

On January 30, 1995, the Department submitted to the CIT the final results of redetermination of the fourth administrative review.

On March 15, 1995, the CIT issued a second order remanding to the Department the January 30, 1995, final results of redetermination. In its opinion, the CIT ordered the Department to treat home market warranty expenses as direct selling expenses for the purposes of the circumstance-of-sale adjustment. As a result of our recalculations, we have determined that the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period April 1, 1986 through March 31, 1987:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
Samsung	0.29

Because the CIT's decision affirming our redetermination has become final and conclusive, the Department will order the immediate lifting of the suspension of liquidation and instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on entries subject to this review, as appropriate. Individual differences between foreign market value and U.S. price may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions concerning these entries directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This amendment of final results of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(f) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1673(d)(1994)) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: November 21, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-29892 Filed 12-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-580-008]

Color Television Receivers From the Republic of Korea; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On March 27, 1991, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on color television receivers (CTVs) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) (56 FR 12701). The review covered the period April 1, 1987, through March 31, 1988. On September 21, 1994, the Court of International Trade (CIT) remanded to the Department for redetermination the final results of the fifth administrative review of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (Samsung)(Slip Op. 94-149). On January 30, 1995, the Department submitted to the CIT the final results of redetermination. On March 16, 1995, the CIT issued a second order (Slip Op. 95-48) remanding to the Department the January 30, 1995, final results of redetermination. On April 14, 1995, the Department submitted a second final results of redetermination to the CIT. On May 31, 1995, the CIT affirmed the Department's second redetermination (Slip Op. 95-101). Since the CIT's ruling was not appealed, and the CIT's decision affirming our redetermination has therefore become final and conclusive, we are amending our final results of the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CTVs from Korea with respect to Samsung.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 7, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Hanley or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review include CTVs, complete and incomplete, from the Republic of Korea. This merchandise is currently classified under item numbers 8528.10.80, 8529.90.15, 8529.90.20, and 8540.11.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Since the order covers all CTVs regardless of HTS classification, the

HTS subheading is provided for convenience and for the U.S. Customs Service purposes. Our written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive. The period of review is April 1, 1987 through March 31, 1988.

Amended Final Results of Review

On September 21, 1994, the CIT ordered the Department to: (1) Recalculate the value added tax (VAT) adjustment according to its new methodology, (2) treat bad debt as a direct selling expense if sufficient data exists, (3) reconsider its treatment of home market warranty expenses, and (4) reconsider the classification of forwarding expenses. On January 30, 1995, the Department submitted to the CIT the final results of redetermination of the fifth administrative review.

On March 16, 1995, the CIT issued a second order remanding to the Department the January 30, 1995, final results of redetermination. In its opinion, the CIT ordered the Department to treat home market warranty expenses as direct selling expenses for the purposes of the circumstance-of-sale adjustment. As a result of our recalculations, we have determined that the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period April 1, 1987 through March 31, 1988:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
Samsung	0.10

Because the CIT's decision affirming our redetermination has become final and conclusive, the Department will order the immediate lifting of the suspension of liquidation and instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on entries subject to this review, as appropriate. Individual differences between foreign market value and U.S. price may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions concerning these entries directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This amendment of final results of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(f) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1673(d)(1994)) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: November 21, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-29893 Filed 12-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Minority Business Development Agency

Solicitation of Business Development Center Applications for Oklahoma City and San Diego

AGENCY: Minority Business Development Agency, Commerce.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Executive Order 11625 and 15 U.S.C. 1512, the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) is soliciting competitive applications from organizations to operate the Minority Business Development Center (MBDC) listed in this document.

The purpose of the MBDC Program is to provide business development services to the minority business community to help establish and maintain viable minority businesses. To this end, MBDA funds organizations to identify and coordinate public and private sector resources on behalf of minority individuals and firms; to offer a full range of client services to minority entrepreneurs; and to serve as a conduit of information and assistance regarding minority business.

Proper Identification Is Required for Entrance Into Any Federal Building

DATES: The closing date for applications for each MBDC is listed below:

ADDRESSES: Completed application packages should be submitted on or before the closing date to the U.S. Department of Commerce, Minority Business Development Agency, MBDA Executive Secretariat, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 5073, Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following are MBDCs for which applications are solicited:

1. **MBDC Application:** Oklahoma City.

Metropolitan Area Serviced:
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Award Number: 06-10-96001-01.

Closing Date for Applications: January 8, 1996.

For Further Information and an Application Package, Contact: Demetrice Jenkins, (214) 767-8001.

Pre-APPLICATION Conference: December 22, 1995, at 10:00 a.m., at the Dallas Regional Office, 1100 Commerce Street, Room 7B23, Dallas, Texas 75242, (214) 767-8001.

Cost of Performance Information: Contingent upon the availability of Federal funds, the cost of performance for the first budget period (13 months) from April 1, 1996 to April 30, 1997, is estimated at \$198,971. The total Federal amount is \$169,125 and is composed of \$165,000 plus the Audit Fee amount of \$4,125. The application must include a minimum cost share of 15%, \$29,846 in non-federal (cost-sharing) contributions for a total project cost of \$198,971. Cost-sharing contributions may be in the form of cash, client fees, third party in-kind contributions, non-cash applicant contributions or combinations thereof.

2. **MBDC Application:** San Diego.

Metropolitan Area Serviced: San Diego, California.

Award Number: 09-10-96003-01.

Closing Date for Applications: January 8, 1996.

For Further Information and An Application Package, Contact: Steven Saho, (415) 744-3001.

Pre-Application Conference:

December 28, 1995—call the San Francisco Regional Office for time and location: (415) 744-3001.

Cost of Performance Information:

Contingent upon the availability of Federal funds, the cost of performance for the first budget period (13 months) from April 1, 1996 to April 30, 1997, is estimated at \$333,125. The total Federal amount is \$283,156 and is composed of \$276,250 plus the Audit Fee amount of \$6,906. The application must include a minimum cost share of 15%, \$49,969 in non-federal (cost-sharing) contributions for a total project cost of \$333,125. Cost-sharing contributions may be in the form of cash, client fees, third party in-kind contributions, non-cash applicant contributions or combinations thereof.

Standard Paragraphs—The following information and requirements are applicable to the above-listed MBDCs.

The funding instrument for this project will be a cooperative agreement. If the recommended applicant is the current incumbent organization, the award will be for 12 months. For those applicants who are not incumbent organizations or who are incumbents that have experienced closure due to a break in service, a 30-day start-up period will be added to their first budget period, making it a 13-month award. Competition is open to individuals, non-profit and for-profit organizations, state and local governments, American Indian tribes and educational institutions.

Applications will be evaluated on the following criteria: The knowledge, background and/or capabilities of the firm and its staff in addressing the needs of the business community in general and, specifically, the special needs of minority businesses, individuals and organizations (45 points), the resources available to the firm in providing business development services (10 points); the firm's approach (techniques and methodologies) to performing the work requirements included in the application (25 points); and the firm's estimated cost for providing such assistance (20 points). An application must receive at least 70% of the points assigned to each evaluation criteria category to be considered programmatically acceptable and responsive. Those applications determined to be acceptable and responsive will then be evaluated by the Director of MBDA. Final award selections shall be based on the number of points received, the demonstrated responsibility of the applicant, and the determination of those most likely to further the purpose of the MBDA program. Negative audit findings and recommendations and unsatisfactory performance under prior Federal awards may result in an application not being considered for award. The applicant with the highest point score will not necessarily receive the award. Periodic reviews culminating in year-to-date evaluations will be conducted to determine if funding for the project should continue. Continued funding will be at the total discretion of MBDA based on such factors as the MBDC's performance, the availability of funds and Agency priorities.

The MBDC shall be required to contribute at least 15% of the total project cost through non-federal contributions. To assist in this effort, the MBDC may charge client fees for services rendered. Fees may range from \$10 to \$60 per hour based on the gross receipts of the client's business.

Anticipated processing time of this award is 120 days. Executive order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs," is not applicable to this program. Federal funds for this project include audit funds for non-CPA recipients. In event that a CPA firm wins the competition, the funds allocated for audits are not applicable. Questions concerning the preceding information can be answered by the contact person indicated above, and copies of application kits and applicable regulations can be obtained at the above address. The collection of information requirements for this project have been approved by the Office of Management