

The Deputy Administrator adopts the opinion and recommended decision of the administrative law judge in its entirety. The Drug Enforcement Administration cannot register or maintain the registration of a practitioner who is not duly authorized to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts his business. 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f) and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See *James H. Nickens, M.D.*, 57 FR 59847 (1992); *Elliott Monroe, M.D.*, 57 FR 23246 (1992); *Bobby Watts, M.D.*, 53 FR 11919 (1988).

The administrative law judge properly granted the Government's motion for summary disposition. It is well-settled that when no question of fact is involved, or when the facts are agreed upon, a plenary, adversary administrative proceeding involving evidence and cross-examination of witnesses is not obligatory. The rationale is that Congress does not intend administrative agencies to perform meaningless tasks. *Phillip E. Kirk, M.D.*, 48 FR 32887 (1983), *aff'd* sub nom *Kirk v. Mullen*, 749 F.2d 297 (6th Cir. 1984); *Alfred Tennyson Smurthwaite, N.D.*, 43 FR 11873 (1978); see also, *NLRB v. International Association of Bridge, Structural and Ornamental Ironworkers, AFL-CIO*, 549 F.2d 634 (9th Cir. 1977); *United States v. Consolidated Mines and Smelting Co., Ltd.*, 455 F.2d 432, 453 (9th Cir. 1971).

In his exceptions to the opinion and recommended decision of the administrative law judge, the Respondent argued, *inter alia*, that actions taken by the New Mexico Board of Medical Examiners and the New Mexico Board of Pharmacy, which resulted in the revocation of his state license to handle controlled substances, were improper. However, Respondent presented no evidence to contradict the fact that he is currently without authorization to handle controlled substances in the State of New Mexico.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b), hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration, AN5283697, previously issued to Charles L. Novosad, Jr., M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked and that any pending applications for renewal of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective October 11, 1995.

Dated: September 5, 1995.

Stephen H. Greene,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-22400 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-31,345]

Adams-Millis, High Point, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on August 21, 1995 in response to a worker petition which was filed on August 9, 1995 on behalf of workers at Adams-Millis, High Point, North Carolina (a division of the Sara Lee Corporation).

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers remains in effect (TA-W-30,083, Adams-Millis, High Point, North Carolina, certified August 29, 1994, impact date of June 29, 1993 and an expiration date of August 29, 1996). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 29th day of August, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-22472 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,647]

Amerada Hess Corporation Headquartered in Houston, TX and Operating at Various Locations in the Following States; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued an Amended Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 21, 1995, applicable to all workers at the subject firm. The amended notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 31, 1995 (60 FR 16667).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations have occurred at Amerada Hess locations in New Mexico.

The Department is again amending the certification to cover these workers.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Amerada Hess adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-30,647 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Amerada Hess Corporation, headquartered in Houston, Texas (TA-W-30,647) and operating at various locations in the following cited States who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 17, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974:

TA-W-30,647A Oklahoma
TA-W-30,647B Louisiana
TA-W-30,647C North Dakota
TA-W-30,647D Texas (except Houston)
TA-W-30,647E New Mexico"

Signed at Washington, DC this 29th day of August 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-22473 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,353; TA-W-30,353A]

E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co., Inc., Du Pont Industrial Imaging Rochester, NY and Field Offices Located in Florida; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on December 10, 1994, applicable to all workers at E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co., Inc., Du Pont Industrial Imaging located in Rochester, New York. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 3, 1995 (60 FR 14).

At the request of a petitioner, the Department reviewed the certification for the subject firm. The findings show that support staff (sales, service and administrative) of the subject firm located in Florida should have been included in the certification.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Du Pont Industrial Imaging adversely affected by imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-30,353 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co., Inc., Du Pont Industrial Imaging, Rochester, New York and support staff