

members of the public to radiation beyond allowable limits;

(e) With respect to the third question in Section 801, it is not possible to make scientifically supportable predictions of the probability that a repository's engineered or geologic barriers will be breached as a result of human intrusion over a period of 10,000 years; and,

(f) There is no scientific basis for incorporating the ALARA [as low as reasonably achievable] principle into the EPA standards or Nuclear Regulatory Commission licensing regulations for the repository.

### Request for Comments on the NAS Report

As the first step in the public process, EPA is requesting comments on the NAS Report. While comments will be accepted on any part of the report, the Agency has several questions upon which it is particularly requesting comments. First, did the report sufficiently answer the questions found in the Act? Second, was there sufficient rationale to support the findings and conclusions? Third, do provisions other than those found in the findings and conclusions need to be included in the EPA standards? Fourth, are any of the findings or conclusions which are inappropriate or inaccurate regarding Yucca Mountain? Fifth, would the cost of imposing the findings and recommendations be justifiable when compared with the benefits provided?

### Public Meetings

The second step in the standards-setting process will be to hold a series of public meetings. The purpose of these meetings is to inform the public of the role of the Environmental Protection Agency including the extent and limitations of its authority. They will also be used to receive early comments from and discuss issues with the public.

Public meetings will be held: (a) from 1:00–5:00 p.m. and 6:30–9:30 p.m. on September 20, 1995 in the Multi-Purpose Building, 821 East Farm Road in Amargosa Valley, Nevada (call Stan Sims at 702–727–7727 for directions); (b) from 1:00–5:00 p.m. and 6:30–9:30 p.m. on September 21, 1995 in Wright Hall, Room 103, University of Nevada-Las Vegas, 4505 Maryland Parkway in Las Vegas, Nevada (see the campus map on page 57 of the Las Vegas telephone directory for directions); and from 9:00 a.m.–noon and 1:00 p.m.–5:00 p.m. on September 27, 1995 in the National Gallery Ballroom, Radisson Barcelo Hotel, 2121 P St., NW, in Washington, DC (call 202–293–3100 for directions).

Dated: September 5, 1995.

**Mary Nichols,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 95–22355 Filed 9–8–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[OPPTS–00174A; FRL–4977–1]

### Toxics Release Inventory Phase 3; Chemical Use; Notice of Public Meeting; Change of Meeting Date

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In the **Federal Register** of August 22, 1995, EPA announced a 2-day public meeting to receive public comments on whether to expand the reporting requirements of the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) to include chemical use data. This notice announces new dates for the meeting.

**DATES:** The location of the meeting has not changed (Waterside Towers, Conference Room, 907 6th St., SW., Washington, DC); however, the dates have been changed to October 18 and 19, 1995, at 9 a.m. The issues paper will be available October 4, 1995, by contacting EPA at the telephone number listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. In order to schedule speakers and accommodate attendees, please contact EPA by October 6, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Toxic Substances Control Act Hotline, Environmental Assistance Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, 7408, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone: (202) 554–1404, e-mail: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov. Attention: Administrative Record No. AR 128.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting is intended to explore issues related to the possible collection of chemical use-related data, such as materials accounting, under the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act or other appropriate Federal statutes. The purpose of the issues paper is to provide a focus for discussion at the meeting. Speakers are asked to bring a disk containing any written comments they may have.

### List of Subjects

Environmental protection,  
Community right-to-know.

Dated: September 5, 1995.

**Susan B. Hazen,**

*Director, Environmental Assistance Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.*

[FR Doc. 95–22495 Filed 9–8–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

[OPPTS–44620; FRL–4976–1]

### TSCA Chemical Testing; Receipt of Test Data

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the receipt of test data on ethyl acetate (CAS No. 141–78–6) and diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (CAS No. 1675–54–3) (DGEBA), submitted pursuant to consent orders under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Publication of this notice is in compliance with section 4(d) of TSCA.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan B. Hazen, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–543B, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554–1404, TDD (202) 554–0551; e-mail: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 4(d) of TSCA requires EPA to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** reporting the receipt of test data submitted pursuant to test rules promulgated under section 4(a). Under 40 CFR 790.60, all results of testing conducted pursuant to a consent order must be announced to the public in accordance with section 4(d) of TSCA.

#### I. Test Data Submissions

Test data for ethyl acetate were submitted by The Chemical Manufacturers Association Oxo Process Panel pursuant to a consent order at 40 CFR 799.5050. They were received by EPA on July 13, 1995. The submission includes a final report entitled "A Ten-Day Vapor Inhalation Study in the Rat." Ethyl acetate is used as a solvent for lacquers and enamel coatings, as a solvent for inks, as a plastics solvent, and in chemical synthesis.

Test data for DGEBA were submitted by The Society of the Plastics Industry Epoxy Resin Systems DGEBA Task Force pursuant to a testing consent order at 40 CFR Part 799.5000. They were received on June 14, 1995. The submissions include a final report entitled "DGEBA: Two Week Dermal Irritation Probe Study in Fischer 344 Rats" and a final report entitled

"DGEBA: Two Week Dermal Irritation Study in Male B6C3F1 Mice." DEGEBA is used as a principal component in epoxy resins which are used for sealing and encapsulating, for making castings and pottings, for formulating light-weight foams, and as binders in laminates of fiber, glass, paper, wood sheets, and polyester cloth.

EPA has initiated its review and evaluation process for these data submissions. At this time, the Agency is unable to provide any determination as to the completeness of the submissions.

## II. Public Record

EPA has established a public record for this TSCA section 4(d) receipt of data notice (docket number OPPTS-44620). This record includes copies of all studies reported in this notice. The record is available for inspection from 12 noon to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, in the TSCA Nonconfidential Information Center (NCIC) (also known as the TSCA Public Docket Office), Rm. B-607 Northeast Mall, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 2603.

## List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Test data.

Dated: August 30, 1995.

**Charles M. Auer,**

*Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.*

[FR Doc. 95-22496 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

## FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY

### Federal Service Impasses Panel

#### Information Collection Under OMB Review

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Service Impasses Panel submits the following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

**DATES:** September 11, 1995.

The customer survey document lists the following information: (1) Identification of respondent as either an agency or union representative; (2) respondent's organizational level of representation and years of experience in Federal sector labor-management relations; (3) number of cases taken before the Panel in 1994 and 1995; (4) number of bargaining units and employees represented or serviced; (5)

time spent by an agency representative on labor-management matters; (6) respondent's experience with the Panel's regulations and views over various aspects of the regulations; (7) identification of the method(s) used to file request(s) for assistance; (8) explanation for not using the Panel's request for assistance form; (9) suggestions for improving the form; (10) views on various aspects of the Panel's letter acknowledging receipt of the request for assistance; (11) views on various aspects of the initial investigation process and on the manner in which the Panel staff representative(s) conducted the investigation(s); (12) if applicable, views on various aspects of the Panel's decision to decline to assert jurisdiction and explanation of the impact of that decision on the parties; (13) if applicable, views on various aspects of the Panel's decision to assert jurisdiction in cases where an obligation-to-bargain issue was raised; (14) views on various aspects of each of seven specified procedures with which the respondent may have had experience; (15) comments on how the procedures worked; (16) views on holding a face-to-face procedure at the Panel's office in Washington, D.C., rather than at the site of the impasse; (17) if applicable, views on various aspects of the Panel's *Decisions and Orders* and arbitration awards; (18) indication of whether the parties did something other than what the Panel ordered; (19) comments on the differences between mediation-arbitration by a Panel representative and private mediation-arbitration where the respondent has participated in both procedures; and (20) comments to the Chair. The letter accompanying the survey identifies (a) the group of individuals asked to respond to the survey (users of the Panel's services in FY 94 and 95); (b) the time estimated for completing and returning the survey (25 minutes or less and 14 days, respectively); (c) the purpose of the survey (evaluation of the Panel's services); and (d) how respondents can obtain a copy of the survey report.

Additional information or comments: Copies of the proposed survey and supporting documents may be obtained from Linda A. Lafferty, Executive Director, 607 14th Street, NW., Suite 220, Washington, D.C. 20424-0001, (202) 482-6670.

**Linda A. Lafferty,**

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 95-22500 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6727-01-M

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### First United Bancshares, Inc.; Notice of Application to Engage *de novo* in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than September 25, 1995.

**A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis** (Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63166:

1. *First United Bancshares, Inc.*, El Dorado, Arkansas; to engage *de novo* through its subsidiary, First United Trust Company, N.A., El Dorado, Arkansas, in trust company functions, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(3) of the Board's Regulation Y, and in providing portfolio investment advice, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(4)(iii) of the Board's Regulation Y.