

Dated: August 17, 1995.

**Robert W. Schneider,**

*Associate District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 95-21221 Filed 8-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-M

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Finance Docket No. 32755]

### CSX Transportation, Inc.—Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk and Western Railway Company

Norfolk and Western Railway Company (NW) has agreed to grant overhead trackage rights to CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) as follows: from the connection track in the northwest quadrant between the tracks of CSXT and NW at or near NW's milepost D122.6 at St. Joe, IN to the southernmost connection between NW and the industrial trackage of Steel Dynamics, Inc. (SDI) in Wilmington Township, DeKalb County, IN, at or near NW's milepost D118.6, a total distance of approximately 3.5 miles. The proposed transaction will allow CSXT to provide direct rail service to SDI's mill, increase intramodal competition, and allow CSXT to provide more efficient service than would be available on a joint route arrangement. The trackage rights were scheduled to become effective on August 14, 1995.<sup>1</sup>

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction. Pleadings must be filed with the Commission and served on: John W. Humes, Jr., 500 Water St., J-150, Jacksonville, FL 32202.

As a condition to the use of this exemption, any employees adversely affected by the trackage rights will be protected under *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

Decided: August 21, 1995.

By the Commission, Joseph H. Dettmar,  
Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Vernon A. Williams,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-21259 Filed 8-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-31,111B]

#### Brazos Gas Compressing Company Meadville, PA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on August 2, 1995, applicable to all workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

New information was received from the company which shows that when reporting the location for the subject facility, the company incorrectly reported Brazos Gas's Meadville location in the State of Texas. The location is Meadville, Pennsylvania. The Department is amending the certification to identify the correct location.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-31,111B is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Brazos Gas Compressing Company, Meadville, Pennsylvania who become totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 26, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of August 1995.

**Arlene O'Connor,**

*Acting Program Manager, Policy and  
Reemployment Services, Office of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 95-21266 Filed 8-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## Bureau of Labor Statistics

### Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Producer Price Indexes, by Industry

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired

format, reporting burden is minimized, reporting forms are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is soliciting comments concerning a proposed revision of the collection, "Producer Price Indexes, by Industry." A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the address section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before October 27, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Karin G. Kurz, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 3255, 2 Massachusetts Avenue N.E., Washington, DC 20212. For further information contact Ms. Kurz on 202-606-7628 (this is not a toll free number).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The Producer Price Indexes (PPI), which is one of the nation's leading economic indicators, is used as a measure of price movements, an indicator of inflationary trends in the economy, an inventory valuation measure for some organizations, and a measure of purchasing power of the dollar at the primary market level. It is also used in market research and as a basis for escalation in long-term contracts.

##### II. Current Actions

BLS is proposing revisions to PPI disaggregation and resampling procedures, and is planning pilot projects to implement electronic collection of survey data.

*New Disaggregation Procedures.* The purpose of this proposed new method is to define a publication structure that is publishable virtually in its entirety, meets user needs, is continuous, and permits meaningful classification of current production. In order to satisfy the publishability of the entire structure, price quotes will be collected using a modified first step of disaggregation. Quotes will be spread across predetermined product categories which correspond to the publication cells for a Standard Industrial Classification (SIC). The design of the new disaggregation method provides for collection of enough quotes in the smaller publication cells so that they will be publishable. The result of the new method will be that there will be a smaller number of quotes for the larger cells and a larger number of quotes for

<sup>1</sup> CSXT is restricted to using the trackage rights to provide rail service only to SDI.

the smaller cells compared to how quotes would be spread if normal disaggregation were used.

*New Resampling Procedures.* The purpose of this proposed new process, "recycling without resampling," is to allow BLS to update the weights and composition of industry indexes without having to resample the entire industry. The process will permit BLS to accommodate changes in the current SIC structure more efficiently. Augmentation sampling of just the additional product line(s) covered by the new SIC structure, rather than resampling the entire industry, will now be operationally feasible. This capability is a major breakthrough and will enable BLS to resample volatile industries more frequently while cutting the expenses of data collection.

*Electronic Collection.* BLS is planning to conduct several pilot projects over the next few years to collect PPI data from survey respondents electronically. A range of electronic collection methods will be used including collection via facsimile, the Internet, and Electronic Data Interchange (EDI).

*Type of Review:* Revision.

*Agency:* Bureau of Labor Statistics.

*Title:* Producer Price Indexes, by Industry.

*OMB Number:* 1220-0008.

*Frequency:* One-time and monthly.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit; small businesses or organizations; and Federal Government.

*Number of Respondents:* 28,700.

*Estimated Time Per Respondent:* Initiation—2 Hours; repricing—18 minutes.

*Total Burden Hours:* 347,949 hours.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the ICR; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 22nd day of August, 1995.

**W. Stuart Rust, Jr.,**

*Acting Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics.*

[FR Doc. 95-21267 Filed 8-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-24-M

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Docket (95-077)]

### Prospective Patent License

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of prospective patent license.

**SUMMARY:** NASA hereby gives notice that Alan R. Hargens of Saratoga, California, has requested an exclusive license to practice the invention described and claimed in U.S. Patent No. 5,133,339, entitled "Exercise Method and Apparatus Utilizing Differential Air Pressure." An undivided interest in this patent is assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective grant of a license should be sent to Mr. Harry Lupuloff, Senior Patent Attorney, NASA Headquarters.

**DATE:** Responses to this Notice must be received by October 27, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Harry Lupuloff, NASA Headquarters, Code GP, Washington, DC 20546; (202) 358-2067.

Dated: August 18, 1995.

**Edward A. Frankle,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 95-21290 Filed 8-25-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414]

### Duke Power Company, et al.; Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-35 and NPF-52, issued to Duke Power Company, et al. (the licensee), for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, located in York County, South Carolina.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would change the Technical Specifications (TS) to (a) allow the maximum enrichment for fuel stored in the fuel pools to increase from a nominal value of 4.0 to 5.0 weight percent Uranium-235, (b) establish new loading patterns for new and irradiated fuel in the spent fuel pool consistent with associated burnup criteria up to a maximum value of 60 GWD/MTU to accommodate this increase, (c) add a TS to establish a limit for boron concentration for all modes of operation, (d) add BASES to correspond to the TS that were added, (e) add TS to reflect limits for fuel storage criticality analysis, and (f) reformat the

TS to bring them more in line with the standard format in the NRC report NUREG-1431, "Standard Technical Specifications Westinghouse Plants."

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendments dated September 19, 1994, as supplemented by letters dated April 26 and June 19, 1995.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed so that the licensee can use higher fuel enrichment to provide additional flexibility in the licensee's reload design efforts and to increase the efficiency of fuel storage cell use in the spent fuel pools.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revisions to the TS. The proposed revisions would permit storage of fuel enriched to a nominal 5.0 weight percent Uranium-235. The safety considerations associated with reactor operation with higher enrichment and extended irradiation have been evaluated by the NRC staff. The staff has concluded that such changes would not adversely affect plant safety. The proposed changes have no adverse effect on the probability of any accident. No changes are being made in the types or amounts of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The environmental impacts of transportation resulting from the use of higher enrichment fuel and extended irradiation were published and discussed in the staff assessment entitled, "NRC Assessment of the Environmental Effects of Transportation Resulting from Extended Fuel Enrichment and Irradiation," dated July 7, 1988, and published in the **Federal Register** (53 FR 30355) on August 11, 1988, as corrected on August 24, 1988 (53 FR 32322), in connection with Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1: Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact. As indicated therein, the environmental cost contribution of the proposed increase in the fuel enrichment and irradiation limits are either unchanged or may, in fact, be reduced from those summarized in Table S-4 as set forth in 10 CFR 51.52(c). Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.