

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Premier Bearing and Equipment, Limited	75.87
Guizhou Machinery Import and Export Corporation	5.38
Henan Machinery and Equipment Import and Export Corporation	1.42
Luoyang Bearing Factory	2.12
Shanghai General Bearing Company, Ltd.	0.07
Jilin Machinery Import and Export Corporation	60.91
Chin Jun Industrial Ltd.	1.94
Wafangdian Bearing Factory	75.87
Liaoning Machinery Import & Export Corporation	12.06
China National Machinery & Equipment Import and Export Corporation	0.13
China Nat'l Automotive Industry Import and Export Guizhou Corporation	1.44
Tianshui Hailin Import and Export Corporation	0.00
Zhejiang Machinery Import & Export Corporation	7.83

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within five days of the date of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held approximately 44 days after the publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit written comments (case briefs) within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs), which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish a notice of final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and FMV may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For the companies named above that have separate rates and were reviewed (Premier, Guizhou Machinery, Henan,

Luoyang, Shanghai General, Jilin, Chin Jun, Wafangdian, Liaoning, CMEC, Guizhou Automotive, Tianshui, Zhejiang), the cash deposit rates will be the rates for these firms established in the final results of this review; (2) for Xiangfan, which we preliminarily determine to be entitled to a separate rate, the rate will continue be that which currently applies to this company (8.83 percent) unless modified by a more recent PRC rate (e.g., from the concurrent 90-91, 91-92, or 92-93 reviews); (3) for all remaining PRC exporters, all of which were found to not be entitled to separate rates, the cash deposit will be 57.86 percent; and (4) for other non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 C.F.R. 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 C.F.R. 353.22.

Dated: September 13, 1995.
 Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
 [FR Doc. 95-23885 Filed 9-25-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-821-803]

Titanium Sponge From Russia; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Titanium Metals Corporation (TIMET), Berezniki Titanium-Magnesium Works (AVISMA), Interlink Metals and Chemicals, Inc. (Interlink), and RMI Titanium Company (RMI), a U.S.

producer of titanium sponge, a Russian Producer of titanium sponge, an unrelated third-country reseller of titanium sponge, and a U.S. importer of titanium sponge, respectively, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Russia. The review covers AVISMA and exports of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period August 1, 1993 through July 31, 1994.

We have preliminarily determined that AVISMA is a non-shipper for the purposes of this review because it did not have sufficient knowledge at the time of sale that subject merchandise was destined for the United States. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of review we will instruct the U.S. Customs service (Customs) to maintain the cash deposit rate of 83.96 percent, which is the rate established in the final results of the most recent administrative review of the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Russia.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 26, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Genovese or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-5254.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
 Background

On August 28, 1968, the Department of the Treasury published an antidumping finding on titanium sponge from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) (33 FR 12138). In December 1991, the USSR divided into fifteen independent states. To conform to these changes, the Department changed the original antidumping finding into fifteen findings applicable to the Baltic states and the former Republics of the USSR (57 FR 36070, August 12, 1992).

On August 3, 1994, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (59 FR 39545) of the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Russia. On August 31, 1994, TIMET, AVISMA, Interlink, and RMI, requested an administrative review. The Department initiated the review on September 16, 1994 (59 FR 47609), covering the period August 1, 1993, through July 31, 1994.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise covered by this review is titanium sponge from Russia. Titanium sponge is chiefly used for aerospace vehicles, specifically, in the construction of compressor blades and wheels, stator blades, rotors, and other parts in aircraft gas turbine engines.

Imports of titanium sponge are currently classifiable under the harmonized tariff schedule (HTS) subheading 8108.10.50.10. The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes; our written description of the scope of this finding is dispositive.

Preliminary Results of Review

In response to the Department's request for U.S. sales information, AVISMA reported that it did not export titanium sponge to the United States during the period of review. AVISMA reported that it produced and sold titanium sponge during the period of review but that it sold to unrelated intermediaries without knowledge of the ultimate destination of the merchandise.

In a subsequent submission dated May 16, 1995, AVISMA argued that, while as a general matter it did not know the ultimate destination of merchandise purchased by intermediaries, it was aware at the time of sale that at least a portion of its sales to an unrelated third-country reseller was to be resold to a customer in the United States. Therefore, AVISMA argued that the Department should conduct a review of AVISMA's sales for the 1993/94 period of review.

Also in the May 16, 1995, submission, Interlink requested that the Department continue the review regardless of the degree of knowledge possessed by AVISMA, because Interlink's request for a review of AVISMA's U.S. sales should be construed by the Department as a request for a review of Interlink's shipments of AVISMA titanium sponge to RMI.

We determined, (1) that AVISMA had insufficient knowledge at the time of sale that the merchandise was destined for the United States, and, therefore, such sales cannot be used as the basis of U.S. price; and, (2) that sales by

Interlink are not covered by this review because a review of Interlink's sales was not requested. Based on the preceding determinations, the Department concluded that AVISMA was a non-shipper during the period of review, and, since AVISMA was the only company for which a review was requested, it was appropriate to proceed with preliminary results of review based on no shipments to the United States.

Accordingly, the effective cash deposit rate for Russian titanium sponge that entered the United States during the period of review will continue to be the rate from the most recent review, which is 83.96 percent.

Parties to the proceeding may request a hearing within 10 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter and will be limited to those issues raised in the case briefs and/or written comments. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in the case briefs and comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of any written comments or case briefs.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirement will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: The cash deposit rate for entries of titanium sponge from Russia will be that rate established in the final results of this administrative review. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1)

of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: September 15, 1995.
Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-23791 Filed 9-25-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-201-601]

Fresh Cut Flowers From Mexico; Preliminary Results and Termination in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results and termination in part of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to requests by the Floral Trade Council (petitioner) and one respondent, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico. The review covers ten producers/exporters, and entries of the subject merchandise into the United States during the period April 1, 1992, through March 31, 1993. We have preliminarily determined that dumping margins exist for four of these producers. Two producers, Rancho Daisy (Daisy) and Visaflor F. de P.R. (Visaflor), made no shipments to the United States during the period of review (POR).

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 26, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Maureen Flannery, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 23, 1987, the Department published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico (52 FR 13491). On April 9, 1993, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of this antidumping duty order (58 FR 18374). In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(1), the petitioner requested an administrative review on April 30, 1993. Also on that date, Rancho Guacatay