

**Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980.**

The Director, Administration and Management, Office of the Secretary of Defense certifies that this Privacy Act rule for the Department of Defense does not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it is concerned only with the administration of Privacy Act systems of records within the Department of Defense.

**Paperwork Reduction Act.**

The Director, Administration and Management, Office of the Secretary of Defense certifies that this Privacy Act proposed rule for the Department of Defense imposes no information requirements beyond the Department of Defense and that the information collected within the Department of Defense is necessary and consistent with 5 U.S.C. 552a, known as the Privacy Act of 1974.

Investigative and other records needed to make the judgment of approval or denial of a security clearance may require that certain records in the system be protected using the specific exemption (k)(5), to insure that a source who furnished information to the Government under an express promise of confidentiality be held in confidence, or, prior to September 27, 1975, under an implied promise that the identity of the source would be held in confidence will be afforded such protection.

**List of Subjects in 32 CFR part 311**

Privacy.

Accordingly, 32 CFR part 311 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 311 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Pub. L. 93-579, 88 Stat 1896 (5 U.S.C. 552a).

2. Section 311.7 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(9) as follows:

**§ 311.7 Procedures for exemptions.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) *Specific exemptions.* \* \* \*

(9) *System identifier and name-* JS004SECDIV, Joint Staff Security Clearance Files.

*Exemption.* Portions of this system of records are exempt pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5) from subsections 5 U.S.C. 552a(d)(1) through (d)(5).

*Authority.* 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5).

*Reasons.* From subsections (d)(1) through (d)(5) because the agency is required to protect the confidentiality of sources who furnished information to the government under an expressed

promise of confidentiality or, prior to September 27, 1975, under an implied promise that the identity of the source would be held in confidence. This confidentiality is needed to maintain the Government's continued access to information from persons who otherwise might refuse to give it. This exemption is limited to disclosures that would reveal the identity of a confidential source. At the time of the request for a record, a determination will be made concerning whether a right, privilege, or benefit is denied or specific information would reveal the identity of a source.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: August 22, 1995.

Linda L. Bynum,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 95-23943 Filed 9-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-F

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[AK10-1-7022b; FRL-5287-6]

**Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Alaska**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve most of the Alaska State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision for the inclusion of transportation and general conformity rules to ensure that Federal actions conform to the appropriate SIP and take no action on the remaining small portion of it. The SIP revision was submitted by the State to satisfy EPA regulation requirements. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving most of the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA

will not institute a second comment period on this action.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by October 27, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to MontelLivingston, Air Programs Section, at the EPA Regional Office listed.

Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Section (AT-082), 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101.

The State of Washington Department of Ecology, P.O. Box 47600, Olympia, WA 98504.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kelly Huynh, Air Programs Section (AT-082), EPA, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553-1059.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: August 18, 1995.

Charles Findley,

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-23842 Filed 9-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

**40 CFR Part 52**

[VA21-1-5883b; FRL-5292-3]

**Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia—VOC RACT Requirements**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Virginia on November 6, 1992. This revision pertains to amendments to Virginia's major source volatile organic compound (VOC) reasonably available control technology (RACT) requirements. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are

received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by October 27, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director, Air Programs (3AT00), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia, 23219.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maria A. Pino, (215) 597-9337, at the EPA Regional Office listed above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title, pertaining to Virginia's VOC RACT Requirements which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this Federal Register.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: August 24, 1995.

W. Michael McCabe,

*Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 95-23821 Filed 9-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[IL103-1-6696b; FRL-5283-9]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) proposes to approve Illinois' November 30, 1994, request to revise the

State's Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry air oxidation process rule as part of the State's 15 percent Reasonable Further Progress Plan control measures for the control of Volatile Organic Matter. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before October 27, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark J. Palermo, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6082.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: August 9, 1995.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-23966 Filed 9-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 57-14-7108b; FRL-5280-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from components at pipeline transfer stations and petroleum-related sources; oil-water separators; and petroleum pits, ponds, sumps, and well cellars.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by October 27, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule