

District Ranger Decisions

Laramie District: *Laramie Daily Boomerang*, published daily in Laramie, Albany County, Wyoming.

Douglas District: *Casper Star-Tribune*, published daily in Casper, Natrona County, Wyoming.

Brush Creek and Hayden Districts: *Rawlins Daily Times*, published daily in Rawlins, Carbon County, Wyoming.

Shoshone National Forest, Wyoming Forest Supervisor Decisions

Cody Enterprise, published twice weekly in Cody, Park County, Wyoming.

District Ranger Decisions

Clarks Fork District: *Powell Tribune*, published twice weekly in Powell, Park County, Wyoming.

Wapiti and Greybull Districts: *Cody Enterprise*, published twice weekly in Cody, Park County, Wyoming.

Wind River District: *The Dubois Frontier*, published weekly in Dubois, Teton County, Wyoming.

Lander District: *Wyoming State Journal*, published twice weekly in Lander, Fremont County, Wyoming.

Dated: September 29, 1995.

Elizabeth Estill,

Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 95-24768 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

Jaybird EIS, Tahoe National Forest, Yuba and Sierra Counties, CA

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for proposed timber harvest, plantation thinning, fuels reduction, and wildlife habitat improvement projects for areas in the Brandy, Bridger, and Willow Creek watersheds in accordance with the requirements of 36 CFR 219.19. The projects areas are located within portions of T.18N, R.8 & 9E., and T.19N., R.8 & 9E., MDB&M.

The agency invites comments and suggestions on the scope of the analysis. In addition, the agency gives notice of the full environmental analysis and decision-making process that will occur on the proposal so that interested and affected people are aware of how they may participate and contribute to the final decision.

DATES: Comments should be made in writing and received by November 20, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments concerning the project should be

directed to Jean Masquelier, District Ranger, Downieville Ranger District, North Yuba Ranger Station, 15924 Hwy 49, Camptonville, CA 95922.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Willour, Resource Officer, Downieville Ranger District, Camptonville, CA 95922, telephone (916) 478-6253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: There are about 2,000 acres being analyzed for projects within the Jaybird analysis area. It incorporates the land within the Brandy, Bridger, and Willow Creek watersheds, which all drain into Bullards Bar Reservoir. It is located just north of Camptonville, California. The area is dominated by mixed conifer and hardwood forest.

This project was chosen to derive needed wood fiber and to reduce fire risk. Watershed problems, fire hazards within a mixed land ownership landscape, forest health concerns, and wildlife habitat conditions represent some of the challenges and opportunities for improvements that will be looked at during this analysis. An EIS will be done because of the concern for water quality.

In preparing the environmental impact statement, the Forest Service will identify and analyze a range of alternatives for treatment of the dense stands of young trees that address the issues developed for these sites. One of the alternatives will be no treatment. Other alternatives will consider differing levels of plantation thinning, timber harvest, new road construction and reconstruction, fuel hazard reduction, and fish and wildlife habitat improvement projects. The needs of people and environmental values will be blended in a such way that the Jaybird analysis area would represent a diverse, healthy, productive, and sustainable ecosystem.

Public participation will be important during the analysis, especially during the review of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The Forest Service is seeking information, comments, and assistance from Federal, State, and local agencies and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed action. This input will be used in preparation of the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS). The scoping process includes:

1. Identifying potential issues.
2. Identifying issues to be analyzed in depth.
3. Eliminating insignificant issues or those which have been covered by a relevant previous environmental analysis.
4. Exploring additional alternatives.

5. Identifying potential environmental effects of the proposed action and alternatives (i.e., direct, indirect, and cumulative effects and connected actions).

6. Determining potential cooperating agencies and task assignments.

Comments from other Federal, State, and local agencies, organizations, and individuals who may be interested in, or affected by the decision, are encouraged to identify other significant issues. Public participation will be solicited through mailing letters to mining claim owners, private land owners, and special use permittees within the downieville Ranger District boundaries; posting information in local towns; and mailing letters to local timber industries, politicians, school boards, county supervisors, and environmental groups. Written comments that have already been received will still be considered when analyzing alternatives and impacts. Continued participation will be emphasized through individual contacts. No public meetings are scheduled.

The draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and to be available for public review approximately the middle of January, 1996. The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviews of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Agnoo v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of the court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and

concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

The final EIS is expected to be available by early May, 1996. The responsible official, who is the District Ranger for the Downieville Ranger District, will document the decision and reasons for the decision in the Record of Decision.

Dated: September 19, 1995.

Jean M. Masquelier,

District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 95-24751 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Conservation and Environmental Programs; Forestry Incentives Program; Implementation

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The NRCS is announcing its intention to adopt the existing policies contained in (7 CFR Part 701); for implementation of the Forestry Incentives Program (FIP), P.L. 95-313, 92 Stat. 365 as amended, and the Cooperative Forestry Assistance Act of 1978; until further notice.

DATES: Effective date: October 5, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Conservation and Ecosystem Assistance Division (CEAD), Natural Resources Conservation Service, South Building, Post Office Box 2890, Washington, D.C. 20013, (202) 720-1845.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lloyd E. Wright, Director, CEAD (202) 720-1845.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Agriculture Reorganization Act of 1994 (the Act), Pub. L. 103-354, 108 Stat 3178, authorized the establishment of NRCS and transferred responsibility for the FIP from the Consolidated Farm Service Agency to the NRCS, formerly the Soil Conservation Service (SCS). The NRCS has decided to adopt the policies stated in the current FIP regulations, (7 CFR

Part 701). Consistent with the Act, however, all administrative, enforcement, monitoring, and management of the FIP shall be under the jurisdiction of the Chief, NRCS, or his designee. This notice does not relieve any person of any obligation or liability incurred under (7 CFR Part 701), nor otherwise deprive any person of any rights received or accrued under the provisions of (7 CFR Part 701).

Dated: September 28, 1995.

Paul W. Johnson,

Chief, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 95-24821 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Jan C. Koster, Doing Business as Advanced Computing Management, Also Known as Aqua City Mij

Relating Person Order

In the Matter of: Jan C. Koster, d.b.a. Advanced Computing Management a.k.a. Aqua City Mij; World Trade Center, Strawinskylaan 59, Amsterdam Postbus 72311, 1007 VA Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Respondents.

Whereas, on August 24, 1990, the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, Quincy M. Krosby, entered an order approving a Consent Agreement and issuing, in pertinent part, the following order:

First, that a civil penalty in the amount of \$50,000 is assessed against [Jan C.] Koster, which shall be paid to the Department as follows: \$25,000 shall be paid on or before December 31, 1990 and \$25,000 shall be paid within one year of the entry of this Order. Payment shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions.

Second, that Jan C. Koster, individually and doing business as Advanced Computing Management and Aqua City Mij (hereinafter collectively referred to as Koster), World Trade Center, Strawinskylaan 59, 1077 XW Amsterdam Postbus 72311, 1007 VA, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, and all his successors, assignees, officers, partners, representatives, agents and employees, shall be denied, for a period of five years from the date of this Order, all privileges of participating, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, in any transaction involving the export of U.S.-origin commodities or technical data from the United States or abroad.

* * * * *

B. After notice and opportunity for comment, such denial may be made applicable to any person, firm, corporation, or business organization with which Koster is now or hereafter may be related by affiliation, ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade or related services.

* * * * *

D. As authorized by Section 788.17(b) of the Regulations, the denial period herein provided against Koster shall be suspended for a period of five years beginning from the date of entry of this Order and shall thereafter be waived, provided that, during the period of suspension, Koster has not committed any violation of the [Export Administration] Act or any regulation, order under the Act.¹

Whereas, on March 5, 1991, when Koster failed to pay the civil penalty as required by the Order, the Office of Export Enforcement, Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce (Department), revoked the five-year period of suspension as provided by the August 24, 1990 Order, and implemented the five-year denial period against Koster, all of Koster's export privileges are denied until March 5, 1996, and the denial order extended to Koster's company, Advanced Computing Management, also known as Aqua City Mij;

Whereas, a June 30, 1995 Order to Multiline Computing Amsterdam and Blue Circle B.V. directing them to show cause why the sanctions of the March 5, 1991 Order entered against Koster should not be made applicable to them because of their relationship to Koster in the conduct of export trade or related services;

Whereas, no response was made to the Order To Show Cause which was served on the respondents and the related parties on July 11, 1995;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge has recommended, based on the evidence of record, that I enter an Order finding that the above persons are related to Koster by affiliation, ownership, control, positions of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of export trade or related services;

Whereas, I find, based on the evidence of record, that each of the

¹The Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (currently codified at U.S.C.A. app. §§ 2401-2420 (1991, Supp. 1993, and Pub. L. No. 103-277, July 5, 1994) (the Act), expired on August 20, 1994. Executive Order No. 12924 (59 FR 43437, August 23, 1994) continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C.A. §§ 1701-1706 (1991)).