

information requested. Furthermore, 19 CFR 355.37 of the Department's regulations gives the Department broad discretion in the use of BIA to calculate benefits for non-cooperating companies who do not submit a complete response. Both the GOM and Cinsa were informed of the need to provide the interest rate for the previously unreported loan. In light of the respondent's failure to respond to our request for complete loan information, we are continuing to use a zero interest rate as BIA.

Comment 5: Respondents contend that the Department incorrectly calculated the commercial dollar interest rate benchmark to which all Bancomext loans are compared. The Department's benchmark was calculated using a weighted average of the commercial interest rates of U.S. dollar loans reported in the Federal Reserve Bulletins ranging from \$1,000 to \$999,000. Respondents argue that, because a significant portion of the loans obtained during the period of review were in excess of \$999,000, the Department should include in its calculation of the commercial interest rate benchmark the interest rates for dollar loans valued between \$1 million and \$5 million.

Department's Position: We agree. The Department has recalculated its benchmark for dollar-denominated short-term loans to include the interest rates reported in the Federal Reserve Bulletin on comparably sized loans. In addition, the Department inadvertently used the 1993 benchmark for two short-term loans contracted in 1992. It is the Department's practice to select a benchmark interest rate for loans at the time the terms of the loan are established, which in this case was when the loans were received. (See Rice From Thailand; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review (59 FR 8906; February 24, 1994)). Therefore, the Department has recalculated the benefit for the Bancomext loans received in 1992, but on which interest was paid in 1993, using the 1992 benchmark rate instead of the 1993 benchmark rate. Because of these changes, we now determine the benefit conferred by the Bancomext program to be zero for APSA and 0.48 percent *ad valorem* for Cinsa.

Final Results of Review

For the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993, we determine the net subsidy to be 0.42 percent *ad valorem* for all companies. In accordance with 19 CFR 255.7, any rate less than 0.5 percent *ad valorem* is *de minimis*.

The Department intends to instruct the Customs Service to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, all shipments of the subject merchandise from Mexico exported on or after January 1, 1993, and on or before December 31, 1993.

The Department will also instruct the U.S. Customs Service to collect a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties of zero percent of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise from all companies entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 355.22.

Dated: September 29, 1995.
Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.
[FR Doc. 95-25302 Filed 10-11-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC)

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U. S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of open meetings.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee will hold its fourth plenary meeting. The ETTAC was created on May 31, 1994, to promote a close working-relationship between government and industry and to expand export growth in priority and emerging markets for environmental products and services.

DATES AND PLACE: October 17, 1995, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.—Room 6808, Department of Commerce; October 18, 1995, from 8:45 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.—Room 6800, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington D.C. 20230.

The Committee will review its mission statement and will request the

participation of several major environmental trade associations on questions of export enhancement for this industry. At the request of the ETTAC, representatives from the U.S. Agency for International Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Trade and Development Agency have been invited to discuss their roles and programs that support international environmental technologies trade. The Committee will also develop work plans for each of its Subcommittees: Communications; Interagency Coordination; Finance; and Privatization; and cross-cutting issues: small business; services exports; and products exports.

This program is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Jane Siegel, Department of Commerce, Room 1002, Washington D.C. 20230. Seating is limited and will be on a first-come, first-served basis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Environmental Technologies Exports, Room 1003, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, phone (202) 482-5225, facsimile (202) 482-5665 TDD 1-800-833-8723.

Dated: October 5, 1995.
Anne Alonzo,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environmental
Technologies Exports.
[FR Doc. 95-25243 Filed 10-11-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

University of California et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. **Decision:** Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 95-060. **Applicant:** University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064. **Instrument:** 5 ea. Seismograph, Model STS-2. **Manufacturer:** G.Streckeisen, Switzerland. **Intended**