

unnecessary for Northwest to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-28788 Filed 11-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP96-71-000]**

**Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

November 20, 1995.

Take notice that on November 15, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP96-71-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to install a new delivery point to permit delivery of gas to Channel Industries Gas Company (Channel) under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to establish a new delivery point on Tennessee's system, within the station yard of Channel's existing compressor station No. 402-C, in Brooks County, Texas. Tennessee will install, own, operate and maintain a tap assembly and electronic gas measurement equipment (EGM) at approximate M.P. 403.1+.09. In addition, Tennessee will install, complete with appurtenances, either a 16-inch mainline valve or an actuator on existing Mainline Value 403-1. Channel will own and maintain the measurement and regulation facilities, and will install, own, operate and maintain the tie-in assembly and interconnecting pipe, as integral parts of its existing intrastate pipeline facilities. Tennessee will install and operate the measurement and regulation facilities. Tennessee will be fully reimbursed by Channel for the facilities Tennessee installs.

Tennessee states that the purpose of this delivery point is to establish an interconnection between its system and that of Channel. At this point, Tennessee will deliver gas to Channel for redelivery to Mobile Gas Services Inc. (Mobil) for processing at Mobil's LaGloria Gas Processing Plant (La Gloria) in Brooks County, Texas. Tennessee's deliveries will be made under Tennessee's Part 284 blanket transportation certificate. Channel will

transport the gas from the proposed interconnection with Tennessee to LaGloria pursuant to Section 311(a)(2) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA) and Subpart C of Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations. Tennessee states that no facilities modifications are required to allow gas processed at LaGloria to be returned to Tennessee's system; such a connection is already in place.

Tennessee states that the total quantities to be delivered to Channel after the delivery point is installed will not exceed the total quantities authorized prior to this request.

Tennessee asserts that the establishment of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by Tennessee's tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries at the proposed new point without detriment or disadvantage to any of Tennessee's other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-28789 Filed 11-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. ER95-1386-000]**

**Wisconsin Public Service Corporation; Notice of Filing**

November 20, 1995.

Take notice that on October 23, 1995, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before

December 5, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-28790 Filed 11-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**Monroe City Corporation; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment and Notice of solicitation of Written Scoping Comments**

**[Project No. 1517-008]**

November 20, 1995.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) has received an application from the Monroe City Corporation (Monroe City) to relicense the Upper Monroe Hydroelectric Project No. 1517-008. The 250-kilowatt project is located partially within Fishlake National Forest, on Shingle Creek, Serviceberry Creek, the First Lefthand Fork of the Monroe Creek, and Monroe Creek, near the town of Monroe City, in Sevier County, Utah.

The original license for this project was issued to Monroe City on May 31, 1939, and expired on June 30, 1990. They have been operating on a series of annual licenses since that date.

The Commission staff intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act.

In the EA, we will consider reasonable alternatives to the project as proposed by Monroe City, analyze both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts of the project as well as economic and engineering impacts.

The draft EA will be issued and circulated to those on the mailing list for this project. All comments filed on the draft EA will be analyzed by the staff and considered in a final EA. The staff's conclusions and recommendations presented in the final EA will then be presented to the Commission to assist in making a licensing decision.

**Scoping**

We are asking agencies, Indian tribes, special interest groups, and individuals to help us identify the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EA, and to provide us