

and Atmospheric Administration, August 24, 1995.

The National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Standards and Technology and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration advise that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 95-23886 Filed 9-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 95-059. *Applicant:* Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543-1522.

Instrument: Noble Gas Mass

Spectrometer, Model MAP 215-50.

Manufacturer: Mass Analyzer Products, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 60 FR 39711, August 3, 1995.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides: (1) a background of less than 5.0×10^{-14} cm³ STP for M/e 36 and less than 10^{-15} cm³ STP for M/e 132 and (2) capability for simultaneous measurement of 40 Ar and 36 Ar.

These capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value

to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 95-23887 Filed 9-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

[A-583-605]

Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Taiwan; Final Results of Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On December 22, 1994, The Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its 1992-1993 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Taiwan. The review covers four manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period December 1, 1992, through November 30, 1993. Our review indicates the existence of dumping margins.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have adjusted the margins of two manufacturers for these final results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 26, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carlo G. Cavagna or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 17, 1986, the Department published in the Federal Register (51 FR 45152) the antidumping duty order on carbon steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Taiwan. On November 26, 1993, the Department published (58 FR 62327) a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order for the period December 1, 1992, through November 30, 1993. The Department received a timely request from the petitioner, the U.S. Butt-Weld Fittings Committee, to review C.M. Pipe Fitting Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (C.M.), Rigid

Industries Co., Ltd. (Rigid), Chup Hsin Enterprises (Chup Hsin), and Gei Bey Corporation (Gei Bey). The Department initiated an administrative review on January 18, 1994 (59 FR 2593).

On December 22, 1994, the Department published in the Federal Register (59 FR 66001) the preliminary results of its administrative review. The period of review (POR) covers December 1, 1992, through November 30, 1993.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department has completed these administrative reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of carbon steel butt-weld type pipe fittings, other than couplings, under 14 inches in inside diameter, whether finished or unfinished, that have been formed in the shape of elbows, tees, reducers, and caps, and if forged, have been advanced after forging. These advancements may include one or more of the following: coining, heat treatment, shot blasting, grinding, die stamping, or painting.

Carbon steel butt-weld pipe fittings are currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 7307.93.3000. The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Best Information Available

In accordance with section 776(c) of the Act, we have determined that the use of best information otherwise available (BIA) is appropriate for certain firms. The Department's regulations provide that we may take into account whether a party refuses to provide information (19 CFR 353.37(b)). For purposes of these reviews, we have used the most adverse BIA—generally, the highest rate for any company for this same class or kind of merchandise from this or any prior segment of the proceeding—whenever a company refused to cooperate with the Department or otherwise significantly impeded the proceeding. See Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From the Federal Republic of Germany, et. al.; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 56 FR 31692, 31704 (July 11,