

the refinery (Subzone 122L—Site 5) shall be subject to the applicable duty rate.

2. Privileged foreign status (19 CFR § 146.41) shall be elected on all foreign merchandise admitted to Subzone 122L—Site 5, except that non-privileged foreign (NPF) status (19 CFR § 146.42) may be elected on refinery inputs covered under HTSUS Subheadings # 2709.00.1000—# 2710.00.1050 and # 2710.00.2500 which are used in the production of:

- petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (FTZ staff report, Appendix B);
- products for export; and,
- products eligible for entry under HTSUS # 9808.00.30 and 9808.00.40 (U.S. Government purchases).

3. The authority with regard to the NPF option for Subzone 122L—Site 5 is initially granted until September 30, 2000, subject to extension.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of September 1995.

Susan G. Esserman

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-24295 Filed 9-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[Order No. 771]

Revision of Grant of Authority; Subzone 122J, Valero Refining Company, (Oil Refinery), Corpus Christi, Texas

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) authorized subzone status at the oil refinery of Valero Refining Company, in Corpus Christi, Texas, in 1988 (Subzone 122J, Board Order 414, 53 FR 53041, 12/30/88);

Whereas, the Port of Corpus Christi Authority, grantee of FTZ 122J, has requested pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1)(i), a revision (filed 7/18/95, A(32b1)-14-95; FTZ Doc. 48-95, assigned 8/30/95) of the grant of authority for FTZ Subzone 122J which would make its scope of authority identical to that recently granted for FTZ Subzone 199A at the refinery complex of Amoco Oil Company, Texas City, Texas (Board Order 731, 60 FR 13118, 3/10/95); and,

Whereas, the request has been reviewed and the Assistant Secretary for

Import Administration, acting for the Board pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1), concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, and approves the request;

Now therefore, the Board hereby orders that, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28, Board Order 414 is revised to replace the two conditions currently listed in the Order with the following conditions:

1. Foreign status (19 CFR §§ 146.41, 146.42) products consumed as fuel for the refinery shall be subject to the applicable duty rate.

2. Privileged foreign status (19 CFR § 146.41) shall be elected on all foreign merchandise admitted to the subzone, except that non-privileged foreign (NPF) status (19 CFR § 146.42) may be elected on refinery inputs covered under HTSUS Subheadings # 2709.00.1000—# 2710.00.1050 and # 2710.00.2500 which are used in the production of:

- petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (FTZ staff report, Appendix B);
- products for export; and,
- products eligible for entry under HTSUS # 9808.00.30 and 9808.00.40 (U.S. Government purchases).

3. The authority with regard to the NPF option is initially granted until September 30, 2000, subject to extension.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of September 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-24294 Filed 9-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration

[A-428-810]

High-Tenacity Rayon Filament Yarn from Germany; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 12, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on high-tenacity rayon filament yarn from

Germany (60 FR 35896). The review covered one manufacturer/exporter, Akzo Nobel Faser A.G. and Akzo Nobel Fibers, Inc. (collectively Akzo), of the subject merchandise and the review period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received no comments. The final results are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Blaskovich or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5831/4114.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 30, 1992, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on high-tenacity rayon filament yarn from Germany (57 FR 29062). On June 7, 1994, the Department published a notice in the Federal Register notifying interested parties of the opportunity to request an administrative review of high-tenacity rayon filament yarn from Germany (59 FR 29441). On June 30, 1994, Akzo, a producer/exporter, requested, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a), that we conduct an administrative review of exports to the United States by Akzo Nobel Faser A.G. and Akzo Nobel Fibers, Inc., for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994. We published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review on July 15, 1994 (59 FR 36160). On July 12, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on high-tenacity rayon filament yarn from Germany (60 FR 35896). The Department has now completed that review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Applicable Statutes and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the statutes and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this administrative review is high-tenacity rayon filament yarn from Germany. During the review period, such merchandise was classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 5403.10.30.40. High-tenacity rayon filament yarn is a multifilament single yarn of viscose rayon with a twist of five turns or more per meter, having a denier of 1100 or greater, and a tenacity greater than 35 centinewtons per tex. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of this proceeding.

Final Results of the Review

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. We received no comments. The final results are therefore unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results, and we determine that a margin of zero percent exists for Akzo for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Akzo will be the rate established in the final results of this review, which in this case, is a zero cash deposit rate; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 24.58 percent, the all other rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that

reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: September 22, 1995.
Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 95-24300 Filed 9-23-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-580-807]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent To Revoke Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Notice of Intent to Revoke in Part.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from three respondents, three U.S. producers, and one interested party, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from the Republic of Korea. The review covers four manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States during the periods June 1, 1992 through May 31, 1993 and June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994. The reviews indicate the existence of dumping margins for certain firms during the relevant periods.

We are announcing our intent to revoke the order for Cheil Synthetics, Inc. (Cheil). We preliminarily determined that Cheil has not sold the subject merchandise at less than foreign market value (FMV) in these reviews

and for at least three consecutive administrative review periods. Cheil has also submitted a certification that it will not sell at less than FMV in the future.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below foreign market value (FMV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (U.S. Customs) to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the United States price (USP) and the FMV.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney or John Kugelman, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4475 or 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 5, 1991, the Department of Commerce published in the Federal Register (56 FR 25660) the antidumping duty order on PET film from the Republic of Korea. On June 7, 1993 and June 7, 1994, the Department published (58 FR 31941 and 59 FR 29411) the respective notices of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of this antidumping duty order for the periods June 1, 1992 through May 31, 1993 (second review) and June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994 (third review). We received timely requests for review from Kolon Industries, Inc. (Kolon), SKC Limited (SKC), and STC Corporation (STC) for the second review. The petitioners, E.I. DuPont Nemours & Co., Inc., Hoechst Celanese Corporation, and ICI Americas, Inc., requested reviews of Cheil, Kolon, SKC, and STC for the second review. We received timely requests for review from Cheil, Kolon, SKC, and STC for the third review. The petitioners also requested reviews for Cheil, Kolon, SKC, and STC for the third review. Toray, a domestic interested party, also requested reviews of Cheil, Kolon, SKC, and STC for the third review. On July 21, 1993 and July 15, 1994, the Department published (58 FR 39007 and 59 FR 36160) the respective notices of initiation for the second and third reviews.