

not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Lois D. Cashell,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20716 Filed 8-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. PR95-10-000]

**Enogex Inc.; Notice Granting Late Interventions**

August 16, 1995.

Motions to intervene in the above-captioned proceeding were due on May 19, 1995. Oklahoma Independent Petroleum Association; Premier Gas Company, A Division of Continental Drilling Company, Inc.; Twister Transmission Company; and Universal Resources Corporation each filed late motions to intervene. No party filed an answer in opposition to the respective late motions to intervene.

Each of the petitioners appears to have a legitimate interest under the law that is not adequately represented by other parties. Granting the late interventions will not cause a delay or prejudice any other party. It is in the public interest to allow each of the petitioners to appear in this proceeding. Accordingly, good cause exists for granting each of the late interventions.

Pursuant to section 375.302 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 375.202), the petitioner is permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the Commission's Rules and Regulations under the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 717-717(W). Participation of the late intervenors shall be limited to matters set forth in their respective motions to intervene. The admission of each of the late intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that the intervenor might be aggrieved by any order entered in this proceeding.

**Lois D. Cashell,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20717 Filed 8-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. RP95-88-002, RP95-112-009, and RP95-396-000]

**Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Motion Filing and Shortened Response Time**

August 16, 1995.

Take notice that on August 14, 1995, Indicated Shippers, pursuant to Rule 212 of the Commission's Rules of

Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.212, submitted an emergency motion for postponement of implementation of the production area daily variance charge contained in the FERC Gas Tariff of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) pending Commission action on, Tennessee's implementation of the July 25, 1995 stipulation and agreement filed in the captioned proceeding. Indicated Shippers requested a shortened answer period.

Indicated Shippers states that copies of the motion have been served to all parties.

Any person desiring to file answers to the motion should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with Rule 213 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All answers should be filed on or before August 24, 1995.

**Lois D. Cashell,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20718 Filed 8-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-136-000]

**Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Rescheduled Informal Settlement Conference**

August 16, 1995.

Take notice that the informal conference previously scheduled in this proceeding for Thursday, August 31, 1995, at 10:00 a.m., for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of the above-referenced docket, is rescheduled for September 7, 1995, at 10:00 a.m. The conference will be held at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 810 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 385.214).

For additional information, please contact Arnold H. Meltz at (202) 208-2161 or Donald A. Heydt at (202) 208-0740.

**Lois D. Cashell,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20719 Filed 8-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-5283-3]

**Science Advisory Board; Notification of Public Advisory Committee; Open Meeting**

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the *Ecological Processes and Effects Committee* of the Science Advisory Board will meet on September 7-8, 1995, at the Environmental Protection Agency's Washington Information Center, Conference Room 17, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. The meeting agenda includes: (1) discussion with Deputy Administrator Fred Hansen on the Agency's move toward Community-Based Environmental Protection and the role of science in that approach; (2) review of portions of the Agency's draft document, "Proposed Environmental Goals for America with Benchmarks for the Year 2005"; and (3) planning for Fiscal Year 1996. The committee will meet beginning at 8:30 a.m. each day, and ending no later than 5:00 p.m. The meeting will be open to the public, but seating will be on a first-come basis.

*Background:* The Environmental Goals Project is an EPA effort to define national environmental goals and benchmarks by which to measure progress toward achieving those goals. In 1994, a series of nine public roundtables were held in cities around the country to receive input on the nation's goals for the environment. The draft document, "Proposed Environmental Goals for America with Benchmarks for the Year 2005," summarizes the Agency's proposals for long-range goals and measurable 10-year benchmarks. Following review by other federal agencies and the SAB, the document will be distributed for public review, including a series of public roundtables in early 1996. Concurrent with final federal agency review of the document, the SAB has been asked to review the goals and benchmarks and evaluate the following: (a) are the long-range goals technically meaningful and achievable? (b) will the goals, if met, result in a healthy and economically secure populace and a healthy environment? (c) are the milestones appropriate for gauging progress toward the goals? (d) do the milestones, taken together, adequately cover the range of technical considerations for each goal? and, (e) what other milestones should be considered, and is data currently available to allow their use?