

broadcast via satellite the technical conference on December 5 and 6, 1995, to interested persons. The Capitol Connection does not intend to carry the technical conference on the Capitol Connection system in the Washington, DC area. Persons interested in receiving the national broadcast should contact Shirley Al-Jarani or Julia Morelli at the Capitol Connection (703-993-3100) no later than November 16, 1995.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

Power Pool Conference Agenda

December 5, 1995 1:30 pm-5:00 pm;

December 6, 1995 10:00 am-4:30 pm

December 5, 1995

1:30-1:45 Introduction—Elizabeth Moler,

Chair

1:45-3:15 Power Pool Comparability

Panelists will address general power pool policy issues, including the benefits of pooling, the role of pools in a competitive market, how to provide comparable access for pool members and nonmembers, and whether the Commission should adopt a comparability policy for all pools or allow flexibility for each pool to offer comparability in its own way. Panelists will have 5 minutes each to make a presentation, followed by a discussion period.

David K. Owens, Edison Electric Institute
Steven J. Kean, Coalition for a Competitive
Electric Market

Lisa Crutchfield, Pennsylvania Public Utility
Commission

Kurt J. Conger, American Public Power
Association

Robert A. O'Neil, TDU Systems

3:15-3:30 Break

3:30-5:00 Designing Comparability for
Tight Pools

Panelists will address recent developments in the three tight pools, how to provide comparable transmission services in a tight pool, and how nonmembers could share the costs as well as the benefits of tight pool facilities and operations. Panelists will have 5 minutes each to make a presentation, followed by a discussion period.

Leon A. Allen, Jr., Member Systems of the
New York Power Pool

Robert F. Wolff, Jr., NEPOOL Executive
Committee

Pierre R.H. Landrieu, PJM Pool Members

Robert A. Levin, New York Mercantile
Exchange

John B. Howe, Competitive Power Coalition
of New England, Incorporated

December 6, 1995

10:00-11:15 Implementing Comparability
for Tight Pools

Panelists will address issues of implementing comparability for tight pools, including the provision of pooled ancillary services and a real-time information network on a pool or member-by-member basis, the design of pro forma tariffs for tight pools, and poolwide pricing for members and nonmembers. Panelists will have 5 minutes each to make a presentation, followed by a discussion period.

Frederic Lee Klein, Northeast Utilities

System Companies

James A. Lahtinen, Duquesne Light Company

Brian E. Forshaw, New England Public Power
NEPOOL Review Committee

Harvey Happ, New York Public Service
Commission

John F. Sipics, PJM Pool Members

11:15-11:30 Break

11:30-12:45 Loose Pools

Panelists will address issues related to comparability for loose pools, including what constitutes a power pool for purposes of the open access rule, membership criteria, comparable services and prices, provision of ancillary services, and the relation of loose pools to emerging RTGs. Panelists will have 5 minutes each to make a presentation, followed by a discussion period.

James W. Van Epps, Mid-Continent Area

Power Pool

Carroll Waggoner, Sunflower Electric Power
Corporation

Maude Grantham-Richards, Inland Power
Pool

Ward Uggerud, Otter Tail Power Company

Nicholas A. Brown, Southwest Power Pool

12:45-2:00 Lunch Break

2:00-3:15 Holding Company Pools

Panelists will address how comparability policy for a holding company pool should be similar to or different from the policy for other power pools. Panelists will have 5 minutes each to make a presentation, followed by a discussion period.

William K. Newman, Southern Company
Services, Incorporated

Paula G. Rosput, Associated Power Services,
Incorporated

Don A. Ouchley, Public Utilities Board of the
City of Brownsville, Texas

James Kenney, Entergy Services,
Incorporated

Marvin Carraway, Transmission Access
Policy Study Group

3:15-4:30 Other Entities that Pool
Resources

Panelists will address issues related to comparability for other entities that pool their generating or transmission resources, such as an independent grid operator, gridco, or power exchange. Panelists will have 5 minutes each to make a presentation, followed by a discussion period.

Mike Apprill, Utilicorp United, Incorporated

Harvey L. Reiter, Vermont Department of
Public Service

Richard C. Viinikainen, Michigan Electric
Coordinated Systems

Christopher T. Ellison, Independent Energy
Producers Association

Alan Oneal, Enerex, Incorporated

[FR Doc. 95-28470 Filed 11-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration

29 CFR Part 2510

Proposed Regulation for Plans Established or Maintained Pursuant to Collective Bargaining Agreements Under Section 3(40)(A)

AGENCY: Pension and Welfare Benefits
Administration, Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of extension of comment
period.

SUMMARY: This document further extends the comment period for the proposed rule under Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 1001-1461 (the Act), relating to plans established or maintained pursuant to collective bargaining agreements for purposes of section 3(40) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. 1002(40). The proposed rule was set forth in a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the Federal Register at 60 FR 39208 (August 1, 1995). The first notice of an extension of the comment period for the proposed rule was published in the Federal Register at 60 FR 50508 (September 29, 1995).

DATES: The comment period for this proposed rule is extended through December 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments (preferably three copies) concerning the proposed rule should be submitted to: Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, Room N-5669, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210. Attention: Proposed Regulation Under Section 3(40). All submissions will be open to public inspection at the Public Documents Room, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5638, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Connor, Office of Regulations and Interpretations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Rm N-5669, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210 (telephone (202) 219-8671) or Cynthia Caldwell Weglicki, Office of the Solicitor, Plan Benefits Security Division, U.S. Department of Labor, Rm N-4611, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210 (telephone (202) 219-4592). These are not toll-free numbers.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 1, 1995, the Department of Labor (the

Department) published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the Federal Register (60 FR 39208) regarding plans established or maintained pursuant to collective bargaining agreements for purposes of section 3(40) of the Act. In that notice the Department invited all interested persons to submit written comments concerning the proposed rule on or before October 2, 1995.

On September 29, 1995, the Department published a notice in the Federal Register (60 FR 50508) extending the comment period for the proposed rule through November 16, 1995. The Department has received requests from some members of the public for additional time to prepare comments due to the complexity of the issues involved in the proposed rule, and the Department believes that it is appropriate to grant such additional time. Accordingly, this notice extends the comment period during which comments on the proposed rule may be submitted through December 18, 1995.

Notice of Extension of Comment Period

Notice is hereby given that the comment period for the proposed rule relating to plans established or maintained pursuant to collective bargaining agreements for purposes of section 3(40) of the Act (proposed at 60 FR 39208, August 1, 1995, and extended at 60 FR 50508, September 29, 1995) is hereby further extended through Monday, December 18, 1995.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of November 1995.

Olena Berg,

Assistant Secretary, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-28462 Filed 11-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[IL135-1-7205(b); AD-FRL-5332-8]

Approval of Section 112(l) Program of Delegation; Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve Illinois' request for delegation of the Federal air toxic program pursuant to section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act of 1990. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is fully approving the State's request for delegation as a

direct final rule without prior proposal, because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to these actions, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives timely comments adverse to or critical to the approval, which have not been addressed by the State or EPA, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 22, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the State submittal and EPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Buzucky, AR-18J, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-3194.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401, et seq.

Dated: November 2, 1995.

Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-28386 Filed 11-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 571

Denial of Petition for Rulemaking; Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Denial of Petition for Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice denies Mr. Dennis G. Moore's petition for reducing the lens

area requirement of amber turn signal lamps for large motor vehicles (motor vehicles whose overall width is 2032 mm or more). NHTSA's analysis of the petition concludes that this action could reduce safety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jere Medlin, Office of Crash Avoidance Standards, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. Mr. Medlin's telephone number is: (202) 366-5276. His facsimile number is (202) 366-4329.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By letter dated July 31, 1995, Mr. Dennis G. Moore of Livermore, California wrote the NHTSA Chief Counsel asking that a situation in Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 108 be corrected in order to promote a better international trade policy. He claimed that Europeans require significantly less area for lenses on rear amber turn signals and requested that the 12 square inch minimum lens area requirement of FMVSS No. 108 be reduced to 8 or 6 square inches. This, he stated, would give more practical rules for U. S. exports at no expense to safety. Mr. Moore stated that companies such as his, when asked to help balance the Nation's trade deficit are at a price disadvantage simply because of size of the lamp. The Acting Chief Counsel notified Mr. Moore in a letter dated September 20, 1995, that his request would be considered as a petition for rulemaking and it was so considered.

NHTSA adopted a requirement in 1990 that increased the minimum lens area for turn signal and stop lamps to 75 mm. (12 square inches) on vehicles 2032 mm. (80 inches) and wider. This was done in response to a petition from the Truck Safety Equipment Institute. The petition argued that the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) had determined that it was desirable to adopt separate standards for certain devices when used on wider vehicles, which because of their size should be more conspicuous and better delineated with larger lighting devices than small vehicles. Also of importance was SAE's rationale that the increased lens area for wider vehicles is necessary because of buildup of grime on signal lamps. The increase in lens area is necessary to offset the dimming effect of dirt. The agency concurred that the increase in lens area would enhance vehicle conspicuity and contribute to safety.

The area requirement was a part of SAE Standard J1395 APR85—*Turn Signal Lamps for Use on Motor Vehicles 2032 mm or More in Overall Width*, and thus, already a consensus industry standard. NHTSA incorporated SAE