

◆ The evening scoping meeting will be held at 7:00 p.m. in the Board Room, County Court House, Ladysmith, Wisconsin.

The site visit and scoping meetings are open to all interested parties.

#### *Meeting Procedures*

The meetings will be conducted according to the procedures used at Commission scoping meetings. Because these meetings will be NEPA scoping meetings, the Commission will not conduct another NEPA scoping meeting when the application and EA are filed with the Commission prior to February 28, 1999. Instead, Commission staff will attend the meetings held on November 14, 1995.

The meetings will be recorded by a stenographer and, thereby, will become a part of the formal record of the proceedings on the Flambeau Project. Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to identify themselves for the record.

Concerned parties are encouraged to offer verbal guidance during public meetings. Speaking time allowed for individuals will be determined before each meeting, based on the number of persons wishing to speak and the approximate amount of time available for the session, but all speakers will be provided at least five minutes to present their views.

Persons choosing not to speak but wishing to express an opinion, as well as speakers unable to summarize their positions within the allotted time, may submit written statements for inclusion in the public record.

Written scoping comments may also be mailed to George L. Johnston, Dairyland Power Cooperative, P.O. Box 817, La Crosse, WI 54601. All correspondence should clearly show the following caption on the first page: Scoping Comments, Flambeau Project, FERC No. 1960, Wisconsin.

For further information, please contact:

◆ George Johnston at (608) 787-1322 (Dairyland Power Cooperative),

◆ David Carroll at (608) 787-1318 (Dairyland Power Cooperative), or

◆ Peter Leitzke at (202) 219-2803 (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission).

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-26662 Filed 10-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-374-001]

#### **Gas Research Institute; Notice of Refund Report**

October 23, 1995.

Take notice that on October 18, 1995, the Gas Research Institute (GRI) filed a report summarizing its 1994 Tier 1 refunds made to its pipeline members. GRI states that the refunds, totaling \$12,410,537 to thirty pipelines, were made in accordance with the Commission's October 13, 1995, directive contained in Opinion No. 402 (73 FERC ¶ 61,073).

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before October 30, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-26664 Filed 10-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-28-000]

#### **Greeley Gas Company; Notice of Application**

October 23, 1995.

Take notice that on October 18, 1995, Greeley Gas Company (Greeley), Three Lincoln Centre, 5430 LBJ Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75265, filed in Docket No. CP96-28-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(f) of the Natural Gas Act for a service area determination, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Greeley requests a service area determination for its Eastern Kansas Division System (System) in Missouri and Kansas. It is stated that the System consists of Linn and Bourbon Counties, Kansas (with the exception of the City of Fort Dodge), and Bates County, Missouri. It is stated that the System is essentially one integrated local distribution system, even though its facilities, consisting primarily of 4-inch pipe or less, cross state lines. It is explained that the System serves 2,050 residential and commercial customers and no industrial customers. Greeley states that it makes no sales for resale.

It is asserted that all of Greeley's sales are regulated by either the Missouri or Kansas Public Service Commissions.

Greeley also requests that the System be treated as a local distribution company for purposes of Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act. Greeley requests a waiver of all reporting and accounting requirements and rules and regulations which are ordinarily applicable to natural gas companies. Finally, Greeley requests a waiver of the Commission's reporting and accounting requirements for its entire system, including the filing of a Form 2A in 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 13, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Greeley to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-26666 Filed 10-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-194-001]****Northern Border Pipeline Company;  
Notice of Amendment**

October 23, 1995.

Take notice that on October 13, 1995, Northern Border Pipeline Company (Northern Border), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124, filed in Docket No. CP95-194-001, an amendment to its pending application in Docket No. CP95-194-000 for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations. Northern Border seeks authorization to construct and operate certain pipeline and compression facilities for the expansion and extension of Northern Border's system to transport gas on a firm basis on behalf of 21 shippers and an advance determination that the project's costs may be rolled-in with existing facilities' costs, all as more fully set forth in the amendment which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Northern Border requests authority to construct and operate facilities consisting of: (1) Approximately 34.6 miles of 42-inch pipeline loop, in two sections, on its existing 42-inch pipeline; (2) approximately 147.0 miles on 36-inch pipeline loop on its existing 30-inch pipeline; (3) approximately 223.7 miles of 36-inch pipeline extending from the terminus of Northern Border's existing pipeline at Harper, Iowa to Manhattan, Illinois; (4) 19.4 miles of 30-inch pipeline, in two sections, from the end of the proposed 36-inch pipeline to two points of interconnection with Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company near Manhattan, Illinois and Lemont, Illinois; (5) five new 35,000 horsepower (HP) compressor stations on the existing 42-inch pipeline in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota; (6) a second 20,000 HP unit added at four existing compressor stations on the existing 42-inch pipeline in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota; (7) a new 20,000 HP compression station on the existing 42-inch pipeline in North Dakota; (8) a new 6,000 HP compressor station on the existing 30-inch pipeline in Iowa; (9) a new compressor station on the proposed 36-inch pipeline extension in Iowa, consisting of two 6,000 HP units; (10) nine meter stations at nine new delivery points; and (11) other appurtenant facilities. In addition, Northern Border proposes to install interconnection facilities (tees and side valves) along the proposed extension near intersections

with or in close proximity to other pipeline systems in order to accommodate requests for future delivery points.

Based on the facilities to be installed, the Port of Morgan, Montana to Ventura, Iowa segment will have a receipt capacity of 2,375,800 Mcf per day; the Ventura, Iowa to Harper, Iowa segment will have a receipt capacity of 1,301,300 Mcf per day; and the pipeline extension between Harper, Iowa and Manhattan, Illinois will have a receipt capacity of 648,100 Mcf per day. Northern Border states that the estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$796.8 million and will be financed through a combination of debt and equity. The proposed in-service date of the facilities is Spring 1998.

Northern Border proposes to maintain its cost of service ratemaking methodology and roll-in to Rate Schedule T-1 (Northern Border's Part 284 general firm transportation rate schedule) the cost of the new facilities with its existing system costs. Northern Border maintains that the aggregation of the proposed costs with existing facility costs will result in a unit cost under Rate Schedule T-1 rate that is less than the present unit cost including fuel. Northern Border also states that the rolling-in of costs is consistent with the Commission's Statement of Policy in Docket No. PL94-4-000.

Northern Border requests a one-time waiver, pursuant to 18 CFR 385.101(e), of Subsection 4.83 Rate Schedule T-1 in Northern Border's FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, which requires the calculation of an average monthly rate base. Instead of calculating the average monthly rate base using the beginning and end-of-month balances as is currently in the tariff, Northern Border seeks to use a daily weighted average balance for the in-service month of the proposed facilities.

Northern Border states that it held an open season between July 17, 1995 and August 11, 1995 for the proposed capacity expansion and system extension. Northern Border asserts that the open season resulted in 21 qualified shippers.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said amendment should on or before November 13, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be

considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-26667 Filed 10-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. ER95-1739-000]****Cogentrix Energy Power Marketing,  
Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Order**

October 24, 1995.

On September 11, 1995, Cogentrix Energy Power Marketing, Inc. (CEPM) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which CEPM will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions as a marketer. CEPM also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, CEPM requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by CEPM.

On October 13, 1995, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Applications, Office of Electric Power Regulation, granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by CEPM should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, CEPM is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of CEPM's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.