

Environmental Assessment of the plan and a Safety Evaluation Report reviewing the plan. These documents, in addition to the plan itself, are available at both Public Document Rooms. In addition, the Commission held a public meeting to obtain public comments on the decommissioning plan on August 16, 1994, in Greenfield, Massachusetts. The NRC Staff addressed those comments in the Safety Evaluation Report issued on the Decommissioning Plan.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.714(b)(2), each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted, including any alleged omission by the licensee or the Staff in any action taken or in any document issued relating to this matter. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. The petitioner must also provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the licensee on a material issue of law or fact. Under the circumstances of this case, the Commission will consider a valid contention to satisfy the aspect requirement noted above.

If a hearing is held, the issue shall be whether an order approving the decommissioning plan should be issued. Thus, contentions shall be limited to matter relevant to the order under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party. Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene.

D. Filing Instructions

A request for hearing and/or petition for leave to intervene shall be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW., by the above date. If a request for hearing or a petition for leave to intervene is filed within the last five (5)

calendar days of this period, the filing party should not only file the documents by U.S. Mail, but should also fax them to the Secretary of the Commission. The fax number for the Office of the Secretary is (301) 415-1672.

A copy of all filings should also be sent to the Office of General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555; Attention: Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement, and to Thomas G. Dignan, Ropes & Gray, One International Plaza, Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2624, attorney for the licensee.

Non-timely filings of (1) petitions for leave to intervene, (2) amended petitions, (3) supplemental petitions and/or (4) requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the non-timely filing should be accepted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 10 CFR 2.714(d). Notwithstanding the provisions of 10 CFR 2.714(a)(3), the participants will not be allowed to amend their pleadings without leave of the Commission or of the designated Board. Under that provision, amendment of pleadings without leave is tied to the submission of contentions which has been expedited in this case. Answers to any request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene should be filed in accordance with 10 CFR 2.714(c).

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for decommissioning, including the decommissioning plan, dated December 20, 1993, as supplemented on August 5, August 22, October 24, and October 26, 1994; (2) the NRC Staff's Environmental Assessment, dated February 14, 1995, and (3) the NRC Staff's Safety Evaluation Report, dated February 14, 1995. These documents are available for public inspection at both the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the NRC Local Public Document Room at the Greenfield Community College, 1 College Drive, Greenfield, Massachusetts 01301.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of October, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
John C. Hoyle,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 95-26681 Filed 10-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Notice of Intent To Request OMB Approval for Continuation of Form OPM-1386

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management (OPM).

ACTION: Proposed Information Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506-3507), the Office of Personnel Management plans to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request to extend its approval of form OPM-1386, Applicant Race and National Origin Questionnaire, which gathers information concerning the race and national origin of applicants for employment under the Outstanding Scholar provision of the Luevano Consent Decree, 93 F.R.D. 68 (1981).

Under the terms of 44 U.S.C. 3507, the public is invited to comment on the need for this information, its practical utility, the accuracy of OPM's burden estimate, and on ways to minimize that reporting burden.

DATES: Comments are requested by December 26, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Address comments to: Leonard R. Klein, Associate Director for Employment, Room 6F08, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20415.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For copies of the form, and further information, contact Mike Carmichael or Christina Gonzales, (202) 606-0830, FAX (202) 606-2329.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Purpose of Form OPM-1386

A Federal court decree, issued in 1981 and still binding, requires recordkeeping on Federal employment selection procedures, including race and national origin (RNO) data, to determine the "relative impact of the procedure upon blacks and upon Hispanics as compared with non-Hispanic whites." OPM and other agencies use form OPM-1386 to collect the RNO data from applicants being considered for selection under the Outstanding Scholar provision of the decree. Using the standardized form makes it easier to collect and consolidate the required data for use by the Federal Government and by the plaintiffs. OPM and agencies do not need to use form OPM-1386 to collect data on applicants being considered through traditional examining processes; court-required

data on those applicants is collected as part of an application process that is not required for Outstanding Scholars.

The form OPM-1386 is not considered in the selection process, but is used only to collect statistical data.

Annual Reporting Burden

Approximately 100,000 forms will be processed annually. The average estimated response time is 8 minutes for a total public burden of 13,300 hours.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

James B. King,

Director.

[FR Doc. 95-26434 Filed 10-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-M

POSTAL SERVICE

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement: Rincon Hill Sports and Entertainment Center, San Francisco, CA; Change in Date and Location of Scoping Meeting

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice of rescheduled meeting.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF THE PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 60 FR 52712 (October 10, 1995).

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: The public was invited to attend a scoping meeting scheduled for 7 p.m. on October 24, 1995, at the San Francisco Marriott, 55 Fourth Street, San Francisco, California.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: The public is now invited to attend the rescheduled scoping meeting at 7 p.m. on November 14, 1995, at the Hyatt Regency Hotel, Five Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: David Klement, (415) 794-6343.

Stanley F. Mires,

Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 95-26752 Filed 10-24-95; 4:48 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-36404; File No. SR-NYSE-95-28]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to a One-Year Extension of the Pilot for Auxiliary Closing Procedures for Expiration Days

October 20, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 14, 1995, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change, and on October 13, 1995, filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change,³ as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons. While the NYSE has not requested accelerated approval of the proposal, the Commission is issuing this order on an accelerated basis because the auxiliary closing procedures are scheduled to expire on October 1995.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to extend the pilot for auxiliary closing procedures for market-at-the-close ("MOC") orders⁴ utilized on expiration Fridays⁵ and quarterly expiration days⁶ through October 31, 1996.

¹ 15 U.S.C. § 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Letter from Brian McNamara, Vice President, NYSE to Glen Barrentine, Team Leader, Division of Market Regulation, SEC, dated October 13, 1995.

⁴ A MOC order is a market order to be executed in its entirety at the closing price on the Exchange. See NYSE Rule 13.

⁵ The term "expiration Friday" refers to the trading day, usually the third Friday of the month, when various stock index futures, stock index options and options on stock index futures expire or settle concurrently.

⁶ The term "quarterly expiration day" refers to the last trading day of each quarter on which end of calendar quarter index options expire.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Special procedures regarding the entry of MOC orders on expiration Fridays were originally adopted in 1986 for assisting in handling the order flow associated with the concurrent quarterly expiration of stock index futures, stock index options, and options on stock index futures on expiration Fridays.⁷ Since November 1988, auxiliary closing procedures⁸ for MOC orders have been used, on a pilot basis, for each monthly expiration and have been applied to the so-called "pilot stocks."⁹ In April 1992, the Exchange modified the pilot procedures and included additional special procedures for handling MOC orders in *all* stocks on expiration Fridays.¹⁰ In March 1993, the Exchange

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 24926 (September 17, 1987), 52 FR 24926 (approving File No. SR-NYSE-87-32 and noting that the MOC procedures described therein had been utilized on a quarterly basis since September 1986).

⁸ The NYSE auxiliary closing procedures for expiration Fridays were initially approved by the Commission on a pilot basis for a one-year period beginning in November 1988 and extending through October 1989. The pilot has since been extended each year on a one-year pilot basis. See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 26293 (November 17, 1988), 53 FR 47599; 26408 (December 29, 1988), 54 FR 343 (approving File No. SR-NYSE-88-37); 27448 (November 16, 1989), 54 FR 48343 (approving File No. SR-NYSE-89-38); 28564 (October 22, 1990), 55 FR 43427 (approving File No. SR-NYSE-90-49); 29871 (October 28, 1991), 56 FR 30004 (approving File No. SR-NYSE-91-31); 31386 (October 30, 1992), 57 FR 52814 (approving File No. SR-NYSE-92-30); 32868 (September 10, 1993), 58 FR 48687 (approving File No. SR-NYSE-93-33); and 34916 (October 31, 1994), 59 FR 55507 (approving File No. SR-NYSE-94-32).

⁹ The expiration Friday pilot stocks consist of the 50 most highly capitalized Standard & Poor's ("S&P") 500 stocks and any component stocks of the Major Market Index ("MMI") not included therein.

¹⁰ In April 1992, the Commission approved the Exchange's modified pilot MOC procedures on an accelerated temporary basis for the April 1992