

TABLE 1.—NUCLEAR MATERIALS AT THE SAVANNAH RIVER SITE—Continued  
[From DOE/EIS-0220, "Interim Management of Nuclear Materials"]

Description	Quantity <sup>a</sup>	Location(s)
Failed TRR <sup>b</sup> and EBR-II <sup>c</sup> slugs.	82 canisters .....	RBOF.

<sup>a</sup>Quantities of materials shown are approximate. Quantities of radioactive solutions stored in tanks fluctuate due to natural evaporation and the addition of materials (e.g., nitric acid) to maintain chemistry within established parameters.

<sup>b</sup>Taiwan Research Reactor—81 canisters.

<sup>c</sup>Experimental Breeder Reactor-II—1 canister.

TABLE 2.—ALTERNATIVES FOR THE INTERIM MANAGEMENT OF NUCLEAR MATERIALS AT THE SRS  
[From DOE/EIS-0220, "Interim Management of Nuclear Materials"]

Material	Alternatives						
	Continuing storage (no action)	Processing to metal	Processing to oxide	Blending down to low enriched uranium	Processing and storage for vitrification (DWPf) <sup>a</sup>	Vitrification (F-canyon)	Improving storage
Stable .....	✓	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Plutonium-242 .....	x	x	✓	.....	x	x	.....
Americium and curium .....	✓ <sup>±</sup>	.....	x	.....	x	✓ <sup>c</sup>	.....
Neptunium .....	x	.....	✓	.....	x	x	.....
Plutonium-239 solutions .....	x	x	✓	.....	x	x	.....
Highly enriched uranium solutions .....	x	.....	x	✓	x	.....	.....
Plutonium and uranium in vaults <sup>d</sup> .....	x	✓	✓	.....	x	✓	✓
Mark-31 targets .....	x	✓	x	.....	x	x	x
Mark-16 and Mark-22 fuels .....	✓	.....	x	x	x	.....	x
Other aluminum-clad targets .....	✓	.....	.....	.....	x	.....	x
Failed TRR fuel and EBR-II slugs <sup>e</sup> .....	x	✓	x	.....	x	x	x

x=alternative evaluated.

✓=preferred alternative designated by DOE in Final EIS.

<sup>a</sup>DWPf=Defense Waste Processing Facility.

<sup>b</sup>Targets.

<sup>c</sup>Solutions.

<sup>d</sup>For the plutonium and uranium stored in vaults, there were four preferred alternatives. DOE will base its choice of the applicable alternative for a particular solid upon inspection of the material.

<sup>e</sup>TRR=Taiwan Research Reactor, EBR-II—Experimental Breeder Reactor-II.

[FR Doc. 95-30750 Filed 12-18-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6450-01-P-M

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. QF86-896-007]

**Piney Creek Limited Partnership; Notice of Application for Commission Recertification of Qualifying Status of a Small Power Production Facility**

December 13, 1995.

On November 28, 1995, Piney Creek Limited Partnership (Piney Creek) of 25 West 3rd Street, Suite 803, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, 17701 submitted for filing an application for recertification of a facility as a qualifying small Power production facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the bituminous coal refuse-fueled small power production facility is located in

Clarion County, Pennsylvania. The Commission previously certified the capacity of the facility to be 29.9 MW. The facility consists of a fluidized bed boiler and an extraction/condensing steam turbine generator. The instant application for recertification was submitted to report a change in ownership of the facility and an increase in the maximum net electric power production capacity from 29.9 MW to 33 MW. In addition, applicant requests that the Commission certify certain proposed fuel sources as "waste".

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to the granting of qualifying status should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-30715 Filed 12-18-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. CP66-111-003 and CP96-26-000]

**Great Lakes Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed St. Clair River Crossing Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues**

December 13, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an