

requested delivery point would not exceed those presently authorized. It is further asserted that both companies have sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries with detriment or disadvantage to either company's other customers. It is explained that the proposed delivery point would not have any impact on either party's peak day or annual deliveries. It is further explained that neither pipeline's tariff prohibits the addition of delivery points.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-29158 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-58-000]

**NorAm Gas Transmission Company;  
Notice of Request Under Blanket  
Authorization**

November 22, 1995.

Take notice that on November 9, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT) 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, in Docket No. CP96-58-000, filed a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) and under its blanket authority issued in Docket Nos. CP82-384-000 and CP82-384-001, to abandon certain facilities in Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, NorAm proposes to abandon an inactive 2-inch domestic tap on NGT's Line NM-3, at pipeline station no 186+84 in Section 7, Township 16 North, Range 14 West, Caddo Parish, Louisiana. NGT installed this tap in 1967 and certificated in Docket No. CP67-83-000 to provide service to a domestic customer served

by Arkla, a division of NorAm Energy Corp. NFT states that Arkla has informed NGT that this tap is no longer active. The cost of the facilities to be abandoned is \$230.00. NGT states that this tap will be removed and capped, as a result of the proposed modification.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity is deemed to be authorized effective on the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-29159 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-45-000]

**Northern Border Pipeline Company;  
Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC  
Gas Tariff**

November 22, 1995.

Take notice that on November 16, 1995, Northern Border Pipeline Company (Northern Border), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to become effective on January 1, 1996:

Second Revised Sheet Number 108  
Second Revised Sheet Number 117  
Third Revised Sheet Number 118  
First Revised Sheet Number 119  
Seventh Revised Sheet Number 157  
Second Revised Sheet Number 158

The proposed changes would on an illustrative basis increase revenues from jurisdictional service by \$7.0 million based on the first year that such changes are in effect. The filing proposes a return on equity of 14.25 percent, which for the 12 months ending December 31, 1996, equates to a pre-tax return on total capital of approximately 14.50 percent. Northern Border also proposes to eliminate the Minimum Revenue Credit in Rate Schedule IT-1 and the mandatory periodic review of its equity rate of return. Northern Border additionally is requesting removal of the

at-risk conditions contained in the certificates for facilities placed in-service during 1991 and 1992 at Docket Nos. CP89-576 and CP91-002. Northern Border has provided pro forma tariff Sheets Nos. 109 and 110 which set forth the Project Related Depreciation Schedule as presented in Exhibit 0 of the certificate amendment at Docket No. CP95-194-001. Effectuation of the pro forma tariff sheets is dependent upon various contingencies, e.g., the approval and completion of the facilities proposed in Docket No. CP95-194-001.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. Under Section 154.209, all such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 28, 1995.

Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-29160 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-52-000]

**Pine Needle LNG Company, LLC;  
Notice of Application**

November 22, 1995.

Take notice that on November 7, 1995, Pine Needle LNG Company, LLC (Pine Needle), by and through its operator, Pine Needle Operating Company (PNOC), filed an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) storage facility in Guilford County, North Carolina and approving initial rates for storage services to be rendered by Pine Needle. Pine Needle's application further requests that the Commission grant Pine Needle a blanket certificate pursuant to Part 284(G) of the Commission's regulations authorizing the storage of gas for others, and a blanket certificate under Part 157(F) of

the Commission's regulations authorizing certain facility construction and operation, abandonments and certificate amendments. Further details on Pine Needle's proposal are contained in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Pine Needle says that the LNG storage facilities will be constructed on an 828 acre site in northwest Guilford County, North Carolina approximately one mile northwest of Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation's (Transco) mainline. The LNG storage facilities will consist of: 2 double wall suspended deck storage tanks each capable of storing 2 Bcf of natural gas equivalent (48 million gallons of LNG); a pre-treatment and liquefaction system; boil-off recompression; an LNG truck loading and unloading station; a vaporization and send out system; a hazard detection/protection system; 1.05 miles of 10-inch diameter inlet pipeline for receipts from Transco's mainline; 1.05 miles of 24-inch diameter pipeline for deliveries to Transco's mainline; and a metering and regulating station in the plant yard with an inlet capacity of 29 MMcf/d and an outlet capacity of 400 MMcf/d.

The pre-treatment and liquefaction systems will liquefy at a net rate of 20 MMcf/d to storage. The vaporization and send-out system will be designed to vaporize and send out from 50 to 400 MMcf/d. The facilities will also include a firewater pond and dam with a capacity of 17,760,000 gallons for hydrostatic and test water and plant firewater. The hazard detection/protection system will also include hydrants, monitors, and deluge nozzles as well as fire, gas, smoke, and high temperature detectors that will be integrated into a plant-wide alarm system. The LNG plant will be accessed by a new 3,900-foot access road extending from the plant site to an existing improved road.

Pine Needle estimates that the total cost of the project will be \$107 million. Pine Needle proposes a 50/50 debt to equity capital structure and will seek non-recourse project financing. PNOC will oversee the construction of Pine Needle's facilities and will operate the facilities pursuant to the terms and conditions of an operating agreement between Pine Needle and PNOC. PNOC will also handle the day-to-day business affairs of Pine Needle.

Pine Needle proposes to place the LNG facility into service on or about May 1, 1995. Pine Needle says the proposed in-service date will allow customers to fill the LNG tanks with their inventory prior to the

commencement of the 1999-2000 winter heating season. Because of the approximately 28 months of construction, pre-commissioning, and cool-down time required, construction of the LNG facilities is scheduled to begin on February 1, 1997.

Pine Needle states that an open season was conducted from August 2 to August 31, 1995, during which it accepted requests for firm LNG storage service. As a result of the open season, Pine Needle executed precedent agreements with customers subscribing to 3.61 Bcf, or 90.25 percent, of the total storage capacity of 4 Bcf. Pine Needle states that it is in the process of marketing the remaining 0.39 Bcf of storage capacity. The precedent agreements provide that the firm peaking LNG storage service will be rendered for an initial term of 20 years, commencing as soon as the necessary approvals are secured and all of the facilities necessary to provide service have been constructed and are ready for liquefaction of gas.

Pine Needle states that it will receive for liquefaction on a firm basis the dekatherm (dth) equivalent of 0.5% of each customer's firm storage capacity quantity per day and will vaporize and redeliver on a firm basis the dth equivalent of 10% of each customer's storage capacity quantity per day. The terms and conditions governing such firm liquefaction, storage, vaporization, and redelivery are set forth in Pine Needle's Rate Schedule LNG-1, included in Exhibit P to the application. Rate Schedule LNG-1 also provides for LNG truck loading services. Truck loading services will also be provided on an interruptible basis under Rate Schedule LNG-2.

Pine Needle states that the initial rate for firm LNG storage service under Rate Schedule LNG-1, will be a monthly demand charge of \$4.85 per Mcf/d of vaporization quantity entitlement. In addition, Pine Needle proposes an initial excess vaporization charge for quantities in excess of the customer's daily vaporization quantity under Rate Schedule LNG-1 of \$5.63 per dth. Pine Needle's customers will also be charged the fuel retention factor and ACA surcharge as set forth in Pine Needle's tariff.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 13, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214)

and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All Protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants party to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 3, 7, and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If motion for leave to intervene is timely filed or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Pine Needle to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29161 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-44-000]

### **Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

November 22, 1995.

Take notice that on November 15, 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing certain revised tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1 listed in Appendix A of the filing. Transco proposes a December 15, 1995 effective date.

This filing revises the rates under Transco's Rate Schedules FT and ESS (Eminence Storage Service) to provide for the recovery of certain costs attributable to the Phase III expansion of Transco's Eminence Storage Field. According to Transco, the revisions to the Rate Schedule FT reservation charges will necessarily result in revisions to charges under Rate Schedules FT-R, FTN, FTN-R, and FT-G; similarly, the revisions to the Rate