

demonstration of no migration gained as a result of testing carried out during the construction of WDW No. 3. The submissions were reviewed by staff at the EPA to ensure that requirements of 40 CFR 148.120(a)(2)(iv) were met and that the conclusions based on testing are consistent with the test data.

## II. Basis for Determination

### A. Mechanical Integrity of WDW No. 3

On January 19, 1995, a standard annulus pressure test of WDW No. 3 demonstrated the absence of leaks in the tubing, packer, and casing, and on January 20, a radioactivity tracer test was used to demonstrate the integrity of the annular seal and bottom-hole cement of WDW No. 3 as required by 40 CFR 148.20(a)(2)(iv). The results of these tests were submitted as parts of the completion report for WDW No. 3, and are incorporated into the administrative record for this proposed decision.

### B. Model Demonstration of No Migration

The grant of an exemption from the land disposal restrictions imposed by the HSWA of RCRA is based on a demonstration that disposed wastes will not migrate out of the waste management unit, which is defined as the injection zone and is specifically those parts of the Franconia, Potosi, and Eminence Dolomites, the Gunter Sandstone Formation, and Oneota Dolomite found between depths of 5,400 and 4,442 feet from the Kelly bushing elevation in WDW No. 2 and extending radially 23,500 feet from WDW No. 2. The no-migration demonstration is made through use of mathematical simulations which use geological information collected at the site or which is found to be appropriate for the site and mathematical models which have been proven to be capable of simulating natural responses to injection. The simulation is calibrated by matching simulator results against observations at the site. The exemption was based on the injection through two wells with the premise that, at the plume boundary, the effects of injection through two or more wells in close proximity are indistinguishable from those of injection through a single well. Substitution of WDW No. 3 for WDW No. 1 would not require a revision of the modeling, because any change in the plume extent will be contained within the conservatively delineated boundaries established in 1990.

In 1990, Cabot used volumetric calculations including dispersivity to find that the greatest lateral extent of movement by the waste plume will be

17,700 feet. The limit of the waste plume during the life of the facility is the distance required for the pH to be increased to 2 from an original pH of 0.5 due to mixing during advective flow of three times the volume of waste injection expected during the wells' operational lives. No consideration of reaction of injected waste acid and host dolomite which will result in a much more rapid pH neutralization was considered. Additional movement of waste constituents at hazardous levels for the 10,000-year post operating period was determined by calculating the extent of natural ground water movement, including buoyancy and dispersion. The total distance of travel from the wells' centroid required to increase pH from 0.5 to 2 with additional movement of 3,300 feet due to natural flow and 2,500 feet due to buoyancy effects results in a total movement of 23,500 feet. The lateral extent of migration was shown to be less than distances to features which might allow discharge of hazardous waste constituents into USDWs.

The limit of vertical movement was determined by a similar process. The lower starting point used to calculate the distance upward to the point where dispersion would result in waste dilution 10 times greater than that required to increase the waste's pH from 0.5 to 2 was 4,830 feet, the greatest depth at which the packer of WDW No. 1 could be set with no indication of leakage. Long-term vertical movement is primarily due to molecular diffusion through 10,000 years. The calculation showed that the total vertical distance from the surface to the plume boundary is 4,592 feet from the surface. This vertical plume was contained within the waste management unit defined for Cabot's two injection wells. Therefore, the Agency accepted the demonstration and granted an exemption in 1990.

The petitioner has complied with 40 CFR §§ 148.20(a), (b), and (c) by the demonstration described in the proposal to grant the original exemption published in the Federal Register on August 24, 1990, at 55 FR 34739 et seq. The petitioner has further demonstrated the protective nature of land disposal through injection by the submission of additional geological and hydrological data on August 16, 1995. Accordingly, U.S. EPA proposes to reissue the exemption as requested.

### III. Conditions of Petition Approval

The existing exemption was granted with conditions. All of the conditions attached to the exemption and modifications remain in force except Nos. 5 and 6 of the exemption granted

on February 4, 1991. Condition No. 5 required that an oxygen activation log be run in WDW No. 1 in 1991. Condition No. 6 required annual temperature logging of WDW No. 1. These conditions will be moot after the plugging of WDW No. 1. No new conditions are attached to this reissuance of the exemption.

Dated: November 20, 1995.

Rebecca L. Harvey,

Acting Director, Water Division, Region 5,  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc. 95-29035 Filed 11-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[FRL-5334-8]

### Common Sense Initiative Council, Metal Finishing Subcommittee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of Open Meeting of the Public Advisory Common Sense Initiative Council, Metal Finishing Sector Subcommittee.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is given that, pending resolution of EPA's FY 1996 appropriation, the Metal Finishing Sector Subcommittee of the Common Sense Initiative Council will meet on Thursday and Friday, December 14 and 15, 1995 in Washington, D.C. The Subcommittee will continue project workplan development and discuss procedural substantive issues of importance to the Sector. Limited time will be provided for members of the public to make oral comments at the meeting.

**Open Meeting Notice:** Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency, pending resolution of its FY 1996 appropriation, is convening an open meeting of the Metal Finishing Sector Subcommittee on Thursday and Friday, December 14 and 15, 1995. The meeting will begin on December 14, at 10:00 a.m. EST and run until noon EST. Workgroup meetings will be held from noon EST until 5:00 p.m. EST. On Friday, December 15, workgroups will reconvene at 8:00 a.m. EST and meet until 10:00 a.m. EST. The Subcommittee will then reconvene and meet from 10:00 a.m. EST until 3:00 p.m. EST. The Subcommittee will be held at the Washington Marriott Hotel, 22nd and M Streets, NW, Washington, D.C. telephone number 202-872-1500. Seating will be available on a first come, first served basis. Limited time will be provided for public comment.

The Metal Finishing Subcommittee will convene to consider such issues as the strategic environmental goals for the sector, public participation in regional pilot projects, and compliance and enforcement issues associated with project implementation. Open workgroup meetings will continue the development of the pilot projects that have been endorsed by the subcommittee, and will consider new project ideas and related issues as well.

*Inspection of subcommittee documents:* Documents relating to the above topics will be publicly available at the meeting. Thereafter, these documents and the minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection in room 2821M of EPA Headquarters, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460, telephone number 202-260-7417. Common Sense Initiative information can be accessed electronically through contacting Katherine Brown at: brown.katherine@epamail.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For more information about and verification of this meeting, please call Mr. Bob Benson at 202-260-8668 in Washington, D.C.

Dated: November 21, 1995.  
Prudence Goforth,  
*Designated Federal Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-29039 Filed 11-27-95; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

[CC Docket No. 90-571; DA 95-1874]

**Telecommunications Relay Services**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that in an Order on Telecommunications Relay Services and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Order). CC Docket No. 90-571, adopted and released on August 25, 1995, the Commission suspended enforcement of the requirement that the Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS) be capable of handling coin sent-paid calls until August 26, 1997. The Commission also ordered that common carriers providing telephone voice transmission services, and TRS providers, make payphones accessible to TRS users during the suspension pursuant to an alternative plan. The Commission took these actions after determining that the provision of TRS coin sent-paid service was not currently feasible.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pamela Gerr, Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 418-2357.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The alternative plan includes the following

elements: (1) Local TRS payphone calls to be provided free of charge; (2) toll TRS payphone calls to be chargeable to calling cards and/or prepaid (debit) cards, with rates not to exceed those that would apply to similar non-TRS calls made using coin sent-paid service; and (3) programs to educate TRS users about these alternative payment options and to make calling cards and/or prepaid cards available to TRS users. The Commission ordered that the alternative plan be implemented as soon as possible, with implementation to be completed within ninety (90) days after the release date of the order. The Commission also required parties that had filed petitions in this proceeding to join with any other interested parties wishing to participate to prepare and file joint status reports with the Commission. These status reports are to be filed with the Commission on August 26, 1996 and February 26, 1997 and shall address the effectiveness of the alternative plan in making payphones accessible to TRS users. The later status report must also address the technical feasibility and cost of providing TRS coin sent-paid service and provide data on TRS and non-TRS payphone use. These reporting requirements are subject to approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Public reporting burden for the collections of information is estimated as follows:

Requirement	No. of respondents	Est. avg. hours per response	Annual hours
12-month joint status report .....	30	7	210
18-month joint status report .....	30	9	270
Disclosure requirements .....	3000	2.5	7500
Total Annual Burden: 7980.			
Frequency of Response: On occasion.			

These estimates include the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collections of information. Send comments regarding these burden estimates or any other aspects of the collections of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Federal Communications Commission, Records Management Branch, Room 234, Paperwork Reduction Project, Washington, DC 20554 and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, Washington, DC 20503.

Federal Communications Commission.  
Linda Dubroof,  
*Deputy Chief, Network Services Division,  
Common Carrier Bureau.*  
[FR Doc. 95-28906 Filed 11-27-95; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6712-01-M**

**FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**

**Premier Insurance Services, Inc., et al.; Acquisitions of Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities**

The organizations listed in this notice have applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C.

1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the