

significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2," dated August 1982.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on September 13, 1995, the staff consulted with the Ohio state official, Lawrence Grove, of the Ohio Emergency Management Agency, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The state official had no comments.

#### *Finding of No Significant Impact*

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 21, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio 44081.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of September 1995.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
Jon B. Hopkins, Sr.,  
*Project Manager, Project Directorate III-3,  
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of  
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-24553 Filed 10-2-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

#### **Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Ad Hoc Subcommittee Meeting on Watts Bar, Notice of Meeting**

The ACRS Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Watts Bar will hold a meeting on November 1, 1995, Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

*Wednesday, November 1, 1995—8:30 a.m. Until 5 p.m.*

The Subcommittee will discuss the status of the issues associated with the operating license review of the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 1, including outstanding licensing issues, plant design changes made since 1982, and the resolution of the identified quality assurance weaknesses. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the ACRS staff member named below as far in advance as is practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and the Tennessee Valley Authority, and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the

Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Noel Dudley, (telephone 301/415-6888) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one to two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes in the proposed agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: September 26, 1995.

Sam Duraiswamy,

*Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.*

[FR Doc. 95-24544 Filed 10-2-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### **[Docket No. 50-440]**

#### **Cleveland Electric Illuminating and Toledo Edison Company, et al.; Notice of Transfer of Ownership of Perry Nuclear Power Plant**

Notice is hereby given that the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) is considering approval under Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), Section 50.80 of the transfer of 19.91 percent of the ownership of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant (PNPP) from the Toledo Edison Company (TE) to a company resulting from the merger of TE and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI). Toledo Edison will transfer all of its interest in the ownership of PNPP as described in License No. NPF-58 to such company. The Centerior Service Company (CSC) and CEI are authorized to act as agents for Duquesne Light Company, Ohio Edison Company, Pennsylvania Power Company and the Toledo Edison Company and have exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the PNPP. Toledo Edison Company, CSC, and CEI are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Centerior Energy Corporation. By letter dated June 2, 1994, as supplemented by letters of July 29, 1994, November 10, 1994, April 24, 1995, and September 20, 1995, CEI informed the Commission that the shares of TE, owned by Centerior Energy Corporation, will be converted into new shares of CEI and then all CEI shares will be converted into shares of the merged company.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80 the Commission may approve the transfer of a license, after notice to interested persons, upon the Commission's determination that the holder of the license following the transfer of control