

of the floodplain following remedial action is not expected to affect the path or flow regime of the San Miguel River. All disturbed areas would be revegetated as soon as possible after completion of remedial action to minimize erosion.

Alternatives considered were on-site stabilization of the contaminated material, no action, and other off-site disposal sites. The impacts to the 100-year floodplain would be the same as described above for other off-site disposal locations. The disposal of the contaminated material on-site would also result in the disturbance of the 100-year floodplain as described for the proposed action. No action would result in leaving the contaminated material in the floodplain of the San Miguel River and would not result in a reduction in public health effects. In addition, the contaminated material would continue to be susceptible to erosion, particularly during periods of high water, which could result in negative impacts to the environment. In addition, no action would not be consistent with the intent of UMTRCA and would not result in compliance with the EPA environmental protection standards.

Findings

Maybell Site

Little of Johnson Wash and Lay Creek, would be disturbed if supplemental standards were successfully applied to these areas. It is likely that a supplemental standards application would be successful, given that the areas are ecologically sensitive, are remote from human habitation, and that they contain relatively low levels of contamination.

The clean-up of contaminated material of Johnson Wash and Lay Creek would provide a long-term benefit by preventing impacts to human health and the environment. Potential impacts to the 100-year floodplain that may result from the excavation of contaminated material from Johnson Wash would be mitigated by the following measures:

- Erosion control measures would be implemented to minimize erosion during clean-up activities along Johnson Wash and Lay Creek.

- The 12 ac of land within the 100-year floodplain of Johnson Wash and Lay Creek that would be disturbed would be recontoured and revegetated following the completion of remedial action.

The excavation of contaminated material from the floodplain of Johnson Wash and Lay Creek is designed to conform to applicable federal and state regulations. Permits required under

these regulations will be obtained before the start of remedial action. Wetlands along Johnson Wash and Lay Creek have been delineated; the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has agreed with this delineation. Consultation is ongoing with other federal agencies, such as the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and with the state of Colorado.

Based on the above, it was determined that the impacts to the floodplain along Johnson Wash and Lay Creek would be insignificant.

Naturita Site

The clean-up of contaminated material from the floodplain of the San Miguel River in the area of the Naturita site would be a long-term benefit because of the reduction of impacts to potential human health and the environment. Potential impacts due to excavation of contaminated material from the floodplain of the San Miguel River would be mitigated by the following measures.

- All excavated areas would be backfilled with clean fill as soon as clean-up was completed.
- Riparian vegetation along the river not subject to excavation would be left intact as much as possible to reduce river velocities and associated erosion during flood events.
- All excavated areas would be regraded to a gentle slope to promote positive drainage.
- The upper and lower mill yard terraces would be given a gentle slope to promote positive drainage.
- All areas would be revegetated as soon as possible following clean-up to minimize erosion into the river.

The excavation of contaminated material from the floodplain of the San Miguel River is designed to conform to applicable federal and state regulations. Permits required under these regulations will be obtained before the start of remedial action. Wetlands along the river have been delineated, USACE has agreed with this delineation, and a 404 Permit is being prepared. Consultation is ongoing with other federal agencies, such as FWS and BLM, as well as with the state of Colorado and local government agencies.

Based on the above, it was determined that the impacts to the floodplain along the San Miguel River would be insignificant.

Issued at Albuquerque, New Mexico, on July 21, 1995.

W. John Arthur III,

Acting Assistant Manager for Environmental/Project Management.

[FR Doc. 95-27231 Filed 11-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Advisory Committee on External Regulation of Department of Energy Nuclear Safety; Open Meeting

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Open Meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of the eighth and final meeting of the Advisory Committee on External Regulation of Department of Energy Nuclear Safety.

DATE AND TIMES: The Committee session will be held at the Hyatt Regency Dallas/Fort Worth, East Tower, Dallas/Fort Worth Airport, Texas. The session will begin on Monday, November 27 at 1:00 pm and adjourn at 6:00 pm. The Committee session will continue at the same location on Tuesday, November 28, beginning at 8:00 am and adjourning at 12:00 pm.

ADDRESSES: Hyatt Regency Dallas/Fort Worth—East Tower, Enterprise Ballroom—Sector 2, International Parkway, Dallas/Fort Worth Airport, Texas 75261, (214) 453-1234.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas H. Isaacs, Executive Director, Advisory Committee on External Regulation of Department of Energy Nuclear Safety, 1726 M Street, NW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 254-3826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Committee is to provide the Secretary of Energy, the White House Council on Environmental Quality, and the Office of Management and Budget with advice, information, and recommendations on how new and existing Department of Energy (DOE) nuclear facilities and operations, except those operations covered under Executive Order 12344 (Naval Propulsion Program), might best be regulated with regard to safety. The Department currently self-regulates many aspects of nuclear safety, pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. The Committee consists of members drawn from Federal and State government and the private sector, and is co-chaired by John F. Ahearne, Lecturer in Public Policy, Duke University, and Director, The Sigma Xi Center, and Gerard F. Scannell, President of the National Safety Council. Members were chosen with environment, safety, and health backgrounds, balanced to represent different public, Federal, State, Tribal, regulatory, and industry interests and experience.

Purpose of the Meeting: The Committee will discuss a draft of its

final report, which is scheduled to be issued in December 1995.

Tentative Agenda: In addition to conducting deliberations related to its charter, the Committee will discuss a revised draft of its final report.

Public Participation: This is the final meeting of the Committee. Members of the public who wish to address the Committee may register in advance by calling Linda James Hanus (602) 570-7755. All comments that have been submitted to the Committee during its tenure are being considered in development of the final report. The Committee Co-Chairs are empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business.

Transcripts and Minutes: A meeting transcript and minutes will be available for public review and copying four to six weeks after the meeting at the DOE Freedom of Information Public Reading Room, 1E-1990, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585 between 9:00 am and 4:00 pm, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The transcript will also be made available at the Department's Field Office Reading Room locations.

Issued at Washington, DC on October 30, 1995.

Rachel M. Samuel,

Acting Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-27234 Filed 11-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER93-730-001, et al.]

Wholesale Power Services, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

October 26, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Wholesale Power Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER93-730-001]

Take notice that on October 23, 1995, Wholesale Power Services, Inc. tendered its compliance filing in this docket.

Comment date: November 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. JEB Corporation

[Docket No. ER94-1432-004]

Take notice that on October 17, 1995, JEB Corporation (JEB) filed certain information as required by the Commission's letter order dated

September 8, 1994, order in Docket No. ER94-1432-000. Copies of JEB's informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

3. Electric Exchange

[Docket No. ER95-111-003]

Take notice that on October 18, 1995, Electric Exchange (Electric) filed certain information as required by the Commission. Copies of Electric's informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

4. Kaztex Energy Ventures, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-295-004]

Take notice that on October 11, 1995, Kaztex Energy Ventures, Inc. (Kaztex Energy) filed certain information as required by the Commission. Copies of Kaztex Energy's informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

5. New York State Electric & Gas Corporation

[Docket No. ER96-103-000]

Take notice that on October 17, 1995, New York State Electric & Gas Corporation (NYSEG), tendered for filing proposed changes in its FERC Rate Schedules for borderline sales to Pennsylvania Electric Co., Massachusetts Electric Co., Niagara Mohawk Power Corp., Rochester Gas & Electric Co., Central Hudson Gas & Electric Co., Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc., Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., and Connecticut Light & Power Co., (Rate Schedule FERC Nos. 20, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 35 and 105, respectively). NYSEG is filing the information pursuant to 35.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 35.13. NYSEG is requesting an effective date of August 19, 1995 for the tariff rate changes. Accordingly, NYSEG has also requested a waiver of Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown.

NYSEG has sent a copy of this filing to Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.; Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.; Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.; Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc.; Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.; New York State Public Service Commission; Pennsylvania Electric Co.; Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission; Massachusetts Electric Co.; Massachusetts Dept. of Public Utilities; Connecticut Light & Power Co.; and the Connecticut Dept. of Public Utility Control.

Comment date: November 8, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. American Electric Power Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1596-000]

Take notice that on October 13, 1995, the American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) on behalf of the AEP Companies, submitted an Amendment in its filing in this Docket.

The Amendment revises the lists of eligible entities and qualifying receiving parties contained in Appendix II to the Power Sales Tariff filed as an initial rate schedule on August 21, 1995. Waiver of minimum notice requirements was requested to permit designation of the earliest possible effective date.

A copy of the filing was served upon parties of record, the eligible entities listed in the revised Appendix II and the affected state regulatory commissions.

Comment date: November 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin)

[Docket No. ER95-1733-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 1995, Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin) tendered for filing an Amendment to the Power and Energy Supply Agreement by and between NSPW and the City of Barron, Wisconsin, dated August 30, 1995.

Comment date: November 8, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Indianapolis Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-59-000]

Take notice that Indianapolis Power & Light Company (IPL) on October 11, 1995, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FERC Rate Schedule No. 21. The rate schedule supplement consists of Amendment No. 6 dated October 10, 1995, to the Agreement dated October 9, 1986 (1986 Agreement), which sets forth the rates, charges, terms and conditions for wholesale electric service to Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. (Wabash Valley). Amendment No. 6 extends the 1986 Agreement for a successive term of six (6) months and provides for automatic renewal of the contract unless either Wabash Valley or IPL provide notice of termination.

The only customer affected by this filing in Wabash Valley, which was executed said Amendment No. 6 and has concurred in this filing.

Copies of this filing were sent to Wabash Valley and to the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.