

to the phasedown. (See AR pp. 69–70). These allegations were not backed up by any supporting documentation, and none of the other union witnesses supplied any evidence or documentation.

The remand findings show that the Walker plant in Queretaro, Mexico does not produce any goods or products like or directly competitive with the articles formerly produced at Hebron. The Mexican plant is a supplier of exhaust systems for General Motors, Chrysler and Volkswagen and to the replacement parts market (aftermarket) in Mexico. The Hebron plant, on the other hand, produced exhaust systems only for Ford. These customized exhaust systems are not interchangeable. (See AR p. 74).

The findings also show that no production was transferred to Mexico as a result of the closure of the Hebron plant. (See AR p.49, p.64). Neither the Hebron plant nor Walker's Mexico plant supply the same customers. (See AR p. 49). Only the production of resonator bodies was transferred to Canada; however, this transfer accounted for only a very small portion of Hebron's total production and the workers were not separately identifiable by product. All other production was transferred to company owned domestic plants, primarily Marshall, Michigan and Lionier, Indiana. (See AR p. 62).

Other findings on reconsideration show that the Hebron plant closure was due to capacity concerns within Walker Manufacturing and Walker's desire to provide better service for Hebron's sole customer, Ford Motor Company. (See AR p. 62).

On remand, the Department received a further breakout by month of Hebron's production and a listing of all Hebron's capital assets shipped to other corporate locations. (See AR pp. 118–46). These new findings show that Canadian corporate exports (from Cambridge) to the U.S. from May 1, 1992 to April 30, 1994, were less than one-tenth of one percent of Hebron's sales during the same period. (See AR pp. 147, 149). Such a small proportion is too insignificant to form a basis for a worker group certification, especially since the Hebron workers are not separately identifiable by product, and thus it cannot be determined how many workers produced the components that are now being imported. (See AR p. 23, pp. 28–29).

Other findings on reconsideration show only very small amounts of Hebron's assets were shipped to Mexico. (See AR pp. 118–152). Contrary to the contention of the plaintiffs' counsel, (See AR p. 163), the mere transfer of

machinery from a domestic plant to a Mexican or Canadian plant would not, by itself, form a basis for a worker group certification under the NAFTA provisions of the Trade Act. Rather the NAFTA provisions specifically state that there must be a shift in production of *articles* from a domestic firm to a Mexican or Canadian plant for the workers to be eligible to apply for transitional adjustment assistance, not the shifts of *machinery* associated with those, or any other type of articles. (Trade Act, Sec 250(a)(1)(B), 19 U.S.C. § 2331(a)(1)(B)). Since no articles formerly produced at the Hebron plant are now being produced in Mexico, the transfer of production criterion has not been met here.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of the Walker Manufacturing Company in Hebron, Ohio.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of May 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–30156 Filed 12–11–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[NAFTA–00602]

Conagra Flour Milling Company Superior, Wisconsin; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Program Manager of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at ConAgra Flour Milling Company, Superior, Wisconsin. The review indicated that the application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

NAFTA–00602; ConAgra Flour Milling Company, Superior, Wisconsin (November 22, 1995)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 29th day of November, 1995.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–30161 Filed 12–11–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[NAFTA–00609]

Dow Chemical Company Corporate Aviation Division, Freeland, Michigan; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Program Manager of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Dow Chemical Co., Corporate Aviation Division, Freeland, Michigan. The review indicated that the application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

NAFTA–00609; Dow Chemical Co., Corporate Aviation Division, Freeland, Michigan (November 22, 1995)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 29th day of November, 1995.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–30160 Filed 12–11–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[NAFTA–00634]

Lockheed Martin, Ocean, Radar & Sensor Systems, Utica, New York; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on October 26, 1995, applicable to all workers of Lockheed Martin, Ocean, Radar & Sensor Systems Division located in Utica, New York. The notice will soon be published in the Federal Register.

At the request of the State designee, the Department has reviewed the subject certification to specify that only the inspection operation of the printed circuit board assemblies are being shifted to Mexico.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include workers engaged in the inspection operation of the printed circuit board assemblies at Lockheed Martin, Ocean, Radar & Sensor Systems Division located in Utica, New York that were adversely affected by the shift in production of the inspection operation to Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA–00634 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers engaged in the inspection operation of the printed circuit board assemblies at Lockheed Martin, Ocean, Radar & Sensor Systems Division located in Utica, New York who become totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 5, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1994.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 1st day of November 1995.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-30155 Filed 12-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[NAFTA-00358]

Sun Apparel, Inc., El Paso, Texas; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor issued a Certification for NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance on March 10, 1995, applicable to all workers of Sun Apparel, Inc., Concepcion Plant located in El Paso, Texas. The notice was published in the Federal Register on March 22, 1995 (60 FR 15164).

At the request of the State Agency, the Department reviewed the subject certification. New findings show that worker separations have occurred at the Sun Apparel's Armour Plant in El Paso. The workers at the Armour Plant, like the Concepcion Plant, are engaged in employment related to the production of jeans. The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by increased imports from Mexico or Canada. Therefore, the Department is amending the certification to expand coverage to all workers of Sun Apparel in El Paso, not just those workers at the Concepcion Plant.

The amended notice applicable to NAFTA-00358 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Sun Apparel, El Paso, Texas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 2, 1994 are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of November 1995.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-30148 Filed 12-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Collection of Information Submitted for OMB Review

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, on October 4, 19985, Federal Register No. 192, page 52024, the National Science Foundation (NSF) published, for public comment, a proposed collection of information, "Survey of Industrial Research and Development, 1995-97." No public comments were received. The collection of information is now being forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget for consideration. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call Herman Fleming, NSF Clearance Officer at (703) 306-1243, or send comments to: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 485, Arlington, VA 22230.

Written comments should be received by January 5, 1995.

Abstract: This survey measures the amount and indicates the direction of R&D expenditures by U.S. industry, Government agencies, corporations, academic researchers, trade associations, research organizations, and others use the survey statistics to analyze and forecast technological growth, investigate productivity determinants, formulate tax policies, and compare individual company performance with industry averages.

Companies with known R&D activity and samples of companies in selected industries that may conduct R&D are included.

Dated: December 7, 1995.

Herman G. Fleming,
NSF Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-30229 Filed 12-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-309, 50-285, 50-317, 50-318, 50-336, and 50-335]

Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co., Omaha Public Power District, Baltimore Gas and Electric Co., Northeast Nuclear Energy Co., and Florida Power & Light Co.; Maine Yankee, Fort Calhoun Unit 1, Calvert Cliffs Units 1 and 2, Millstone Unit 2, and St. Lucie Unit 1; Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has taken action with regard to a Petition dated May 2, 1995, by Mr. John F. Doherty, J.D. (Petition for action under 10 CFR 2.206). The Petition pertains to the following plants: Maine Yankee, Fort Calhoun Unit 1, Calvert Cliffs Units 1 and 2, Millstone Unit 2, and St. Lucie Unit 1.

In the Petition, Petitioner requested that the following six pressurized-water reactors be immediately shut down: Maine Yankee, Fort Calhoun Unit 1, Calvert Cliffs Units 1 and 2, Millstone Unit 2, and St. Lucie Unit 1. In addition, the Petitioner requested that steam generator tubes be inspected immediately at those plants.

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined to deny the Petition. The reasons for this denial are explained in the "Director's Decision Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206" (DD-95-22), the complete text of which follows this notice, and is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

A copy of the Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c) of the Commission's regulations. As provided by this regulation, the Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of issuance unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of December, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
William T. Russell,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation,
William T. Russell, Director

In the Matter of: Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co., Omaha Public Power District, Baltimore Gas and Electric Co., Northeast