reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

The Forest Supervisors of the Beaverhead and Deerlodge National Forests are the responsible officials who will make the decision. They will decide on this proposal after considering comments and responses, environmental consequences discussed in the Final EIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies. The decision and reasons for the decision will be documented in a Record of Decision.

Dated: September 18, 1995.

Deborah L.R. Austin,

Forest Supervisor, Beaverhead National Forest and Acting Forest Supervisor, Deerlodge National Forest. [FR Doc. 95–23655 Filed 9–22–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

Environmental Impact Statement for the Illinois Creek Timber Sale, Grand Mesa, Uncompangre and Gunnison National Forests, Gunnison County, CO

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to supplement a final environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare a supplement to the final environmental impact statement for the Illinois Creek Timber Sale located on the Gunnison National Forest, Cebolla/ Taylor River Ranger District.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope and issues of the analysis should be received by October 6, 1995; Publication of Supplement to Final EIS: November, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to James Dawson, District Ranger, Cebolla/ Taylor River Ranger District, 216 North Colorado, Gunnison, CO 81230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arthur Haines, Forester, Cebolla/Taylor River Ranger District, 216 North Colorado, Gunnison, CO 81230, (303) 641–0471.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Forest Service is proposing to prepare a supplement to the Final environmental impact statement for the Illinois Creek Timber Sale. The biological assessment and evaluation will be revised to meet current standards and issues raised during appeal of the Final environmental impact statement will be reviewed. A new decision will be made on whether to proceed with the project.

The original Notice of Intent for this project was published in the Federal Register Vol. 57, No. 76, Monday April 20, 1992, Pages 14383–14384. A Record of Decision and Final environmental impact statement were approved June 9, 1995. This decision was appealed and the decision voluntarily withdrawn on September 8, 1995. The deficiencies identified in the appeal will be corrected in the Supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

The comment period on the final environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes, at this early state, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDG, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after

completion of the final environmental impact statement. City of Angoon v. Hodel, (9th Circuit, 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the comment period (October 6, 1995) so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the supplement to the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the final environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the final environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

The responsible official for this supplement to the final environmental impact statement is Robert L. Storch, Forest Supervisor, Grand Mesa, Uncompangre and Gunnison National Forests, 2250 Highway 50, Delta, Colorado 81416.

Dated: September 15, 1995.

Robert L. Storch,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 95–23730 Filed 9–22–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

Deschutes Provincial Interagency Executive Committee (PIEC), Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Deschutes PIEC Advisory Committee will meet on October 12 & 13 1995 at the BLM office in Prineville, Oregon. October 12 will be a field trip to view selected riparian areas on BLM and Forest Service land. October 13 will be a regular business meeting. Start time is 9:00 a.m. both days. Agenda items include: (1) Properly functioning conditions in riparian areas of the Province; (2) New range responsibilities for the Advisory Committee; (3) An update on the salvage program on Province forests; and (4) Open public forum. All Deschutes Province Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harry Hoogesteger, Province Liaison, USDA, Fort Rock Ranger District, 1230 N.E. 3rd, Bend, Oregon 97701, 503–383– 4704.

Dated: September 20, 1995. Sally Collins,

Deschutes National Forest Supervisor. [FR Doc. 95–23705 Filed 9–22–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

Rural Utilities Service

Notice of Request for Extension and Revision of a Currently Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Utilities Service's (RUS) intentions to request an extension for and revision to currently approved information collection.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by November 24, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dawn D. Wolfgang, Management Analyst, Program Support Staff, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 14th & Independence Ave., SW., AG Box 1522, Washington, DC 20250–1533. Telephone: (202) 720– 0812. FAX: (202) 720–4120.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Review Rating Summary. *OMB Number:* 0572–0025. *Expiration Date of Approval:* December 31, 1995.

Type of Request: Extension and revision of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The Rural Utilities Services (RUS) manages loan programs in accordance with the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, 7 U.S.C. 901 et seq., as amended. An important part of safeguarding loan security is to see that RUS financed facilities are being responsibly used, adequately operated, and adequately maintained. Future needs have to be anticipated to ensure that facilities will continue to produce revenue and that loans will be repaid as required by the RUS mortgage. A periodic operations and maintenance (O&M) review, using the RUS Form 300, in accordance with RUS Bulletin 161-5, is an effective means for RUS to determine whether the borrowers' systems are being properly operated and maintained, thereby protecting the loan collateral. An O&M review is also used to rate facilities and can be used for appraisals of collateral as prescribed by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–129, Policies for Federal Credit Programs and Non-Tax Receivables.

Estimate of Burden: Public Reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4 hours per response.

Respondents: Businesses or other forprofit, small businesses or

organizations. Estimated Number of Respondents: 280

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1,120

Copies of this information collection, and related form and instructions, can be obtained from Dawn Wolfgang, Program Support Staff, at (202) 720– 0812.

Comments: Send comments regarding this burden estimate, including suggestions for reducing this burden through the use of automated collection techniques or other information technology, to:

F. Lamont Heppe, Jr., Deputy Director, Program Support Staff, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 14th & Independence Ave., SW., AG Box 1522, Washington, DC 20250–1522. FAX: (202) 720–4120.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 18, 1995.

Wally Beyer,

Administrator, Rural Utilities Service. [FR Doc. 95–23648 Filed 9–22–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Office of the Secretary

Advisory Committees; Availability of Report on Closed Meetings

AGENCY: Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Announcing public availability of report on closed meetings of advisory committees.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has prepared its report on the activities of closed or partially closed meetings of advisory committees as required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act. **ADDRESSES:** Copies of the reports have been filed and are available for public inspection at two locations:

- Library of Congress, Newspaper and Current Periodicals Reading Room, Room LM133, Madison Building, 1st and Independence Avenues, SE., Washington, DC 20540
- Department of Commerce, Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6020, Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 482–4115.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The reports cover the closed and partially closed meetings held in FY 94 of 34 committees and one subcommittee, the names of which are listed below:

- Board of Overseers of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award
- Committee of Chairs of Industry Advisory Committees for Trade Policy Matters (TPM)
- Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee
- Electronic Technical Advisory Committee

Industry Sector Advisory Committee (ISAC) on Aerospace Equipment for Trade Policy Matters (TPM) ISAC on Building Products and Other

- Materials for TPM
- ISAC on Capital Goods for TPM
- ISAC on Chemicals and Allied Products for TPM
- ISAC on Consumer Goods for TPM ISAC on Electronics and
- Instrumentation for TPM
- ISAC on Energy for TPM
- ISAC on Ferrous Ores and Metals for TPM
- ISAC on Footwear, Leather, and Leather Products for TPM
- ISAC on Lumber and Wood Products for TPM
- ISAC on Nonferrous Ores and Metals for TPM
- ISAC on Paper and Paper Products for TPM
- ISAC on Services for TPM
- ISAC on Small and Minority Business for TPM
- ISAC on Textiles and Apparel for TPM ISAC on Transportation, Construction,
- and Agricultural Equipment for TPM
- ISAC on Wholesaling and Retailing for TPM
- Industry Functional Advisory Committee on Customs Matters for TPM
- Industry Functional Advisory Committee on Intellectual Property Rights for TPM
- Industry Functional Advisory Committee on Standards for TPM
- Industry Policy Advisory Committee for Trade Policy Matters
- Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award