

Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.214).

For additional information, contact Thomas J. Burgess at 208-2058, David R. Cain at 208-0917 or Anja M. Clark at 208-2034.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31135 Filed 12-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP96-90-000]**

**Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.,  
CNG Transmission Corp., Texas  
Eastern Transmission Corp.; Notice of  
Application**

December 15, 1995.

Take notice that on December 1, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNGT), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, jointly filed an application in Docket No. CP96-90-000 pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for an order granting permission and approval to abandon the service rendered pursuant to an agreement to purchase and exchange gas of certain production properties and facilities and local purchases of gas owned by Columbia in the Benzette Field in Cameron, Clearfield, and Elk Counties, Pennsylvania, with certain production properties and facilities and local purchases of gas in the Artemas Field in Bedford County, Pennsylvania, owned by CNGT, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that Columbia and CNGT exchanged the properties, facilities, and gas purchase agreements related to the fields to enable Columbia to activate and operate the Artemas Storage Field, and to enable CNGT to acquire property interests in the Benzette Production Field for its storage development. Columbia's Rate Schedule X-34, CNGT's Rate Schedule X-8, and Texas Eastern's Rate Schedule X-60 provided for the exchange of the remaining recoverable gas reserves underlying Columbia's and CNGT's respective gas purchase agreements, with Texas Eastern delivering Columbia's volumes in Texas Eastern's Zone C in Pennsylvania or at other points interconnection between Columbia and Texas Eastern. Texas Eastern deducted

equivalent volumes from its scheduled delivery to CNGT at established delivery points in Zone C.

It is also stated that the specific reserve volumes involved in the March 31, 1971, Agreement were 2,082,000 Mcf from Columbia to CNGT and 214,000 Mcf from CNGT to Columbia. CNGT resold and transported the difference in reserves transferred to Columbia for the costs incurred by CNGT in measuring, transporting, and compressing the gas into CNGT's transmission system. An existing exchange between Columbia and CNGT in the Benzette, Nolo, and Rockton Fields, was modified so that gas purchased in the Nolo Field, Indiana county, Pennsylvania, could be delivered to Columbia in exchange for gas purchased by Columbia in the Rockton Field, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania. The rate schedules for which abandonment authority is sought are as follows:

Docket No.	Company
Rate schedule:	
CP72-173 Columbia .....	X-34
CP72-173 CNGT .....	X-8
CP72-173 Texas Eastern .....	X-60

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before January 5, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience

and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31124 Filed 12-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. ER96-398-000]**

**Jersey Central Power & Light Co.,  
Metropolitan Edison Co., Pennsylvania  
Electric Co.; Notice of Filing**

December 18, 1995.

Take notice that on November 20, 1995, GPU Service Corporation (GPU), on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (jointly referred to as the GPU Companies), filed a Service Agreement between GPU and Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (Enron) dated November 13, 1995. This Service Agreement specifies that Enron has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the GPU Companies' Energy Transmission Service Tariff accepted by the Commission on September 28, 1995 in Docket No. ER95-791-000 and designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 3.

GPU requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown and an effective date of November 13, 1995 for the Service Agreement. GPU has served copies of the filing on regulatory agencies in New Jersey and Pennsylvania and on Enron.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before December 29, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31127 Filed 12-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER96-203-000]

**Multi-Energies U.S.A. Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Order**

December 15, 1995.

On October 31, 1995, Multi-Energies U.S.A. Inc. (MEI) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which MEI will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions as a marketer. MEI also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, MEI requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by MEI.

On December 8, 1995, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Applications, Office of Electric Power Regulation, granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by MEI should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, MEI is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, endorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of MEI's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is January 8, 1996.

Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public

Reference Branch, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31191 Filed 12-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER90-168-024]

**National Gas & Electric L.P.; Notice of Succession**

December 18, 1995.

On October 31, 1995, National Gas & Electric L.P., submitted for filing a notice of succession, changing its name from National Electric Associates L.P. to National Gas & Electric L.P., pursuant to 18 CFR 35.16 and 131.51 of the Commission's Regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to this submittal should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed by December 22, 1995, and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-31125 Filed 12-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP78-124-020]

**Northern Border Pipeline Company; Notice of Petition To Further Amend Order**

December 15, 1995

Take notice that on December 6, 1995, Northern Border Pipeline Company (Northern Border), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed in Docket No. CP78-124-202, a Petition to Further Amend Order (Petition) pursuant to Rule 202 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure<sup>1</sup> requesting authority to add receipt and delivery

<sup>1</sup> Even though Northern Border filed this petition under Rule 202, the Commission is treating this petition as an amendment under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA).

points on the Northern Border system as secondary receipt and delivery points under the U.S. Shippers Service Agreement dated October 6, 1989 (1989 Service Agreement), as amended, between Northern Border and Pan-Alberta Gas (U.S.) Inc. (PAG-US), all as more fully set forth in the Petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northern Border states that by Commission order issued on December 21, 1989 in Docket No. CP78-123, *et al.*, it was authorized, among other things, to transport, on a firm basis, an annual average daily volume of 450,000 Mcf of natural gas on behalf of PAG-US in accordance with the 1989 Service Agreement. By Commission order issued on December 22, 1992 in Docket No. CP78-124-019, Northern Border was authorized, among other things, to add certain secondary receipt and delivery points to the 1989 Service Agreement.

Northern Border requests in this proceeding to add additional secondary receipt and delivery points as set forth in the Amended Exhibit A dated November 30, 1995 (November 30th Exhibit A) to the 1989 Service Agreement, a copy of which is attached to the Petition as Exhibit P. Northern Border states that all of the receipt and delivery points downstream of Port of Morgan, Montana and upstream of Ventura, Iowa previously not included under the 1989 Service Agreement are now included on the November 30th Exhibit A. Northern Border further states that the allocation of costs by Northern Border pursuant to its tariff will not be impacted by virtue of the addition of secondary points, as the Port of Morgan and Ventura are the primary receipt and delivery points under the 1989 Service Agreement.

Northern Border states that it has been advised by PAG-US that the primary purpose of requesting to add the proposed secondary receipt and delivery points is to enhance its operational flexibility in order to meet the needs of the local distribution customers served by PAG-US. Northern Border also states that as part of the Northern Natural Gas Company's (Northern) settlement filed in Docket No. RS92-8, *et al.*, and approved on July 16, 1993 by the Commission, a reverse auction procedure was conducted by Northern that resulted in the transfer to PAG-US of Northern's Alaska Natural Gas Transportation System (ANGTS) prebuild-related supplies and its related Northern Border transportation capacity. By a Commission order issued on November 3, 1993 in Docket No. CP94-22, *et al.*, Northern Border was