

costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95-25286 Filed 10-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v.*

Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation, Civil Action No. C2-95-947, was lodged on September 28, 1995 with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. The consent decree settles an action brought under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601, *et seq.* ("CERCLA"), for costs incurred by the United States in responding to a release or threat of release of hazardous substances at the Ormet Superfund Site in Monroe County, Ohio (the "Site") and for implementation of response action at the Site. The United States alleges that Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation ("Ormet") owns and operates the Site at which hazardous substances were released and is liable for costs incurred by the United States in responding to such releases pursuant to Section 107(a)(1) of CERCLA. The Consent Decree requires Ormet to reimburse the United States \$128,070.73 for response costs incurred in connection with the Site and to implement a response action for the Site selected by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in a Record of Decision dated September 12, 1994.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation*, DOJ Ref. #90-11-3-1423.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 280 N. High Street, 4th Floor, Columbus, Ohio; the Region 5 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202)

624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 5th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$61.50 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95-25287 Filed 10-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of a Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Mobil Chemical Company*, Civil Action No. 1:95 CV 858, was lodged on September 28, 1995, with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas.

The Consent Decree between the United States and Mobil Chemical Company resolves violations of the Clean Air Act ("CAA") and the Benzene and Asbestos National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAP"), the Clean Water Act ("CWA") and the company's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Permit, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and the state and federal hazardous waste regulations occurring at the company's petrochemical facility in Beaumont, Texas. The Consent Decree includes a requirement that Mobil pay a civil penalty of \$250,000.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Mobil Chemical Company*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-7-1-652.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 350 Magnolia Street, Suite 250, Beaumont, Texas 77701-2237; the Region VI Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC

20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$3.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95-25288 Filed 10-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980

In accordance with Departmental policy, notice is hereby given that a proposed Settlement Agreement in *In re: Servam Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 92-53469 (Bankr. Ct. D. Conn.), was lodged on October 2, 1995 with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut. This proposed Settlement Agreement will, if entered, settle a proof of claim filed against Service America Corporation ("SAC") and The Macke Company ("Macke") (collectively "Debtors"), debtors in the above proceeding, by the United States on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607, in connection with the Old City of York Landfill, York County, Springfield Township, Pennsylvania and the Elizabethtown Landfill, Lancaster County, West Donegal Township, Pennsylvania.

The proposed Settlement Agreement provides for an allowed claim by the United States, a general unsecured creditor, in the amount of \$6.3 million against Debtors. Pursuant to the Debtors' Plan of Reorganization this claim will be paid at the estimated rate of 7.431 cents on the dollar in cash plus 4.8 cents on the dollar in common stock. Waste Management, Inc., another potentially responsible party ("PRP") under CERCLA at both the Sites, is performing the response activities at both Sites. The Debtors are required to pay 80% of the cash amount to the United States within 30 days after the entry of the Settlement Agreement by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut, Bridgeport Division. The Debtors are

required to pay 20% of the cash amount and 100% of the common stock to Waste Management, Inc. within 30 days after the entry of the Settlement Agreement.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to DOJ Ref. #90-11-2-878.

The proposed Settlement Agreement may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Connecticut, 915 Lafayette Boulevard, Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604; the Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, 202-624-0892. A copy of the proposed Settlement Agreement may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$3.50 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Bruce S. Gelber,
Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement
Section, Environment and Natural Resources
Division.

[FR Doc. 95-25282 Filed 10-11-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Antitrust Division

United States versus Greyhound Lines, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, in *United States v. Greyhound Lines, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 95:CV01852. The Complaint in this case alleges that lease agreements between Greyhound and tenant bus companies operating at Greyhound's terminals violate Section 1 of the Sherman Act. The standard Bus Terminal License agreement between Greyhound and its tenants prohibits the tenants from selling tickets within a 25-mile radius of

Greyhound's terminal or from accepting the tickets of other bus companies sold in this area. This provision is commonly known as the "25-mile rule." The Complaint alleges that the 25-mile rule restricts competition in the provision of intercity bus transportation by preventing Greyhound's tenants from providing connecting service with bus companies operating at other terminals and from providing bus service from non-terminal facilities, such as airports and train stations. The Complaint also alleges that the 25-mile rule restricts competition in the distribution and sale of tickets for intercity bus transportation.

On September 28, 1995, the United States and Greyhound filed a Stipulation in which they consented to the entry of a proposed Final Judgment providing the relief the United States seeks in the Complaint. The proposed Final Judgment requires Greyhound to remove the 25-mile rule from its Bus Terminal License agreements within 60 days of the entry of the Final Judgment. The proposed Final Judgment also enjoins Greyhound from terminating or discriminating against a tenant in order to prevent ticket sales outside the Greyhound terminal. Furthermore, Greyhound is enjoined from entering into exclusive interconnection agreements with other bus companies.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the Federal Register and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Roger W. Fones, Chief, Transportation, Energy & Agriculture Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, Room 9104, 555 Fourth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001 (telephone: 202-307-6351).

Rebecca P. Dick,
Deputy Director, Office of Operations,
Antitrust Division.

United States District Court for the
District of Columbia

United States of America, Plaintiff v.
Greyhound Lines, Inc., Defendant.
[Civil Action No. 95-1852]

Stipulation

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties thereto, and venue of this action is proper in the District of Columbia;

2. The parties consent that a Final Judgment in the from hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon

the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that the Plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on Defendant and by filing that notice with the Court;

3. In the event Plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatsoever, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to pay party in this or any other proceeding.

Dated: September 28, 1995.

For Plaintiff United States of America.

Michael D. Billiel,
Michele B. Felasco,
Attorneys, U.S. Department of Justice,
Antitrust Division, 555 Fourth Street, N.W.,
Room 9104, Washington, D.C. 20001, (202)
307-6666.

For Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc.

Mark F. Horning,
Margaret M. Clark,
Stephoe & Johnson, 1330 Connecticut Avenue,
N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036-1795, (202)
429-8126.

United States District Court for the
District of Columbia

United States of America, Plaintiff. v.
Greyhound Lines, Inc., Defendant.

[Civil Action No. 95-1852]

Final Judgment

Plaintiff, United States of America, filed its Complaint on September 28, 1995. Plaintiff and Defendant, by their respective attorneys, have consented to the entry of this Final Judgment without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law. This Final Judgment shall not be evidence against or an admission by any party with respect to any issue of fact or law. Nothing in this Final Judgment shall constitute an admission by Defendant of any violation of law, liability or wrongdoing. Therefore, before the taking of any testimony and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and upon consent of the parties, it is hereby

Ordered, adjudged, and decreed, as follows:

I

Jurisdiction

This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of each of the parties consenting hereto. The Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against the