SUMMARY: In document #95–26592, published on October 17, 1995, page 53770, third column, replace the first sentence with the following:

Pursuant to Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160), notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Additional Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM) concerning Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, as amended, and the Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Indonesia concerning Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on October 30, 1995.

Edward T. Fei,

Deputy Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.

[FR Doc. 95–27328 Filed 11–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5230-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 16, 1995 Through October 20, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal activities at (202) 260–5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-SCS-K36113-HI Rating EO2, Lower Hamakua Ditch Watershed, Agricultural Water Management Plan, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Hawaii County, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objection with the project's potential impacts to groundwater and fish and wildlife. EPA requested that these issues be more fully discussed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-TVA-E09801-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Energy Vision 2020, Integrated Resource Plan, Implementation of Long-Term Plan and

Short-Term Action, TN, AL, KY, GA, MS, NC and VA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding potential long term impacts because of the uncertainty of predicting energy sources and impacts through the year 2020 and has requested some additional information.

ERP No. D-USN-K11064-CA Rating EC2, Mare Island Naval Shipyard Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, City of Valley, Solano County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding wetlands dredging, submerged hazardous waste, air quality information, and cumulative impacts.

ERP No. DS-COE-K36100-CA Rating EU2, American River Watershed Flood Plain Protection Project, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Updated and Additional Information, Sacramento, Placer and Sutter Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA believed that the Dry Detention Dam alternative is environmentally unsatisfactory due to significant unacceptable impacts to water quality and unique natural resources. The other alternatives are less damaging and appear to be practicable. While these two alternatives may cause degradation to sensitive habitats and fisheries, mitigation measures are available.

ERP No. DS-NIH-D81023-MD Rating LO, National Institutes of Health Bethesda Main Campus Comprehensive Master Plan, Implementation, Montgomery County, MD.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the action as proposed.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-K36111-CA Santa Paula Creek Flood Control Project, Improvements, Ventura County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-DOE-E22000-SC Savannah River Site Waste Management Facilities, Implementation, Aiken, Allendale and Barnwell Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA comments on the draft EIS were adequately addressed in the final document.

ERP No. F-USN-K11028-CA Long Beach Naval Hospital Base Disposal and Reuse, Implementation and NPDES Permit, City of Long Beach, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern over the selection of the Retail Alternative due to its implications on the South Coast Air Quality Management District's SIP attainment.

Dated: October 30, 1995
B. Katherine Biggs,
Associate Director, NEPA Compliance
Division, Office of Federal Activities.
[FR Doc. 95–27352 Filed 11–2–95; 8:45 am]

[ER-FRL-5230-3]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260–5076 or (202) 260–5075.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed October 23, 1995 Through October 27, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950490, Final EIS, FHW, WI-13 Marshfield Regional Mobility Study for Transportation Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Marshfield, Wood and Marathon Counties, WI, Due: December 8, 1995, Contact: Richard C. Madrzak (608) 264–5968.

EIS No. 950491, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, Beaver/Cedar Land Change Project, Implementation, Clearwater National Forest, North Fork and Palause Ranger Districts, Clearwater and Latah Counties, ID, Due: December 18, 1995, Contact: Bill Jones (208) 476–4541.

EIS No. 950492, Final EIS, FHW, VA, Madison Heights Bypass/US 29 Construction, US 50 south of the City of Lynchburg to US 29 south of the Town of Amherst, Funding and COE Permit, Amherst and Campbell Counties, VA, Due: December 4, 1995, Contact: Roberto Fonseca-Martinez (804) 281–5100.

EIS No. 950493, Draft EIS, USN, CA, Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Air Stations (MCAS) Tustin and EL Toro Marine Corps Base (MCB) Realignment, Implementation, COE Section 404 Permit, San Diego County, CA, Due: December 18, 1995, Contact: Harry Roberts (714) 726– 3383.

EIS No. 950494, Draft EIS, FHW, UT, US 89 Corridor Transportation Improvements, I–15/Farmington to Harrison Boulevard/South Ogden, Funding, COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, Davis, Weber, Morgan and Salt Lake Counties, UT, Due: December 19, 1995, Contact: William R. Gedris (801) 963–0183.

EIS No. 950495, Final EIS, AFS, AZ, NM, Southwestern Region Amendment of Forest Plans, Implementation, Standard and Guidelines for Northern Goshawk and