

385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before December 29, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-31221 Filed 12-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. MG96-4-000]**

**Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd.;  
Notice of Filing**

December 19, 1995.

Take notice that on December 8, 1995, Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd. (WIC) filed updated standards for conduct under Order Nos. 497 *et seq.*<sup>1</sup> and Order Nos. 566 *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> to reflect certain updated information as well as a revision to Standard I, 18 CFR 161.3(i).

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of

<sup>1</sup> Order No. 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497-A, *order on rehearing*, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497-B, *order extending sunset date*, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,908 (1990); Order No. 497-C, *order extending sunset date*, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC ¶ 61,139 (1992); *Tenneco Gas v. FERC* (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F. 2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992); Order No. 497-D, *order on remand and extending sunset date*, III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497-E, *order on rehearing and extending sunset date*, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993); Order No. 497-F, *order denying rehearing and granting clarification*, 59 FR 15336 (April 1, 1994), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994); and Order No. 497-G, *order extending sunset date*, 59 FR 32884 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,996 (June 17, 1994).

<sup>2</sup> Standards of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566-A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566-B, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 65707, (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶ 61,334 (December 14, 1994); *appeal docketed sub nom. Conoco, Inc. v. FERC*, D.C. Cir. No. 94-1745 (December 13, 1994).

Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before January 3, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-31222 Filed 12-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-595-001]**

**Texas Eastern Transmission  
Corporation; Notice of Amendment**

December 19, 1995.

Take notice that on November 20, 1995, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310 filed in Docket No. CP95-595-001 pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act an amendment to its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity filed June 30, 1995, in Docket No. CP95-595-000, requesting authority to replace a pipeline crossing of the Brazos River, in order to modify the route alignment of the crossing, all as more fully set forth in the amendment, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In its application in Docket No. CP95-595-000, Texas Eastern proposed to construct and operate 1.56 miles of new 24-inch diameter mainline between Milepost (MP) 52.25 and MP 53.81 on its Mainline No. 11 where it crosses the Brazos River in Austin and Waller Counties, Texas. Texas Eastern filed its proposal because its existing main line crossings of the Brazos River—the 24-inch diameter Line No. 11 and 16-inch auxiliary line—were exposed to the forces of the river as the result of erosion of the river bed in the vicinity of Line No. 11. On October 6, 1995, the Commission staff recommended in its Environmental Assessment (EA) that Texas Eastern use a designated alternative route rather than Texas Eastern's proposed route, in order to reduce the alleged environmental impacts identified by the Commission staff.

Texas Eastern states that it proposes to modify the EA's recommended route and to tie back into Texas Eastern's existing line in as short a distance as is

practical, in lieu of adopting the route recommended in the EA as "Alternative 2". Texas Eastern proposes to amend its application to lay pipeline in new right-of-way for a distance of approximately 1,300 feet, thereby connecting the directionally drilled river crossing of approximately 2,900 feet with the existing 24-inch Line No. 11. Texas Eastern states that compared to "Alternative 2", its proposed route will decrease new permanent right-of-way required, reduce the overall length of wetlands crossed, and decrease overall estimated project costs by approximately \$1,000,000. Texas Eastern asserts that is proposed modifications will both facilitate an expeditious replacement of the river crossing and decrease environmental impacts associated with the construction activity.

Specifically, Texas Eastern now proposes to replace, construct and operate approximately 0.84 miles of 24-inch diameter Line No. 11 mainline crossing of the Brazos River in Austin and Waller Counties, Texas, to remove the existing 24-inch and 16-inch diameter pipeline segments exposed in the river, and to abandon, in place, the remainder of the existing pipeline which will be replaced. The pipeline segment to be replaced is between MP 52.25 and MP 52.98 on Line No. 11. Texas Eastern estimates that the project will cost \$2,425,854. Texas Eastern states that the replacement segments will have a design delivery capacity equivalent to the facilities being replaced.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said amendment should on or before December 29, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any person who has heretofore filed need not file again.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-31223 Filed 12-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M