

Maximum Per Diem Rates for Official Travel in Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands and Possessions of the United States by Federal Government Civilian Employees

Footnotes

1. Commercial facilities are not available. The meal and incidental expense rate covers charges for meals in available facilities plus an additional allowance for incidental expenses and will be increased by the amount paid for Government quarters by the traveler.

2. Commercial facilities are not available. Only Government-owned and contractor operated quarters and mess are available at this locality. This per diem rate is the amount necessary to defray the cost of lodging, meals and incidental expenses.

3. On any day when US Government or contractor quarters are available and U.S. Government or contractor messing facilities are used, a meal and incidental expense rate of \$19.65 is prescribed to cover meals and incidental expenses at Shemya AFB, Clear AFS, Galena APT and King Salmon APT. This rate will be increased by the amount paid for U.S. Government or contractor quarters and by \$4 for each meal procured at a commercial facility. The rates of per diem prescribed herein apply from 0001 on the day after arrival through 2400 on the day prior to the day of departure.

4. On any day when U.S. Government or contractor quarters are available and U.S. Government or contractor messing facilities are used, a meal and incidental expense rate of \$34 is prescribed to cover meals and incidental expenses at Amchitka Island, Alaska. This rate will be increased by the amount paid for U.S. Government or contractor quarters and by \$10 for each meal procured at a commercial facility. The rates of per diem prescribed herein apply from 0001 on the day after arrival through 2400 on the day prior to the day of departure.

5. On any day when U.S. Government or contractor quarters are available and U.S. Government or contractor messing facilities are used, a meal and incidental expense rate of \$25 is prescribed instead of the rate prescribed in the table. This rate will be increased by the amount paid for U.S. Government or contractor quarters.

6. The meal rates listed below are prescribed for the following locations in Alaska: Cape Lisburne RRL, Cape Newenham RRL, Cape Romanzof APT, Fort Yukon RRL, Indian Mtn RRL, Sparrevohn RRL, Tatalina RRL, Tin City RRL, Barter Island AFS, Point Barrow AFS, Point Lay AFS and Oliktok AFS. The amount to be added to the cost of government quarters in determining the per diem will be \$3.50 plus the following amount:

DOD Personnel—Daily Rate \$13

Non-DOD Personnel—Daily Rate \$30

7. (Eff 9-1-94) A per diem rate of \$200 (lodging \$148; M&IE \$52) will be in effect for Las Croabas, Puerto Rico, during the Annual Conference of the National Association of State Boating Law Administrators (NASBLA) being held at the El Conquistador Resort and

Country Club. This rate will be in effect from 4-12 September 1994 only for travelers attending the conference and only for travelers staying at the El Conquistador Resort.

Dated: October 6, 1995.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 95-25447 Filed 10-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Department of the Army

Environmental Assessment for Disposal and Reuse of the Defense Mapping Agency, Herndon, VA

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Public Law 100-526, the Defense Authorizations and Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1988, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission recommended the closure of the Defense Mapping Agency (DMA), Herndon, Virginia property. This recommendation became law on January 5, 1989.

The environmental assessment evaluates the environmental impacts associated with the disposal and reuse of the property after the closure of DMA, Herndon. Implementation of the Global Positioning System eliminated the need for this facility and property. Personnel originally assigned to DMA, Herndon, were reassigned to the DMA Hydrographic-Topographic Center in Brookmont, Maryland. This move is in the local commuting area.

The proposed action is the disposal of excess property made available by the closure of the Herndon facility. The environmental assessment evaluated two potential alternatives. Alternative 1 is the disposal of the 11.96-acre facility and transfer to the Fairfax County Park Authority (FCPA) for use as public park and recreation land. FCPA submitted an application to the National Park Service (NPS), Department of the Interior, to acquire the property under a public benefit discount conveyance pursuant to Section 203(d)(2) of the Federal Property Administrative Services Act of 1949 (63 Statute 387) as amended, for use as public park and recreation land. The application was approved by the NPS on July 6, 1990. The property will be maintained by the local government. The FCPA indicated the site is located in the Upper Potomac Planning District, which has a critical shortage of athletic fields. The property will provide an adult softball field, a soccer/football field, and/or a small playground, and

parking area. The existing buildings could potentially be used for concessions, restrooms, or other supporting facilities.

Alternative 2 is the no-action alternative. Implementation of the no-action means the proposed transfer of the 11.96 acres would not be implemented and the property would remain under caretaker status.

Implementation of the no-action alternative would result in the facility remaining closed to the public and maintained by the Army. The Army would patrol the area periodically, maintain security, infrastructure and utilities and structures to prevent deterioration.

Land management activities would continue to the extent necessary to prevent nuisance conditions. The proposed disposal and reuse of the 11.96 acre property does not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the human environment.

DATES: Written public comments and suggestions will be accepted on or before November 13, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact can be obtained by writing to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: Ms. Maria E. de la Torre (CENAB-PL-EM), P.O. Box 1715, Baltimore, Maryland 21203-1715, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Maria E. de la Torre (CENAB-PL-EM), P.O. Box 1715, Baltimore, Maryland 21203-1715.

Dated: October 5, 1995.

Raymond J. Fatz,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety & Occupational Health) OASA, (I, L&E).

[FR Doc. 95-25320 Filed 10-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Disposal of Property at the Defense Personnel Support Center (DPSC), Philadelphia, PA

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commissions were established by Public Law 101-510, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, to recommend military installations for realignment and closure. The 1993 Commission's recommendations were included in a report which was presented to the

President on July 1, 1993. The President approved and forwarded the report to Congress on July 2, 1993. Congress did not pass a joint resolution disapproving the recommendations within the stipulated period, and the recommendations thereby became law in October 1993.

Public Law 101-510 exempts the decision-making processes of the Commission from the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The law also relieves the Department of Defense from the NEPA requirement to consider the need for closing, realigning, or transferring functions and from looking at alternative installations to close or realign. Nonetheless, the Department of the Army must still prepare environmental impact analyses during the process of property disposal and during the process of relocating functions installation after the receiving installation has been selected but before the functions are relocated. These analyses will include consideration of the direct and indirect environmental and socioeconomic effects of these actions and the cumulative impacts of other reasonably foreseeable actions affecting the installation during the same time.

The Army intends to prepare an environmental impact statement to assess the environmental effects involved in disposing of DPSC, Philadelphia. Opportunities for public participation will be announced in the local newspaper. Comments from the public will be considered before any action is taken to implement this disposal action.

Comments received as a result of this notice will be used to assist in evaluating the impacts of the disposal of DPSC on the environmental, social, historical, archaeological, and socioeconomic aspects of DPSC and the surrounding area.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For further information regarding this environmental impact statement, please contact the DPSC Project Manager, Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District, at (334) 690-2725.

Dated: October 5, 1995.

Raymond J. Fatz,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health) OASA (IL&E)

[FR Doc. 95-25319 Filed 10-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity; Meeting

AGENCY: National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, Education.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the proposed agenda of the National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity. Notice of this meeting is required under section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This document is intended to notify the general public of its opportunity to attend this public meeting.

DATES AND TIMES: November 28-30, 1995, 8:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m.

ADDRESS: The Latham Hotel, 3000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol F. Sperry, Executive Director, National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue SW., Room 3905, ROB 3, Washington, DC. 20202-7592, telephone: (202) 260-3636. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity is established under Section 1205 of the Higher Education Act (HEA) as amended by Public Law 102-325 (20 U.S.C. 1145). The Committee advises the Secretary of Education with respect to the establishment and enforcement of the standards of accrediting agencies or associations under subpart 2 of part H of Title IV, HEA, the recognition of a specific accrediting agencies or associations, the preparation and publication of the list of nationally recognized accrediting agencies and associations, the eligibility and certification process for institutions of higher education under Title IV, HEA, and the functions of the Secretary under subpart 1 of part H of Title IV, HEA, relating to the State Postsecondary Review Program. The Committee also develops and recommends to the Secretary standards and criteria for specific categories of vocational training institutions and institutions of higher education for which there are no recognized accrediting agencies,

or State agencies, in order to establish eligibility for such institutions on an interim basis for participation in federally funded programs.

AGENDA: The meeting on November 28-30, 1995 is open to the public. The Advisory Committee will review petitions of accrediting and State approval agencies relative to initial or continued recognition by the Secretary of Education. It also will review three agencies that were previously reviewed by the Advisory Committee and have appealed the Advisory Committee's recommendations concerning their recognition status, as provided for in 34 CFR 602.13 of the regulations governing the recognition of accrediting agencies. In each of the three cases, the Secretary decided to remand the case to the Advisory Committee for review. In all cases before the Committee, both those petitioning for recognition and those appealing the Advisory Committee's recommendations, the Committee will hear presentations by any representatives who are present from the agency and any third parties who have requested to be heard. The following petitions are scheduled for review:

Nationally Recognized Accrediting Agencies and Associations

Petition for Initial Recognition—

1. National Association of Private, Nontraditional Schools and Colleges, Accrediting Commission for Higher Education (requested scope of recognition: the accreditation and preaccreditation, on a national basis, of private, nontraditional colleges and schools)

Petitions for Renewal of Recognition—

1. Accrediting Bureau of Health Education Schools (requested scope of recognition: the accreditation, on a national basis, of private, postsecondary institutions and programs offering allied health education, including those programs offering the Associate of Applied Science and/or the Associate of Occupational Science degrees)

2. Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges of Technology (requested scope of recognition: the accreditation, on a national basis, of private, postsecondary degree and non-degree-granting institutions that are predominantly organized to educate students for trade, occupational, or technical careers)

3. Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools (requested scope of recognition: the accreditation, on a national basis, of