

*United States of America, Counterdefendant*, Civil Action No. 92-4032, was lodged on December 15, 1995, with the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota, Southern Division. The proposed consent decree requires Tri-State Mint, Inc., Tri-State Professional Recovery, Inc., Von Hoff International, Inc., and Robert Hoff, the former owners/operators of the Tri-State Mint C Avenue site located in Sioux Falls, South Dakota ("Site"), to pay the United States \$820,000.00 (plus specified interest accrued from August 17, 1995, through the date of payment) in reimbursement of the United States' past response costs incurred in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site. General Properties Corporation, one of the original defendants in this civil action, was dismissed from this lawsuit on or about November 23, 1993, and is not a party to this Consent Decree.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States of America, Plaintiff v. Tri-State Mint, Inc. et al., Defendants/Counterclaimants v. United States of America, Counterdefendant*, DOJ Ref. #90-11-3-696.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, District of South Dakota, 230 S. Phillips Ave. #600 57102; the Region VIII Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 999 18th Street—Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy of the proposed decree and attachment, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$5.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), for each copy. The check should be made payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*  
[FR Doc. 96-601 Filed 1-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## Antitrust Division

### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Frame Relay Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on June 16, 1995, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), The Frame Relay Forum ("FRF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the identities of the new members of FRF are: PCSI, San Diego, CA; Computerm Corporation, Pittsburgh, PA; Southern New England Telephone, Newhaven, CT; DIGI International, Eden Prairie, MN; ADTRAN, Huntsville, AL; and US Robotics Corporation, Skokie, IL. New auditing members are: Polish Telecom, Warsaw, POLAND; and BRAK Systems, Inc., Mississauga, Ontario, CANADA. Companies who are no longer members are: CBIS and LightStream.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activities of FRF. Membership remains open, and FRF intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 10, 1992, FRF filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 2, 1992 (57 FR 29537).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 20, 1995. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 31, 1995 (60 FR 28430).

Constance K. Robinson,

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 96-602 Filed 1-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company

Notice is hereby given that, on June 21, 1995, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company ("3M") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney

General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objective of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are: Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, St. Paul, MN; and Lockheed Aeronautical Systems Company, a division of Lockheed Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, Marietta, GA. The nature and purpose of the venture is to develop film products and associated products and techniques which can replace paint on aircraft exteriors in order to preserve the physical aircraft integrity within regulatory constraints and within feasible economic means.

Constance K. Robinson,

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 96-603 Filed 1-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 94-41]

### Homayoun Homayouni, M.D.; Continuation of Registration With Restrictions

On March 21, 1994, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Homayoun Homayouni, M.D., (Respondent), of Northfield, New Jersey, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, BH0295748, under 21 U.S.C. § 824(a)(4), and deny any pending applications for renewal of such registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. § 823(f), as being inconsistent with the public interest. Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged that:

1. On at least six occasions between November 1988 and March 1989 [the Respondent] allegedly wrote prescriptions for controlled substances to undercover officers without a legitimate medical reason in exchange for cash and failed to maintain medical records of the transactions.

2. On April 14, 1989, the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners (Medical Board) temporarily suspended [the Respondent's] license to practice medicine and surgery because of the aforementioned allegations.

3. On August 9, 1989, the Medical Board suspended [the Respondent's] state medical license for five years, the first two years active and the remainder as a period of probation. In addition, [the Respondent was] ordered to pay the sum of \$12,145.35 in