

Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, and if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that the abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Natural to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-28786 Filed 11-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER96-31-000]

New England Power Company; Notice of Filing

November 20, 1995.

Take notice that on November 15, 1995, New England Power Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before December 5, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-28787 Filed 11-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-60-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Application

November 20, 1995.

Take notice that on November 13, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to

abandon an ownership interest in certain of its facilities by sale to Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern), certain related transportation agreements and operation of the facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest proposes to (1) abandon, by sale to Transwestern, an undivided 77.7 percent ownership interest in Northwest's existing and planned facilities extending from the outlet of its La Plata "B" compressor near Ignacio, Colorado southward to the jointly owned Blanco Hub near Bloomfield, New Mexico (La Plata Facilities); (2) abandon, by assignment of the underlying agreements to Transwestern, existing firm transportation between receipts point on the La Plata Facilities, which has been authorized under Northwest's blanket certificate; and (3) abandon its certificated operation of the La Plata Facilities and two third-party meter stations connected to the La Plata Facilities, in favor of Transwestern assuming such operation.

Northwest states that its existing La Plata Facilities include the La Plata "A" Compressor Station near Ignacio, approximately 33 miles of 30-inch pipeline from that station to the Blanco hub and various receipt or delivery facilities at third-party interconnections with the La Plata pipeline. It is stated that the planned additions to the La Plata Facilities, which will be installed under Northwest's blanket certificate authority as a necessary precursor to the proposed abandonment, include a new meter station between Northwest's La Plata "B" compressor outlet and La Plata "A" compressor inlet and a new meter station, with approximately 600 feet of 24-inch piping, from the Williams Gas processing Company Ignacio Plant to the La Plata "A" compressor inlet.

It is stated that pursuant to a Purchase and Sale Agreement dated November 3, 1995, Transwestern will acquire the proposed 77.7 percent ownership interest in the La Plata Facilities at a price equal to 77.7 percent of the net book value on the closing date. If the closing were to occur at year end 1996, Northwest contends that the total net book value of the La Plata Facilities, including the planned facility additions, is projected to be approximately \$25.6 million, resulting in a purchase price of about \$19.9 million.

Northwest states that the 22.3 percent ownership in the La Plata Facilities to be retained by Northwest will provide 212,788 Dth per day of north flow capacity from various La Plata Facility

receipt points to Northwest's wholly-owned mainline, plus 23,811 Dth per day of south flow capacity from Northwest's mainline to a La Plata Facility delivery point. Northwest contends that these retained capacities are the quantities required for Northwest to continue accommodating existing long-term firm contract obligations to provide transportation to and from the La Plata Facilities.

It is stated that Northwest will operate the La Plata Facilities pursuant to the terms and conditions of an Ownership and Operating Agreement dated November 3, 1995. Northwest and Transwestern will share the operating expenses of the La Plata Facilities and will each treat its respective ownership interest in the La Plata Facilities as an integral part of its own pipeline system, with transportation transactions thereon subject to the applicable owner's open-access transportation tariff.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 11, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be

unnecessary for Northwest to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-28788 Filed 11-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-71-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

November 20, 1995.

Take notice that on November 15, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP96-71-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to install a new delivery point to permit delivery of gas to Channel Industries Gas Company (Channel) under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-413-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to establish a new delivery point on Tennessee's system, within the station yard of Channel's existing compressor station No. 402-C, in Brooks County, Texas. Tennessee will install, own, operate and maintain a tap assembly and electronic gas measurement equipment (EGM) at approximate M.P. 403.1+.09. In addition, Tennessee will install, complete with appurtenances, either a 16-inch mainline valve or an actuator on existing Mainline Value 403-1. Channel will own and maintain the measurement and regulation facilities, and will install, own, operate and maintain the tie-in assembly and interconnecting pipe, as integral parts of its existing intrastate pipeline facilities. Tennessee will install and operate the measurement and regulation facilities. Tennessee will be fully reimbursed by Channel for the facilities Tennessee installs.

Tennessee states that the purpose of this delivery point is to establish an interconnection between its system and that of Channel. At this point, Tennessee will deliver gas to Channel for redelivery to Mobile Gas Services Inc. (Mobil) for processing at Mobil's LaGloria Gas Processing Plant (La Gloria) in Brooks County, Texas. Tennessee's deliveries will be made under Tennessee's Part 284 blanket transportation certificate. Channel will

transport the gas from the proposed interconnection with Tennessee to LaGloria pursuant to Section 311(a)(2) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA) and Subpart C of Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations. Tennessee states that no facilities modifications are required to allow gas processed at LaGloria to be returned to Tennessee's system; such a connection is already in place.

Tennessee states that the total quantities to be delivered to Channel after the delivery point is installed will not exceed the total quantities authorized prior to this request.

Tennessee asserts that the establishment of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by Tennessee's tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries at the proposed new point without detriment or disadvantage to any of Tennessee's other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-28789 Filed 11-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER95-1386-000]

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation; Notice of Filing

November 20, 1995.

Take notice that on October 23, 1995, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before

December 5, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-28790 Filed 11-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Monroe City Corporation; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment and Notice of solicitation of Written Scoping Comments

[Project No. 1517-008]

November 20, 1995.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) has received an application from the Monroe City Corporation (Monroe City) to relicense the Upper Monroe Hydroelectric Project No. 1517-008. The 250-kilowatt project is located partially within Fishlake National Forest, on Shingle Creek, Serviceberry Creek, the First Lefthand Fork of the Monroe Creek, and Monroe Creek, near the town of Monroe City, in Sevier County, Utah.

The original license for this project was issued to Monroe City on May 31, 1939, and expired on June 30, 1990. They have been operating on a series of annual licenses since that date.

The Commission staff intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act.

In the EA, we will consider reasonable alternatives to the project as proposed by Monroe City, analyze both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts of the project as well as economic and engineering impacts.

The draft EA will be issued and circulated to those on the mailing list for this project. All comments filed on the draft EA will be analyzed by the staff and considered in a final EA. The staff's conclusions and recommendations presented in the final EA will then be presented to the Commission to assist in making a licensing decision.

Scoping

We are asking agencies, Indian tribes, special interest groups, and individuals to help us identify the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EA, and to provide us