

power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40101, 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD) 90-12-08, Amendment 39-6622 (55 FR 1450, January 16, 1990), and by adding the following new AD:

De Havilland: Docket No. 95-CE-47-AD; Supersedes AD 90-12-08, Amendment 39-6622.

Applicability: Model DHC-3 Airplanes (all serial numbers), certificated in any category, that do not have Modification 3/935 incorporated in accordance with de Havilland Service Bulletin (SB) number (No.) 3/50, Revision A, dated February 17, 1995.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in

accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it. Compliance: Within the next 3 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished (compliance with AD 90-12-08), and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 24 calendar months.

To prevent failure of the tailplane structure caused by cracked tailplane main rib forward flanges or main rib forward lower flanges at the tailplane front attachment fitting, which, if not detected and corrected, could result in loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Inspect, using dye penetrant methods, the tailplane main rib forward flanges and the main rib forward lower flanges at the tailplane front attachment fitting in accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of de Havilland SB No. 3/46, Revision B, dated December 1, 1989.

(b) Prior to further flight, repair any tailplane main rib forward flange or main rib forward lower flange found cracked during any inspection required by this AD. Accomplish this repair in accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of de Havilland SB No. 3/46, Revision B, dated December 1, 1989.

(c) Installing tailplane root rib angles and plates of improved design (Modification 3/935) in accordance with de Havilland SB 3/50, Revision A, dated February 17, 1995, terminates the repetitive inspection requirement of this AD. Modification 3/935 may be incorporated at any time provided that any tailplane main rib forward flange or main rib forward lower flange found cracked during any inspection required by this AD is repaired.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 10 5th St., 3rd Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

(f) Alternative methods of compliance approved in accordance with AD 90-12-08 (superseded by this action) are considered approved as alternative methods of compliance with this AD.

(g) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the document referred to herein upon request to Bombardier Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division,

Garrett Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario, Canada M3K 1Y5; telephone (416) 633-7310; or may examine this document at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

(h) This amendment supersedes AD 90-12-08, Amendment 39-6622.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 6, 1995.

Henry A. Armstrong,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95-27984 Filed 11-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

30 CFR Parts 18 and 75

RIN 1219-AA75

High-Voltage Longwall Equipment Standards for Underground Coal Mines

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from the mining community for additional time in which to prepare comments, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is extending the period for public comment on its proposed rule addressing the use of high-voltage longwall equipment in production areas of underground coal mines.

DATES: All comments must be submitted on or before December 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to MSHA, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Room 631, Arlington, VA 22203. Commenters are encouraged to submit comments on a computer disk along with a hard copy.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia W. Silvey, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, 703-235-1910.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 18, 1995, MSHA published a document in the Federal Register (60 FR 53891) announcing the reopening of the rulemaking record on its proposed standard allowing the use of high-voltage longwall equipment in underground coal mines. The comment period was scheduled to close on November 17, 1995. By this document, the Agency is extending the comment period to December 18, 1995. All interested parties are encouraged to submit comments prior to that date.

Dated: November 7, 1995.

J. Davitt McAteer,

Assistant Secretary for Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 95-27975 Filed 11-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

30 CFR Parts 206 and 260

Bidding Systems for Leases in the Outer Continental Shelf

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: Due to requests for additional time the Minerals Management Service (MMS) extends by 30 days the comment period for a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) that was published in the Federal Register on August 23, 1995. The NPR is concerned with an amendment to change the bidding systems for newly issued leases under the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act. **DATES:** MMS will consider all comments we receive by November 22, 1995. We will begin reviewing comments at that time and may not fully consider comments we receive after November 22, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed or hand delivered to the Department of the Interior; Minerals Management Service, Mail Stop 4700; 381 Elden Street; Herndon, Virginia 22070-4817; Attention: Chief, Engineering and Standards Branch.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Marshall Rose, Chief Economic Evaluation Branch, telephone (703) 787-1636.

Dated: November 6, 1995.

Richard J. Glynn,

Acting Associate Director for Offshore Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 95-28037 Filed 11-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 70

[PROO1; FRL-5331-1]

Clean Air Act Proposed Full Approval of Operating Permits Program: the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed full approval.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes full approval of the operating permits program submitted by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico for the purpose of complying with Federal requirements for an approvable state program to issue operating permits to all major stationary sources and to certain other sources.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by December 14, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Steven C. Riva, Chief, Permitting and Toxics Support Section, at the New York Region II Office listed below. Copies of the State's submittal and other supporting information used in developing the proposed full approval as well as the Technical Support Document are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following locations:

EPA Region II, 290 Broadway, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, Attention: Steven C. Riva.

EPA Region II, Caribbean Field Office, Centro Europa Building, Suite 417, 1492 Ponce de Leon Avenue, Stop 22, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00907-4127, Attention: Jose Ivan Guzman.

Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board, Air Programs Area, Eurobank Building, 431 Ponce de Leon Avenue, Hato Rey, PR 00910, Attention: Francisco Claudio.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Fazio, Permitting and Toxics Support Section, at the above EPA office in New York or at telephone number (212) 637-4015. Jose Ivan Guzman of the Caribbean Field Office can be reached at (809) 729-6951, extension 223.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background and Purpose

As required under title V of the Clean Air Act ("the Act") as amended (1990), EPA has promulgated rules which define the minimum elements of an approvable State operating permits program and the corresponding standards and procedures by which the EPA will approve, oversee, and withdraw approval of State operating permits programs (see 57 FR 32250 (July 21, 1992)). These rules are codified at 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 70. Title V requires States to develop, and submit to EPA, programs for issuing these operating permits to all major stationary sources and to certain other sources.

The Act requires that States develop and submit these programs to EPA by

November 15, 1993, and that EPA act to approve or disapprove each program within 1 year after receiving the submittal. The EPA's program review occurs pursuant to section 502 of the Act and the part 70 regulations, which together outline criteria for approval or disapproval. Where a program substantially, but not fully, meets the requirements of part 70, EPA may grant the program interim approval for a period of up to 2 years. If EPA has not fully approved a program by 2 years after the November 15, 1993 date, or by the end of an interim program, it must establish and implement a Federal program.

II. Proposed Action and Implications

A. Analysis of State Submission

1. Support Materials

The Chairman of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB) submitted a part 70 permitting program for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico with a letter requesting EPA's approval on November 15, 1993 and a supplemental package on March 22, 1994. The program contains a description of how the EQB intends to implement the program consistent with the requirements of the Act and 40 CFR part 70. The program includes supporting documentation such as evidence of the procedurally correct adoption of the permitting rule, permit application forms, and a sample permit form. On April 11, 1994 the Attorney General of Puerto Rico submitted a legal opinion stating that EQB has adequate legal authority to carry out the program. On September 29, 1995, EQB submitted a revised regulation which included minor changes to the regulation submitted on March 22, 1994. The EPA intends to develop an implementation agreement with Puerto Rico which will define EPA's and EQB's responsibilities and commitments for administering the program, although this proposed action does not depend on the implementation agreement.

2. Regulations and Program Implementation

Puerto Rico's part 70 permitting regulation is contained in Part I, Rule 102; Part II, Rule 206; Part VI, Rules 601 through 610; and Appendices A through E of the Regulation For The Control Of Atmospheric Pollution (RCAP) dated September 1995. Puerto Rico's regulation meets the main requirements of Part 70 as described below:

a. applicability (40 CFR 70.2 and 70.3): Sources required to obtain a permit under Puerto Rico's regulation are defined as "Title V sources" and