

average is greater or lesser than the applicable standard;

(H) The applicable T90 distillation point standard under § 80.41(h)(2)(i) in degrees Fahrenheit;

(I) The average T90 distillation point in degrees Fahrenheit; and

(J) The difference between the applicable T90 distillation point standard under § 80.41(h)(2)(i) in degrees Fahrenheit and the average T90 distillation point under paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(I) of this section in degrees Fahrenheit, indicating whether the average is greater or lesser than the applicable standard.

\* \* \* \* \*

3. Section 80.93 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(6) to read as follows:

**§ 80.93 Individual baseline submission and approval.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(6) Confidential business information.  
 (i) Upon approval of an individual baseline, EPA will publish the individual annualized baseline exhaust emissions, on an annual average basis, specified in paragraph (b)(5)(ii) of this section. Such individual baseline exhaust emissions shall not be considered confidential. In addition, the reporting information required under § 80.75(b)(2)(ii) (D), (G) and (J), and § 80.105(a)(4)(i) (E), (H) and (K) shall not be considered confidential.

(ii) Information in the baseline submission which the submitter desires to be considered confidential business information (per 40 CFR part 2, subpart B) must be clearly identified. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies a submission when it is received by EPA, the information may be made available to the public without further notice to the submitter pursuant to the provisions of 40 CFR part 2, subpart B.

\* \* \* \* \*

4. Section 80.105 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(4) to read as follows:

**§ 80.105 Reporting requirements.**

(a) \* \* \*

(4)(i) If using the simple model:

(A) The applicable exhaust benzene emissions standard under § 80.101(b)(1)(i);

(B) The average exhaust benzene emissions under § 80.101(g);

(C) The applicable sulfur content standard under § 80.101(b)(1)(ii) in parts per million;

(D) The average sulfur content under § 80.101(g) in parts per million;

(E) The difference between the applicable sulfur content standard

under § 80.101(b)(1)(ii) in parts per million and the average sulfur content under paragraph (a)(4)(i)(D) of this section in parts per million, indicating whether the average is greater or lesser than the applicable standard;

(F) The applicable olefin content standard under § 80.101(b)(1)(iii) in volume percent;

(G) The average olefin content under § 80.101(g) in volume percent;

(H) The difference between the applicable olefin content standard under § 80.101(b)(1)(iii) in volume percent and the average olefin content under paragraph (a)(4)(i)(G) of this section in volume percent, indicating whether the average is greater or lesser than the applicable standard;

(I) The applicable T90 distillation point standard under § 80.101(b)(1)(iv) in degrees Fahrenheit;

(J) The average T90 distillation point under § 80.101(g) in degrees Fahrenheit; and

(K) The difference between the applicable T90 distillation point standard under § 80.101(b)(1)(iv) in degrees Fahrenheit and the average T90 distillation point under paragraph (a)(4)(i)(J) of this section in degrees Fahrenheit, indicating whether the average is greater or lesser than the applicable standard.

(ii) If using the optional complex model, the applicable exhaust benzene emissions standard and the average exhaust benzene emissions, under § 80.101(b)(2) and (g).

(iii) If using the complex model:

(A) The applicable exhaust toxics emissions standard and the average exhaust toxics emissions, under § 80.101(b)(3) and (g); and

(B) The applicable NO<sub>x</sub> emissions standard and the average NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, under § 80.101(b)(3) and (g).

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 95-30986 Filed 12-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**40 CFR Part 180**

[PP 6F3417 and 7F3516/R2192; FRL-4990-7]

RIN 2070-AB78

**Thiodicarb; Extension of Pesticide Tolerances**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule extends until August 15, 1997, the temporary tolerances for the insecticide thiodicarb and its metabolite in or on leafy

vegetables, broccoli, cabbage, and cauliflower. Rhone Poulenc Ag. Co. requested this regulation pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This regulation becomes effective December 20, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the document control number, [PP 6F3417 and 7F3516/R2192], may be submitted to: Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. A copy of any objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be identified by the document control number and submitted to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring copy of objections and hearing requests to Rm. 1132, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202. Fees accompanying objections shall be labeled "Tolerance Petition Fees" and forwarded to: EPA Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, OPP (Tolerance Fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251.

A copy of objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Copies of objections and hearing requests must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect in 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All copies of objections and hearing requests in electronic form must be identified by the docket number [PP 6F3417 and 7F3516/R2192]. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic copies of objections and hearing requests on this rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries. Additional information on electronic submissions can be found below in this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** By mail: Dennis Edwards, Jr., Product Manager (PM 19), Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Rm. 213, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202; (703) 305-6386; e-mail: edwards.dennis@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to petitions from the Rhone Poulenc Ag. Co., P.O. Box 12014, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, EPA issued final rules establishing temporary tolerances for residues of the combined residues of the insecticide thiodicarb in or on leafy vegetables at 35 parts per million (ppm) and broccoli, cabbage, and cauliflower at 7 ppm (see the Federal Register of August 11, 1993 (58 FR 42673)). To be consistent with conditional registrations for thiodicarb on leafy vegetables and broccoli, cabbage, and cauliflower, which were due to expire December 31, 1995, the Agency established the tolerances with an expiration date of August 15, 1996, to cover residues expected to be present from use during the period of conditional registration while the Agency continued to review studies of acetamide, a metabolite, and the chronic carcinogenicity studies for thiodicarb. The Agency concluded that the human risk posed by the use of thiodicarb on leafy vegetables and broccoli, cabbage, and cauliflower does not raise significant concerns and that extending the tolerances would still be protective of human health. The Agency is continuing to review submitted toxicology studies.

In a notice in the Federal Register of October 25, 1995 (60 FR 54690), the Agency announced the receipt of a request from Rhone Poulenc Ag. Co. to extend the temporary tolerances for thiodicarb and its metabolite for leafy vegetables, broccoli, cabbage, and cauliflower for 1 year with an expiration date of August 15, 1997. No comments were received as a result of the notice. Therefore, as set forth below, the temporary tolerances are extended for an additional year with an expiration date of August 15, 1997, to cover residues existing from the continued conditional registration of thiodicarb. The tolerances could be made permanent if full registration is subsequently granted. Notice of further action on these tolerances will be published for comment in the Federal Register. Residues remaining in or on the above raw agricultural commodities after expiration of the tolerances will not be considered actionable if the pesticide is legally applied during the term of, and in accordance with, provisions of the conditional registrations.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, within 30 days after publication of this document in the Federal Register, file written objections to the regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. Objections and hearing requests must be filed with the Hearing Clerk, at the

address given above (40 CFR 178.20). A copy of the objections and/or hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be submitted to the OPP docket for this rulemaking. The objections submitted must specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). Each objection must be accompanied by the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(i). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issue(s) on which a hearing is requested, the requestor's contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon by the objector (40 CFR 178.27). A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established, resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issue(s) in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32).

A record has been established for this rulemaking under docket number [PP 6F3417 and 7F3516/R2192] (including objections and hearing requests submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the document control number [PP 6F3417 and 7F3516/R2192], may be submitted to the Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

A copy of electronic objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk can be sent directly to EPA at: opp-Docket@epamail.epa.gov

A copy of electronic objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public

version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer any objections and hearing requests received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all objections and hearing requests submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper record maintained at the address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Agency must determine whether the regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to all the requirements of the Executive Order (i.e., Regulatory Impact Analysis, review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)). Under section 3(f), the order defines "significant" as those actions likely to lead to a rule (1) having an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely and materially affecting a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local or tribal governments or communities (also known as "economically significant"); (2) creating serious inconsistency or otherwise interfering with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially altering the budgetary impacts of entitlement, grants, user fees, or loan programs; or (4) raising novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of this Executive Order, EPA has determined that this rule is not "significant" and is therefore not subject to OMB review.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the Federal Register of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: December 5, 1995.

Stephen L. Johnson,  
Director, Registration Division, Office of  
Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR part 180 is amended as follows:

#### **PART 180—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

#### **§ 180.407 [Amended]**

2. Section 180.407 *Thiodicarb; tolerances for residues* is amended in paragraph (b) introductory text by changing "August 15, 1996" to read "August 15, 1997", and in paragraph (c) introductory text by changing "August 15, 1996" to read "August 15, 1997".

[FR Doc. 95-30974 Filed 12-19-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

#### **40 CFR Part 180**

[PP 9F3787/R2194; FRL-4991-1]

RIN 2070-AB78

#### **Avermectin B<sub>1</sub> and Its Delta-8,9-Isomer; Pesticide Tolerance**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document establishes a tolerance for combined residues of the insecticide avermectin B<sub>1</sub> and its delta-8,9-isomer in or on the raw agricultural commodity pears. Merck Research Laboratories requested this regulation to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of the insecticide pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This regulation becomes effective December 20, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the document control number [PP 9F3787/R2194], may be submitted to: Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. A copy of any objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be identified by the document control number and submitted to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring copy of objections and hearing requests to: Rm. 1132, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington,

VA 22202. Fees accompanying objections shall be labeled "Tolerance Petition Fees" and forwarded to EPA Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, OPP (Tolerance Fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251.

A copy of objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Copies of objections and hearing requests must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect in 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All copies of objections and hearing requests in electronic form must be identified by the docket number [PP 9F3787/R2194]. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic copies of objections and hearing requests on this rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries. Additional information on electronic submissions can be found below in this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** By mail: George LaRocca, Product Manager (PM) 13, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Rm. 204, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202, (703)-305-6100; e-mail: larocca.george.@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA issued a notice, published in the Federal Register of November 1, 1989 (54 FR 46118), which announced that Merck Research Laboratories, Inc., Hillsborough Rd., Three Bridges, NJ 98887, had submitted a pesticide petition (PP 9F3787) to EPA requesting that the Administrator, pursuant to section 408(d) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d), establish a tolerance for combined residues of the insecticide avermectin B<sub>1</sub> and its delta-8,9-isomer in or on the raw agricultural commodity (RAC) pears at 0.035 part per million (ppm). In a letter dated September 22, 1993, Merck requested that the pesticide petition be amended by proposing a lower tolerance on pears at 0.02 ppm. No comments were received in response to the notice of filing (See 58 FR 64583; Dec. 8, 1993).

The data submitted in support of this tolerance and other relevant material have been reviewed. The toxicological and metabolism data considered in support of this tolerance are discussed

in detail in related documents published in the Federal Register of May 31, 1989 (54 FR 23209, cottonseed) and August 2, 1989 (54 FR 31836, citrus). The Agency used a two-generation rat reproduction study with an uncertainty factor of 300 to establish a Reference Dose (RfD). The 300-fold uncertainty factor was utilized for (1) inter- and intra-species differences, (2) the extremely serious nature (pup death) observed in the reproduction study, (3) maternal toxicity (lethality) no-observable-effect level (NOEL) (0.05 mg/kg/day), and (4) cleft palate in the mouse developmental toxicity study with isomer (NOEL = 0.06 mg/kg/day). Thus, based on a NOEL of 0.12 mg/kg/day from the two-generation rat reproduction and an uncertainty factor of 300, the RfD is 0.0004 mg/kg/body weight(bwt)/day.

A chronic dietary exposure/risk assessment has been performed for avermectin B<sub>1</sub> using the above RfD. Available information on anticipated residues and 100% crop treated was incorporated into the analysis to estimate the Anticipated Residue Contribution (ARC). The ARC is generally considered a more realistic estimate than an estimate based on the tolerance level residues. The ARC for established tolerances and the current action is estimated at 0.000013 mg/kg/bwt/day and utilizes 3.4 percent of the RfD for the U.S. population. For nonnursing infants less than 1-year old (the sub-group population with the highest exposure level) the ARC for established tolerances and the current action is estimated at 0.000030 mg/kg bwt/day and utilizes 7.5% of the RfD. Generally speaking, the Agency has no cause for concern if anticipated residues contribution for all published and proposed tolerances is less than the RfD.

Because of the developmental effects seen in animal studies, the Agency used the mouse teratology study (with a NOEL of 0.06 mg/kg/day for developmental toxicity for the delta-8,9 isomer) to assess acute dietary exposure and determine a margin of exposure (MOE) for the overall U.S. population and certain subgroups. Since the toxicological end point pertains to developmental toxicity, the population group of interest for this analysis is women aged 13 and above, the subgroup which most closely approximates women of child-bearing ages. The MOE is calculated as the ratio of the NOEL to the exposure. For this analysis, the Agency calculated the MOE for the high-end exposures for women ages 13 and above. The MOE is 1,000. Generally speaking, MOEs greater than 100 for