

required Columbia Gulf to submit within 30 days of the cessation of the negative surcharge amortization a true-up calculation and other pertinent information regarding the amount of any difference that exists between the amount it credited to its customers under the surcharge, and the exit fee payment, and explain how it shall refund or bill these differences to its customers.

Columbia Gulf states that copies of its filing is being mailed to each of its firm customers and affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such protests should be filed on or before October 12, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of Columbia Gulf's filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25106 Filed 10-10-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-M**

**[Docket No. TM96-2-70-000]**

**Columbia Gulf Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

October 4, 1995.

Take notice that on October 2, 1995, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to be effective November 1, 1995:

Ninth Revised Sheet No. 018  
Ninth Revised Sheet No. 019

Columbia Gulf states that these tariff sheets are being filed to revise the retainage factors applicable to its transportation services in accordance with Section 33 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, which allows Columbia Gulf to periodically adjust its retainage factors. In this filing, Columbia Gulf is adjusting the current company use portion of its retainage factor to reflect a change in the estimate for company use quantities. The unaccounted for and surcharge components have not been adjusted in this filing.

Columbia Gulf states that copies of its filing have been mailed to all firm customers and affected state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before October 12, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of Columbia Gulf's filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25117 Filed 10-10-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-M**

**[Docket No. RP91-138-007]**

**Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Compliance Filing**

October 4, 1995.

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets:

Third Revised Sheet No. 120  
First Revised Sheet No. 120A  
Third Revised Sheet No. 138  
Original Sheet No. 138A  
First Revised Sheet No. 139  
First Revised Sheet No. 140  
Second Revised Sheet No. 141  
First Revised Sheet No. 142  
Second Revised Sheet No. 143  
Second Revised Sheet No. 144  
Second Revised Sheet No. 145  
First Revised Sheet No. 146  
Second Revised Sheet No. 147  
Second Revised Sheet No. 148  
Second Revised Sheet No. 149  
Original Sheet No. 149A  
Original Sheet No. 149B  
Original Sheet No. 149C  
Original Sheet No. 149D  
Original Sheet No. 149E  
Original Sheet No. 149F  
First Revised Sheet No. 650  
First Revised Sheet No. 651  
First Revised Sheet No. 652  
First Revised Sheet No. 653  
First Revised Sheet No. 654  
First Revised Sheet No. 655  
Second Revised Sheet No. 659  
First Revised Sheet No. 660  
First Revised Sheet No. 700

On September 1, 1994, FGT filed a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (Settlement) and pro forma tariff sheets setting forth new procedures for the interruption of interruptible transportation and the curtailment of firm service during periods of diminished capacity on FGT's system. The Settlement was supported by most of the customers on FGT's system. The issues resolved by the Settlement had been severed for separate resolution from other issues contained in FGT's Order No. 636 restructuring proceeding.

On January 12, 1995 the Commission issued an order which accepted and clarified the Settlement and required FGT to make certain changes relative to curtailment and scheduling. On February 10 and March 8, 1995, FGT filed additional and revised pro forma tariff sheets which were subsequently approved by Commission order dated April 26, 1995. The April 26 order required FGT to resubmit tariff sheets within 10 days, with the correct pagination, an effective date of November 1, 1995, and in an electronic format. In response to an FGT Request for Rehearing filed on May 5, 1995, the Commission issued an order on rehearing on June 2, 1995, which removed the 10 day filing requirement and instead required FGT to file tariff sheets no later than 30 days prior to the November 1, 1995 effective date.

In the instant filing, FGT is submitting tariff sheets as required by the June 2, 1995 Commission order on rehearing. Specifically, FGT is filing curtailment and related scheduling procedures in Section 17.A and Section 10, respectively of its General Terms and Conditions. In addition, FGT is filing an updated Index of Requirements by End-Use Priority for Priorities 1 and 2 only (the exempt categories pursuant to the Settlement) as verified by the Data Verification Committee.

Finally, FGT is eliminating the Index of Entitlements. This section is no longer relevant since FGT implemented Order No. 636 on November 1, 1993. FGT's currently effective Original Sheet No. 700 provides that the Index of Entitlements will be filed upon execution of all agreements. However, FGT has entered into no sales agreements nor has it made any sales after implementing Order No. 636. The Essential Agricultural Priority 2 capacity data is included in the updated Index of Requirements by End-Use Priority tariff sheets filed herewith.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE.,