

Membership in this group research project remains open, and Semiconductor Research Corporation intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 7, 1985, Semiconductor Research Corporation filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 30, 1985 (50 FR 4281).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 22, 1994. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 20, 1994 (59 FR 18830).

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 95-29496 Filed 12-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Transguide System Media Services Software Project

Notice is hereby given that, on August 23, 1995, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute ("SwRI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are: Harte-Hanks Television KENS-Channel 5, San Antonio, TX; KISS Radio of San Antonio, Ltd., San Antonio, TX; KMOL-Channel 4, San Antonio, TX; KSAT-TV12, San Antonio, TX; KSMG, San Antonio, TX; KTFM, San Antonio, TX; KTSA, San Antonio, TX; San Antonio, TX; San Antonio Express News, San Antonio, TX; Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX; and State of Texas, acting by and through the Texas Department of Transportation, San Antonio, TX.

The purpose of the venture is to facilitate the transmission of information for the Texas Department of Transportation Operational Control Center of the TransGuide System to media outlets through the development of personal computer based software which will list current traffic incident scenarios, list current scheduled lane closures and provide a display of a high

level and schematic map of the major highways and road segments where the TransGuide System is active in Bexar County.

Membership in the program remains open, and SwRI intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in the membership or planned activities.

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 95-29504 Filed 12-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Affordable High Performance Computing Cooperative Arrangement

Notice is hereby given that, on June 29, 1995, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the Pratt & Whitney Division of United Technologies Corporation has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of a cooperative arrangement known as the "Coordinated Research Agreement for Development of Affordable High-Performance Computing" (the "AHPC"). The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are: United Technologies Corporation, Hartford, CT; The Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA; CFD Research Company, Huntsville, AL; Platform Computing Company, Newbury, MA; The Research Foundation of the State University of New York, Amherst, NY; and The MacNeal-Schwendler Corporation, Los Angeles, CA.

The purpose of the AHPC is to pursue a coordinated research and development effort leading to development of affordable distributed computing software for use in design of advanced aircraft engine components, while providing technology for commercial and military uses.

Constance K. Robinson,
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 95-29497 Filed 12-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 94-10]

Michael J. Roth, M.D.; Continuation of Registration

On October 27, 1994, the Deputy Assistant Administrator (formerly Director), Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Michael J. Roth, M.D. (Respondent), of Santa Monica, California, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AR8354425, under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4) and deny any pending applications under 823(f), as being inconsistent with the public interest. Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged that:

(1) During the period March 1988 through December 1989, the Respondent prescribed, administered, and dispensed excessive amounts of controlled substances to a single patient, including Demerol, Dilaudid, Xanax, Ativan, Percordan, Tylenol with Codeine, Valium, Percocet, Methodone, and Doriden, without a legitimate medical purpose and while not acting in the usual course of professional practice;

(2) During the same time period, the Respondent further prescribed narcotic drugs to the same narcotic dependent patient for the purpose of maintenance treatment, and engaged in detoxification treatment of that patient without holding a separate DEA registration to conduct a narcotic treatment program; and

(3) During the period January 1991 through February 1993, the Respondent prescribed excessive amounts of controlled substances to two patients, including Chloral Hydrate, Ativan, Dalmane, Tylenol with Codeine, and Fiorinal, without a legitimate medical purpose and while not acting in the usual course of professional practice.

On November 19, 1993, the Respondent, through counsel, filed a timely request for a hearing. On February 23, 1994, the case was consolidated for hearing with *Michael S. Gottlieb, M.D.*, Docket No. 93-53, and *William J. Skinner, M.D.*, Docket No. 93-39. Following prehearing procedures, a hearing was held in Los Angeles, California, on March 29-30 and May 10-12, 1994, before Administrative Law Judge Paul A. Tenney. At the hearing both the Government and the Respondent called witnesses to testify and introduced documentary evidence, and after the hearing, counsel for both sides submitted proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and argument. On October 17, 1994, Judge Tenney