College Conference Center, 30 West Broadway at the corner of Park Place, 14th Floor, in New York. For more information, contact Alan Pollock, Office of Public Affairs, Washington, D.C. 20594, telephone (202) 382–0660.

Dated: November 17, 1995.

Bea Hardesty,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95–28548 Filed 11–21–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7533–01–P

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Order No. 1087, Docket No. A96–3]

Notice and Order Accepting Appeal and Establishing Procedural Schedule Under 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)

Issued November 15, 1995.

Before Commissioners: Edward J. Gleiman, Chairman; W.H. ''Trey'' LeBlanc III, Vice-Chairman; George W. Haley; H. Edward Quick, Jr.

In the Matter of: Weston, Michigan 49289 (Donald Buehrer, Petitioner).

Docket Number: A96–3.

Name of Affected Post Office: Weston, Michigan 49289.

Name(s) of Petitioner(s): Donald Buehrer.

Type of Determination: Closing.

Date of Filing of Appeal Papers: November 7, 1995.

Categories of Issues Apparently Raised: 1. Effect on postal services [39 U.S.C. 404(b)(2)(C)]. 2. Effect on the community [39 U.S.C. 404(b)(2)(A)].

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above. Or, the Commission may find that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues.

The Postal Reorganization Act requires that the Commission issue its decision within 120 days from the date this appeal was filed (39 U.S.C. 404 (b)(5)). In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service to submit memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. If requested, such memoranda will be due 20 days from the issuance of the request and the Postal Service shall serve a copy of its memoranda on the petitioners. The Postal Service may incorporate by reference in its briefs or motions, any arguments presented in memoranda it previously filed in this docket. If necessary, the Commission also may ask petitioners or the Postal Service for more information.

The Commission Orders

(a) The Postal Service shall file the record in this appeal by November 22, 1995.

(b) The Secretary of the Postal Rate Commission shall publish this Notice and Order and Procedural Schedule in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Margaret P. Crenshaw,

Secretary.

Appendix

November 7, 1995
- Filing of Appeal letter

November 15, 1995
- Commission Notice and Order of Filing of Appeal

December 1, 1995
- Last day of filing of petitions to intervene [*see* 39 C.F.R. § 3001.111(b)]

December 12, 1995
- Petitioner's Participant Statement or Initial Brief [*see* 39 C.F.R. § 3001.115(a) and (b)]

January 2, 1996
- Postal Service's Answering Brief [see 39 C.F.R. § 3001.115(c)]

January 16, 1996
- Petitioner's Reply Brief should Petitioner choose to file one [*see* 39 C.F.R. § 3001.115(d)]

January 23, 1996
- Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument. The Commission will schedule oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings [see 39 C.F.R. § 3001.116]

March 6, 1996
- Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule [see 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)]

[FR Doc. 95–28519 Filed 11–21–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Intent to Rule on Application to Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport, Baton Rouge, Louisiana

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 22, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate copies to the FAA at the following address: Mr. Ben Guttery, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Staff, ASW–610D, Forth Worth, Texas 76193–0610.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Anthony J. Marino, Director of Aviation, Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport at the following address: Mr. Anthony J. Marino, Director of Aviation, Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport, Suite 212, Terminal Building, Baton Rouge, LA 70807.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of the written comments previously provided to the Airport under Section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ben Guttery, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Staff, ASW–610D, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0610, (817) 222–5614.

The application may be reviewed in person at the same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On October 27, 1995, the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than February 17, 1996.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: $3.00.

Charge effective date: December 1, 1992.

Proposed charge expiration date: December 1, 1988.

Total estimated PFC revenue: 1,290,899.

PFC application number: 95–03–U–00–BTR.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

Projects to use PFC's: Terminal Concept Study, Terminal Design,

Acquire Parcels 5 (delete), and Acquire Parcel 6 (delete).

Proposed class or classes of air carriers to be exempted from collecting PFC's:

FAR Part 135 on-demand air taxis, fixed-wing and rotary, and Part 121 supplemental operators.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA regional Airports office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Staff, ASW–610D, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76137–4298.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport.

Issued in Forth Worth, Texas on November 9, 1995.

Edward N. Agnew,

Acting Manager, Airports Division.

[FR Doc. 95–28479 Filed 11–21–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Intent to Rule on Application to Impose Only and Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport, Phoenix, Arizona

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose only, and impose and use PFC revenue from a PFC at Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, Public Law 101–508 as recodified by Title 49 U.S.C. 40117 [C(3)]) and 14 CFR, part 158. On October 27, 1995, the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the City of Phoenix was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 27, 1996.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 22, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Airports Division, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA., 90009. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Fred Simon, City of Phoenix, 3400 Sky Harbor Boulevard, Phoenix, Arizona, 85034. Comments from air carriers may be in the same form as provided to the City of Phoenix under section 158.23 of FAR part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John P. Milligan, Supervisor Standards Section, Airports Division, P.O. Box 92007, WPC, Los Angeles, CA 90009, Telephone: (310) 725–3621. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose only and impose and use the revenue from a PFC at the Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101–508 as recodified by Title 49 U.S.C. 40117 [C(3)]) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). On October 27, 1995, the FAA determined that the application to impose only and impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the City of Phoenix was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 27, 1996.

The following is a brief overview of the application:

Level of the Proposed PFC: $3.00.

Proposed Charge Effective Date: April 1, 1996.

Proposed Charge Expiration Date: January 31, 1998.

Total Estimated PFC Revenue: $80,978,000.

Brief description of the proposed projects—Impose and Use:

AWP–95–01–C–PHX

Build out Terminal 4 Concourse N–4—Total $7,000,000

Noise Mitigation Efforts—Total $4,000,000

Realign Taxiway F to Eliminate Jog—Total $1,250,000

Combined Third Runway Project—Total $66,853,000

Impose only:

AWP–95–01–C–PHX

Extend North Runway West End—Total $1,875,000

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: ATCO, Air Taxi/Commercial Operators: CAC, Commuters or Small Certificated Air Carriers with less than 7,500 enplanements each annually: CRAC, Large Certificated Route Air Carriers providing non-scheduled service with less than 7,500 enplanements each annually.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application, in person at the City of Phoenix Aviation Administration Office.

Issued in Hawthorne, Calif., on November 1, 1995.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 95–28480 Filed 11–21–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Federal Highway Administration

Federal Transit Administration

[FHWA/FTA Docket No. 95–9]

Notification of FY 96 Reviews

AGENCIES: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: On April 28, 1994, the FHWA and the FTA Administrators jointly issued guidance to their respective regional administrators on the implementation of the Federal certification of the metropolitan planning process in transportation management area (TMA) planning areas. This notice announces the schedule of FY 1996 reviews as known at this time. The FHWA and the FTA are planning approximately 60 certification and 3 enhanced planning (EPR) reviews for FY 1996. This will complete the first full cycle of certification reviews, of the approximately 140 TMA planning processes, under ISTEA. Additional EPRs and future planning certifications will be announced through the Federal Register. Interested parties are invited to submit comments on the individual planning processes to be reviewed.

DATES: Comments on metropolitan planning processes under review must be received within sixty (60) days of the scheduled site review in order to be considered during the certification review process. Where reviews have already been completed prior to the publication of this notice, parties