“Regulatory Reinvention Initiative” which directed heads of departments and agencies to review all existing regulations to eliminate those that are outdated and modify others to increase flexibility and reduce burden.

This final rule also amends portions of the regulation to remove any references to NHTSA and to modify the number of copies of the certification to be submitted to the local FHWA Division Administrator for further FHWA distribution.

Rulemaking Analyses and Notices
Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures

The agencies have determined that this action is not a significant regulatory action within the meaning of Executive Order 12866 or significant within the meaning of Department of Transportation regulatory policies and procedures. This final rule does not impose any additional burden on the public. It is technical in nature and does not change the requirements of the program. It is anticipated that the economic impact of this rulemaking will be minimal; therefore, a full regulatory evaluation is not required.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

In compliance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–354, 5 U.S.C. 601–612), the agencies have evaluated the effects of this rule on small entities. Based on the evaluation, the agencies hereby certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Any withholding of funds under the regulation will be from States. Accordingly, the preparation of a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is unnecessary.

Executive Order 12612 (Federalism Assessment)

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive order 12612, and it has been determined that this action does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a federalism assessment.

Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review)

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.217, Motor Carrier Safety. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities do not apply to this program.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This action does not contain a collection of information requirement for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

National Environmental Policy Act

The agencies have analyzed this action for the purpose of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and has determined that this action would not have any effect on the quality of the environment.

Regulation Identification Number

A regulation identification number (RIN) is assigned to each regulatory action listed in the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulations. The Regulatory Information Service Center publishes the Unified Agenda in April and October of each year. The RIN contained in the heading of this document can be used to cross reference this action with the Unified Agenda.

List of Subjects in 23 CFR Part 192

Driver licensing, Drug abuse, Highway Safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

In accordance with the foregoing, and under the authority of 23 U.S.C. 315, NHTSA and FHWA hereby amend chapters I and II of title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below.

CHAPITERS I AND II [AMENDED]

1. Part 1212 (§§ 1212.1 through 1212.10) in chapter II is redesignated as part 192 (§§ 192.1 through 192.10, respectively) in chapter I, Subchapter B.

2. The authority citation for newly redesignated part 192 in chapter I is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 159 and 315.

3. Redesignated § 192.5 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 192.5 Certification requirements.

* * * * *

(d) The Governor each year shall submit the original and three copies of the certification to the local FHWA Division Administrator. The FHWA Division Administrator shall retain the original and forward one copy each to the FHWA Regional Administrator, FHWA Chief Counsel, and the Director of the Office of Highway Safety.

§ 192.10 [Amended]

4. In redesignated § 192.10 paragraph (a) is amended by removing the words “NHTSA’s” and paragraph (b) is amended by replacing the words “NHTSA and” and replacing the words “National Highway Traffic Safety Administration” with the words “Federal Highway Administration”; and paragraph (c) is amended by removing the words “NHTSA’s and”.

Rodney E. Slater,
Administrator, Federal Highway Administration.

Ricardo Martinez,
Administrator, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–23988 Filed 9–22–95; 3:19 pm]
BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972; Amendment

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy has determined that USS MITSCHER (DDG 57) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special functions as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 13, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Commander K.P. McMahon, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Counsel, Office of the Judge Advocate General, Navy Department, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400, Telephone number: (703) 325–9744.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR Part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy, under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS MITSCHER (DDG 57) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with the following specific provisions of 72 COLREGS without
interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Annex I, paragraph 3(a), pertaining to the location of the forward masthead light and the horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead lights; Annex I, paragraph 2(f)(i) pertaining to the placement of the masthead light above and clear of all other lights and obstructions; and, Rule 21(a) pertaining to the forward masthead light arc of visibility. The Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel’s ability to perform its military functions.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine Safety, Navigation (Water), and Vessels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessel</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Obstruction angle relative ship's headings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USS MITSCHER</td>
<td>DDG 57</td>
<td>102.27 thru 112.50°.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Table Five of 706.2 is amended by adding the following vessel:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Five</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USS MITSCHER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


K.P. McMahon,
CDR, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty).
[FR Doc. 95–24163 Filed 9–27–95; 8:45 am]
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32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972; Amendment

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy has determined that Large Harbor Tug YTB 820 is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 13, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Commander K.P. McMahon, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Counsel, Office of the Judge Advocate General, Navy Department, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400, Telephone number: (703) 325–9744.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR Part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy, under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that Large Harbor Tug YTB 820 is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with the following specific provisions of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Rule 21(c), pertaining to the location and arc of visibility of the sternlight; Rule 24(c), pertaining to the towing lights displayed by power driven vessels when pushing ahead or towing alongside; Rule 27(b)(i), pertaining to the lights displayed by vessels restricted in their ability to maneuver; Annex I, paragraph 2(a)(i), pertaining to the height of the masthead light; and Annex I, paragraph 3(b), pertaining to the location of the sidelights. The Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel’s ability to perform its military functions.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine Safety, Navigation (Water), and Vessels.