Filed 1-25-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

Surface Transportation Board 1

[Finance Docket No. 32841]

Northeast Texas Rural Rail Transportation District—Purchase (Portion) Exemption—St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company

Northeast Texas Rural Rail Transportation District (NETEX), a 1 The ICC Termination Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-88, 109 Stat. 803 (the Act), which was enacted on December 29, 1995, and took effect on January 1, 1996, abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) and transferred certain functions and proceedings to the Surface Transportation Board (Board). Section 204(b)(1) of the Act provides, in general, that proceedings pending before the ICC on the effective date of that legislation shall be decided under the law in effect.