

2. On July 7, 1993, applicant requested that its registration statement under the 1933 Act be withdrawn. The registration was declared withdrawn on July 12, 1993.

3. Applicant has never issued or sold shares of which it is the issuer. Applicant has no shareholders, liabilities, or assets. Applicant is not a party to any litigation or administrative proceeding.

4. Applicant is not engaged, and does not propose to engage, in any business activities other than those necessary for the winding-up of its affairs.

For the SEC, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-355 Filed 1-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 801-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

RTCA, Inc., Special Committee 165; Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Aeronautical Mobile Satellite Services

Pursuant to section 10(a) (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for Special Committee 165 meeting to be held January 25-26, 1996, starting at 9:30 a.m. The meeting will be held at the RTCA, 1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036.

The agenda will be as follows:

- (1) Welcome and Introductions;
- (2) Approval of the Summary of the Previous Meeting;
- (3) Chairman's Remarks;
- (4) Overview of New Developments Relevant to SC-165 (Presentations on Required Communications Performance (RCP) Are Being Planned): a. RTCA Technical Management Committee Actions; b. EUROCAE; c. Industry, Users, Government;
- (5) Review of Working Group Activities: a. WG1 (AMSS Avionics Equipment MOPS); b. WG3 (System/Service Performance Criteria); c. WG5 (AMS(R)S Satcom Voice); d. WG6 (HF Data Link);
- (6) Other Business;
- (7) Date and Place of Next Meeting.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036; (202) 833-9339 (phone) or (202)

833-9434 (fax). Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 5, 1996.

Janice L. Peters,

Designated Official.

[FR Doc. 96-383 Filed 1-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Office of the Associate Administrator for Commercial Space Transportation

Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement; Commercial Expendable Launch Vehicle Operations

AGENCY: Office of the Associate Administrator for Commercial Space Transportation (AST-1), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On November 27, 1995, the former Office of Commercial Space Transportation, now the Office of the Associate Administrator for Commercial Space Transportation (OCST), published a Notice of Intent in the Federal Register [60 FR 58430] announcing its intent to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) which will address the environmental impact of commercial expendable launch vehicle operations. OCST invited comments from interested individuals or organizations concerning the process and scope of the EIS. The Notice of Intent previously established December 27th, 1995, as the deadline for comments. The comment period is now extended from December 27th, 1995, until February 9, 1996, to provide additional opportunity for comment.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Docket Clerk, Docket No. OST-95-852, Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Room PL-401, Washington D.C. 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Nikos Himaras, The Office of the Associate Administrator for Commercial Space Transportation, Licensing and Safety Division, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. (202) 366-2929.

Issued in Washington, DC on January 4, 1996.

Frank C. Weaver,

Associate Administrator for Commercial Space Transportation.

[FR Doc. 96-336 Filed 1-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service

Renegotiation Board Interest Rate; Prompt Payment Interest Rate; Contracts Disputes Act

Although the Renegotiation Board is no longer in existence, other Federal Agencies are required to use interest rates computed under the criteria established by the Renegotiation Act of 1971 (Pub. L. 92-41). For example, the Contracts Disputes Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-563) and the Prompt Payment Act (Pub. L. 97-177) provide for the calculation of interest due on claims at a rate established by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to Public Law 92-41 (85 Stat. 97) for the Renegotiation Board (31 U.S.C. 3902).

Therefore, notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the above mentioned sections, the Secretary of the Treasury has determined that the rate of interest applicable for the purpose of said sections, for the period beginning January 1, 1996 and ending on June 30, 1996, is 5 7/8% per centum per annum.

Dated: January 3, 1996.

John Kilcoyne,

Acting Deputy, Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-344 Filed 1-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-35-M

Internal Revenue Service

[Delegation Order No. 239 (Rev. 1)]

Delegation of Authority

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Delegation of authority.

SUMMARY: The Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service, amends Delegation Order 239 to clarify that the Taxpayer Ombudsman is delegated the authority to issue Taxpayer Assistance Orders ("TAO") to intervene on behalf of taxpayers and take positive action with respect to taxpayer cases.

The Commissioner further amends Delegation Order 239 to direct the Ombudsman to prepare an annual report of the most serious problems taxpayers face when conducting business with the IRS and to suggest administrative and legislative solutions to these problems, if applicable.

Finally, Delegation Order 239 is further amended to give the Ombudsman the authority to establish a system to track the response of IRS officials to the administrative problems identified in the Ombudsman's annual report.