

Received From: The National Institutes of Health, July 11, 1995.

Docket Number: 95-031. *Applicant:* University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-7515. *Instrument:* Monocular Oculometer for the Human Eye.

Manufacturer: Dr. Bouis, Germany.

Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 24838, May 10, 1995. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides

measurement of horizontal eye movement at a scan rate of 4000/sec. *Advice Received From:* The National Institutes of Health, July 12, 1995.

The National Institutes of Health advises in its memoranda that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 95-22901 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

President's Export Council: Meeting of the Subcommittee on the Americas

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The President's Export Council Subcommittee on the Americas will hold an open meeting to discuss topics related to hemispheric integration including: trade promotion in the Americas, a Brazilian trade review and the Mexican peso crisis. The President's Export Council was established on December 20, 1973, and reconstituted May 4, 1979, to advise the President on matters relating to U.S. trade. It was most recently renewed on September 30, 1993, by Executive Order 12689.

DATES: September 29, 1995.

TIME: 9:00 a.m.-1:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: Main Commerce Building, Room 6029, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230. This program is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Chad Hoseth, President's Export Council, Room 2015B, Washington, D.C. 20230. Seating is limited and will be on a first come, first serve basis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chad Hoseth, President's Export Council, Room 2015B, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: September 8, 1995.

Sylvia Lino Prosak,

Acting Staff Director and Executive Secretary, President's Export Council.

[FR Doc. 95-22887 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 090795B]

Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Salmon Subcommittee of the Pacific Fishery Management Council's (Council) Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) will hold a public meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be begin on Tuesday, October 10, 1995 at 10 a.m. and may go into the evening until business for the day is completed.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2501 SW. First, Commission Room, Portland, OR 97207.

Council address: Pacific Fishery Management Council, 2130 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 224, Portland, OR 97201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Seger, Economic Analysis Coordinator; telephone: (503) 326-6352.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The primary purpose of this meeting is to review selected methodologies used in the Council's management of the Pacific salmon fisheries.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Lawrence D. Six, Executive Director, at (503) 326-6352 at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: September 8, 1995.

Richard W. Surdi,

Acting Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 95-22891 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Wool, Man-Made Fiber, Silk Blend and Other Vegetable Fiber Apparel Produced or Manufactured in Sri Lanka

September 8, 1995.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 18, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Helen L. LeGrande, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927-5850. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482-3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended; section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854).

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted, variously, for swing, carryover and special carryforward.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the **CORRELATION:** Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 59 FR 65531, published on December 20, 1994). Also see 60 FR 13410, published on March 13, 1995.

The letter to the Commissioner of Customs and the actions taken pursuant to it are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of their provisions.

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

September 8, 1995.

Commissioner of Customs,
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on March 7, 1995, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool and man-made fiber textile products and silk blend and other vegetable fiber apparel, produced or manufactured in Sri Lanka and exported during the period which began on January 1, 1995 and extends through December 31, 1995.

Effective on September 18, 1995, you are directed to amend the directive dated March 7, 1995 to adjust the limits for the following categories, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit ¹
237	304,360 dozen.
314	4,120,099 square meters.
331/631	2,663,924 dozen pairs.
333/633	2,605 dozen.
334/634	615,833 dozen.
335/835	283,781 dozen.
336/636/836	572,566 dozen.
338/339	1,388,284 dozen.
340/640	1,346,490 dozen.
341/641	1,930,467 dozen of which not more than 1,253,654 dozen shall be in Category 341 and not more than 1,207,271 dozen shall be in Category 641.
342/642/842	584,835 dozen.
345/845	140,563 dozen.
347/348/847	1,557,838 dozen.
350/650	116,046 dozen.
351/651	373,596 dozen.
352/652	1,246,213 dozen.
359-C/659-C ²	1,343,928 kilograms.
360	1,512,587 numbers.
363	4,194,626 numbers.
369-D ³	624,518 kilograms.
369-S ⁴	487,779 kilograms.
434	7,860 dozen.
435	15,933 dozen.
440	11,735 dozen.
611	5,310,564 square meters.
635	383,861 dozen.
638/639/838	847,546 dozen.
644	256,876 numbers.
645/646	87,331 dozen.
647/648	991,211 dozen.
840	157,671 dozen.

¹ The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1994.

² Category 359-C: only HTS numbers 6103.42.2025, 6103.49.8034, 6104.62.1020, 6104.69.8010, 6114.20.0048, 6114.20.0052, 6203.42.2010, 6203.42.2090, 6204.62.2010, 6211.32.0010, 6211.32.0025 and 6211.42.0010; Category 659-C: only HTS numbers 6103.23.0055, 6103.43.2020, 6103.43.2025, 6103.49.2000, 6103.49.8038, 6104.63.1020, 6104.63.1030, 6104.69.1000, 6104.69.8014, 6114.30.3044, 6114.30.3054, 6203.43.2010, 6203.43.2090, 6203.49.1010, 6203.49.1090, 6204.63.1510, 6204.69.1010, 6210.10.9010, 6211.33.0010, 6211.33.0017 and 6211.43.0010.

³ Category 369-D: only HTS numbers 6302.60.0010, 6302.91.0005 and 6302.91.0045.

⁴ Category 369-S: only HTS number 6307.10.2005.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 95-22831 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Use of the 10 CFR Part 960 Siting Guidelines in Evaluating the Suitability of the Yucca Mountain Site

AGENCY: Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, Yucca Mountain. Site Characterization Project.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM), today gives notice of the rationale for its recent announcement that it will use the General Guidelines for the Recommendation of Sites for the Nuclear Waste Repositories (Guidelines) in 10 CFR Part 960, as they are currently written, in its evaluation of the suitability of the Yucca Mountain site in Nevada for development as a repository. As announced, the use of the Guidelines in this evaluation will be consistent with the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended (NWP), including the programmatic changes and reconfiguration provided for in the 1987 amendments to the NWP, the presentation of this information is in response to a commitment made by the DOE to stakeholders at the public meetings held to discuss the DOE's proposed process for evaluating the suitability of the Yucca Mountain site. DOE has concluded that the existing Guidelines should not be amended at this time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Jane R. Summerson, U.S. Department of

Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office, P.O. Box 98608, Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608.

I. Background

IA. Development of the Guidelines

As originally enacted in 1982, section 112 of the NWP provided that a screening process would be used to identify multiple sites in different geologic media as suitable for extensive site characterization to determine their suitability as repository sites. Upon completion of site characterization, the characterized sites would be compared and a single site would be chosen for recommendation to the President for development as a repository.

On February 13, 1983, to implement section 112, the DOE published the proposed "General Guidelines for the Recommendation of Sites for the Nuclear Waste Repositories," for review and comment (48 FR 5670). The Guidelines were subsequently finalized following consideration of comments from the public and the consultation process with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) required by the NWP. See 10 CFR Part 960. The final concurrence of the NRC was provided on July 10, 1984 (49 FR 28130). On December 6, 1984, the DOE promulgated the final version of the Guidelines (49 FR 47714).

In its preliminary decision on the Guidelines, the NRC conditioned its concurrence on DOE adopting a number of conditions closely linking the Guidelines to NRC regulatory requirements in 10 CFR Part 60 (49 FR 9650). In its final concurrence, the NRC noted that DOE had revised the Guidelines to meet its conditions. In response to comments requesting closer alignment of the guidelines to Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and NRC requirements, DOE stated that,

In the event of a conflict between the Guidelines and either 10 CFR Part 60 (NRC regulations) or 40 CFR Part 191 [the EPA regulations], these NRC and EPA regulations will supersede the siting guidelines and constitute the operative requirement in any application of the guidelines. (49 FR 47721).

IB. Previous Applications of the DOE Guidelines

Consistent with section 112(b) of the NWP, the Guidelines were used by the DOE in the process of nominating five sites as suitable for characterization and the recommendation to the President of three of the nominated sites for characterization as candidate sites for the first repository. Each site nomination was accompanied by an Environmental Assessment (EA) that