

publication of this document in the Federal Register that the portion of this rulemaking proposal concerning establishment, amendment, or revocation of tolerances under section 408 be referred to an Advisory Committee in accordance with section 408(e) of FFDCA.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed regulations. Comments must bear a notation indicating the document control number, [PP 3F4169 and FAP 35655/P628]. All written comments filed in response to this petition will be available in the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, at the address given above from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays.

**VII. Administrative Matters**

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Agency must determine whether the regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to all the requirements of the Executive Order (i.e., Regulatory Impact Analysis, review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)). Under section 3(f), the order defines "significant" as those actions likely to lead to a rule (1) having an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely and materially affecting a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities (also known as "economically significant"); (2) creating serious inconsistency or otherwise interfering with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially altering the budgetary impacts of entitlement, grants, user fees, or loan programs; or (4) raising novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of this Executive Order, EPA has determined that this rule is not "significant" and is therefore not subject to OMB review.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the Federal Register of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950). EPA has treated regulations similar to the establishment of

tolerances as also not having a significant economic impact on substantial number of small entities. Therefore, the proposed MRL is not expected to have such impact.

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 180 and 186**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Feed additives, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 9, 1995.

Peter Caulkins,  
*Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

Therefore, it is proposed that 40 CFR parts 180 and 186 be amended as follows:

**PART 180—[AMENDED]**

- 1. In part 180:
  - a. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

- b. In § 180.472, by amending paragraph (a) by adding and alphabetically inserting the following new entries and by removing paragraph (b) and designating it as reserved, to read as follows:

**§ 180.472 1-[(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine; tolerances for residues.**

(a) \* \* \*

Commodity	Parts per million
*****	
Cotton, gin byproducts .....	4
Cottonseed .....	6
*****	

(b) [Reserved]  
\* \* \* \* \*

**PART 186—[AMENDED]**

- 2. In part 186:
  - a. By revising the title of part 186 to read as follows:

**Part 186—Pesticides in Animal Feed**

- b. The authority citation for part 186 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 342, 348, and 701.

- c. In § 186.900, by revising paragraph (b), to read as follows:

**§ 186.900 1-[(6-Chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolinimine.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) A maximum residue level regulation is established for residues of the insecticide 1-[(6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine in or on the following feed resulting from application of the insecticide to cotton:

Food	Parts per million
Cottonseed meal .....	8

This regulation reflects the maximum level of residues in cottonseed meal consistent with use of 1-[(6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine on cotton in conformity with § 180.472 of this chapter and with the use of good manufacturing practices.

\* \* \* \* \*  
[FR Doc. 95-29250 Filed 12-5-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

**FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY**

**44 CFR Part 67**

[Docket No. FEMA-7163]

**Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations**

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Technical information or comments are requested on the proposed base (1% annual chance) flood elevations and proposed base flood elevation modifications for the communities listed below. The base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

**DATES:** The comment period is ninety (90) days following the second publication of this proposed rule in a newspaper of local circulation in each community.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed base flood elevations for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the following table.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael K. Buckley, P.E., Chief, Hazard

Identification Branch, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-2756.  
**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency proposes to make determinations of base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations for each community listed below, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed base flood and modified base flood elevations, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are

made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

**National Environmental Policy Act**

This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

**Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Associate Director, Mitigation Directorate, certifies that this proposed rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because proposed or modified base flood elevations are required by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and are required to establish and maintain community eligibility in the NFIP. No regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared.

**Regulatory Classification**

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of Section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

**Executive Order 12612, Federalism**

This proposed rule involves no policies that have federalism

implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, dated October 26, 1987.

**Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform**

This proposed rule meets the applicable standards of Section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.

**List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 67**

Administrative practice and procedure, Flood insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 44 CFR Part 67 is proposed to be amended as follows:

**PART 67—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for Part 67 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

**§ 67.4 [Amended]**

2. The tables published under the authority of § 67.4 are proposed to be amended as follows:

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
Arizona .....	Flagstaff (City), Coconino County.	Clay Avenue Wash ....	At Milton Road .....	*6,895	*6,894
			Approximately 450 feet upstream of Malpais Lane.	*6,897	*6,899
			Approximately 80 feet upstream of Blackbird Forest Street.	*6,900	*6,901
			At Chateau Drive .....	*6,905	*6,905
			Approximately 980 feet upstream of Chateau Drive.	*6,929	*6,930
			Approximately 1,300 feet upstream of Chateau Drive.	*6,931	*6,931

Maps are available for inspection at the City of Flagstaff, City Hall, 211 West Aspen Avenue, Flagstaff, Arizona.

Send comments to The Honorable Christopher J. Bavasi, Mayor, City of Flagstaff, 211 West Aspen Avenue, Flagstaff, Arizona 86001.

Idaho .....	Ada County, (Unincorporated Areas).	Cottonwood Gulch ....	Approximately 7,615 feet above Garrison Road at the City of Boise corporate limits.	None	*2,896
			Approximately 9,100 feet above Garrison Road.	None	*2,930
			Approximately 100 feet upstream of Shaw Mountain Road.	None	*2,953
			Approximately 1,280 feet above Shaw Mountain Road.	None	*2,980
			Approximately 2,280 feet above Shaw Mountain Road.	None	*3,010
		Stuart Gulch .....	Approximately 2,000 feet downstream of Cartwright Road at the City of Boise corporate limits.	None	*2,796
			Approximately 1,360 feet downstream of Cartwright Road.	None	*2,840
		Approximately 500 feet downstream of Cartwright Road.	None	*2,861	

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
		Hulls Gulch .....	Approximately 700 feet downstream of McCord Lane at the City of Boise corporate limits.	None	*2,864
			At McCord Lane .....	None	*2,903
			Approximately 2,300 feet upstream of McCord Lane.	None	*2,971

Maps are available for inspection at the Ada County Development Services Office, 650 Main Street, Boise, Idaho.

Send comments to The Honorable Vern Bisterfeldt, Chairman, Ada County Board of Commissioners, 650 Main Street, Boise, Idaho 83702.

Idaho .....	Boise (City), Ada County.	Stuart Gulch .....	Approximately 100 feet upstream of Stuart Gulch Road.	None	*2,692		
			Approximately 3,000 feet upstream of Stuart Gulch Road at an unnamed road.	None	*2,745		
			Approximately 4,600 feet upstream of Stuart Gulch Road at an unnamed road.	None	*2,785		
			Approximately 5,000 feet upstream of Stuart Gulch Road.	*2,800	*2,795		
				Approximately 6,910 feet upstream of Stuart Gulch Road at the City of Boise corporate limits.	None	*2,825	
			Stuart Gulch Split Flow Channel.	At the convergence with Stuart Gulch, approximately 2,350 feet upstream of Stuart Gulch Road.	None	*2,725	
				Approximately 2,200 feet upstream of the convergence with Stuart Gulch at an unnamed road.	None	*2,775	
			Crane Gulch .....	At the divergence from Stuart Gulch .....	None	*2,800	
		At Hill Road .....		None	*2,732		
				Just upstream of Parkhill Drive .....	None	*2,750	
				Just upstream of Cottonwood Court .....	None	*2,773	
				Just upstream of Ranch Road .....	None	*2,795	
				Just downstream of Curling Drive .....	None	*2,865	
			Hulls Gulch .....	Just upstream of the intersection of 9th Street and Heron Street.	None	*2,735	
					Approximately 1,400 feet downstream of Mile High Road at 9th Street.	None	*2,761
					Approximately 1,000 feet upstream of Mile High Road.	None	*2,826
					Approximately 2,300 feet upstream of Mile High Road at the City of Boise corporate limits.	None	*2,864
			Cottonwood Gulch .....	Approximately 1,100 feet upstream of Garrison Road.	None	*2,748	
					At confluence with Freestone Creek .....	None	*2,793
					Approximately 4,300 feet upstream of confluence with Freestone Creek at the City of Boise corporate limits.	None	*2,878
		Approximately 5,085 feet upstream of confluence with Freestone Creek at the City of Boise corporate limits.	None	*2,898			

Maps are available for inspection at the Office of Community Planning and Development, City Hall, 150 North Capitol Boulevard, Boise, Idaho.

Send comments to The Honorable Brent H. Coles, Mayor, City of Boise, City Hall, P.O. Box 500, Boise, Idaho 83701-0500.

Texas .....	Uvalde (City), Uvalde County.	Cooks Slough .....	Approximately 500 feet downstream of West Main Street.	*900	*899
			Just downstream of Fort Clark Street .....	*903	*901
			Approximately 4,300 feet downstream of Benson Road.	*911	*908
			Approximately 300 feet downstream of Benson Road.	*915	*917

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified

Maps are available for inspection at Uvalde City Hall, Highway 90, Uvalde, Texas.  
 Send comments to The Honorable George Horner, Mayor, City of Uvalde, P.O. Box 799, Uvalde, Texas 78801.

Texas .....	Uvalde County, (Unincorporated Areas).	Cooks Slough .....	Approximately 1,100 feet upstream of U.S. Highway 83 (or 100 feet upstream of South Grove Street).	*891	*893
			Just downstream of the grade control structure.	*906	*904
			Approximately 2,470 feet upstream of Benson Road (FM 1052).	*918	*920

Maps are available for inspection at the County Judge's Office, Uvalde County Courthouse, Courthouse Square, Corner of Main and Getty Streets, Uvalde, Texas.  
 Send comments to The Honorable William R. Mitchell, County Judge, Uvalde County Courthouse, Uvalde, Texas 78801.

Washington .....	Ferry County, (Unincorporated Areas).	Kettle RiverReach 7 ..	Approximately 73.96 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,806	
			Approximately 74.66 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,810	
			Approximately 75.17 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	*1,813	*1,812	
			Approximately 75.52 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	*1,814	*1,814	
			Approximately 75.84 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	*1,816	*1,815	
			Kettle RiverReach 1 (Near Barstow).	Approximately 9.87 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,306
				Approximately 10.36 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,309
				Approximately 10.85 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,312
			Kettle RiverReach 2 (Near Orient).	Approximately 18.62 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,389
				Approximately 18.77 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,390
				Approximately 19.17 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,391
			Kettle RiverReach 3 (Near Laurier).	Approximately 27.24 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,435
				Approximately 27.53 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,438
				Approximately 28.00 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,441
				Approximately 28.27 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,443
			Kettle RiverReach 4 (Near Danville).	Approximately 58.0 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,732
				Approximately 58.43 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,733
			Kettle RiverReach 5 (Near Curlew).	Approximately 64.87 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,764
				Approximately 65.17 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,765
				Approximately 65.45 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,766
Kettle RiverReach 8 (Near Ferry).	Approximately 84.78 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,864			
	Approximately 85.15 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,866			
	Approximately 85.78 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,868			

Maps are available for inspection at the Ferry County Planning Department, 146 North Clark, Suite 7, Republic, Washington.  
 Send comments to The Honorable Ed F. Windson, Chairperson, Ferry County Commissioners, County Courthouse, 350 East Delaware, Republic, Washington 99166.

Washington	Stevens County, (Unincorporated Areas).	Kettle RiverReach 1 (Near Barstow).	Approximately 9.87 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,306
------------	---	-------------------------------------	--	------	--------

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
		Kettle River Reach 2 (Near Orient).	Approximately 10.5 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,310
			Approximately 10.86 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,312
		Kettle River Reach 3 (Near Laurier).	Approximately 18.62 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,389
			Approximately 19.17 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,392
			Approximately 27.24 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,435
			Approximately 27.8 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,440
			Approximately 28.26 miles upstream of confluence with the Columbia River.	None	*1,443

Maps are available for inspection at the Stevens County Planning Department, 260 South Oak Street, Colville, Washington. Send comments to The Honorable Alan L. Mack, Chairperson, Stevens County Commissioners, County Courthouse, 215 South Oak Street, Colville, Washington 99114.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance.")  
 Dated: November 29, 1995.  
 Richard T. Moore,  
*Associate Director for Mitigation.*  
 [FR Doc. 95-29706 Filed 12-5-95; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6718-04-P**

Radio, P.O. Box 572, Ripley, Mississippi 38663 (petitioner).  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-173, adopted November 8, 1995, and released November 30, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Federal Communications Commission.  
 John A. Karousos,  
*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*  
 [FR Doc. 95-29656 Filed 12-5-95; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6712-01-F**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Part 73**

[MM Docket No. 95-173; RM-8725]

**Radio Broadcasting Services; Calhoun City, MS**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.  
**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition by WKZU Radio, licensee of Station WKZU(FM), Channel 272A, Ripley, Mississippi, proposing the deletion of vacant Channel 272A at Calhoun City, Mississippi. Any party wishing to express an interest in Channel 272A at Calhoun City, Mississippi, should file their expression of interest by the initial comment deadline specified herein.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before January 22, 1996, and reply comments on or before February 6, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Harry Holliday, WKZU

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**50 CFR Parts 611, 675, 676, and 677**

[Docket No. 95112820-5280-01; I.D. 111495A]

**Groundfish Fishery of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands; Limited Access; Foreign Fishing; Proposed 1996 Initial Harvest Specifications**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed 1996 initial specifications for groundfish and associated management measures; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS proposes 1996 initial harvest specifications, prohibited species bycatch allowances, and associated measures for the groundfish fishery of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands management area (BSAI). This action is necessary to inform the public about proposed 1996 harvest specifications and associated management measures. The intended effect is to conserve and manage the groundfish resources in the BSAI and to