

after the vehicle has been run long enough to allow the testing of all supported modules to be completed. If Data B, Data C, and Data D again indicate that the vehicle should be rejected when it returns, the vehicle shall be failed.

(4) If Data B, Data C, and Data D indicate that the vehicle's on-board diagnostic evaluation is complete, the test system shall determine the status of the MIL illumination bit and record status information in the vehicle test record.

(i) If the malfunction indicator light bit is commanded to be illuminated and any of the codes listed at 40 CFR 85.2207(c) are present, the test system shall retrieve and record the codes in the vehicle test record. The vehicle shall fail the on-board diagnostic inspection.

(ii) If the malfunction indicator light bit is not commanded to be illuminated and any of the codes listed at 40 CFR 85.2207(c) are present, the test system shall retrieve and record the codes in the vehicle test record. The vehicle shall pass the on-board diagnostic inspection.

(iii) If the malfunction indicator light bit is commanded to be illuminated, the inspector shall inspect the MIL to determine if it is illuminated. The status of the MIL shall be recorded in the vehicle test record. If the MIL is commanded to be illuminated but is not, the vehicle shall fail the on-board diagnostic inspection.

(5) The motorist shall be provided with the on-board diagnostic test results, including the codes retrieved, the status of the MIL illumination command, and the pass or fail result.

14. A new § 85.2231 is proposed to be added to subpart W to read as follows:

§ 85.2231 On-board diagnostic test equipment requirements.

(a) The test system interface to the vehicle shall include a plug that conforms to SAE J1962 "Diagnostic Connector."

(b) The test system shall meet all vehicle electrical/electronic compatibility requirements for "OBD II Scan Tools" as specified in SAE J1978 and J2201, including the length of the electrical cable between the vehicle and the test system.

(c) The test system shall be capable of performing all communication functions as specified in SAE J1978, J1979, and J2205. Specifically, the system shall be capable of checking for the systems supported by the on-board diagnostic system and the evaluation status of supported systems (test complete/test not complete) in Mode \$01 PID \$01, as well as be able to request the codes, as specified in SAE J1979. In addition, the

system shall have the capability to include bi-directional communication, when such features are available, and allow for non-intrusive pressure and purge checks. Copies of all of the SAE documents cited above may be obtained from the Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., 400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA 15096-0001.

(d) The test system shall automatically make a pass, fail, or reject decision, as specified in the test procedure in 40 CFR 85.2223(a).

[FR Doc. 95-20539 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[PA62-1-7023b; FRL-5272-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Allegheny County: USX Clairton Works

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve a State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. This revision requires the availability and maintenance of certain air pollution control equipment at the USX Corporation's Clairton Works in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. **DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by September 18, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director, Air Programs, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are

available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; and, Allegheny County Health Department, Bureau of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality, 301 39th Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David J. Campbell, Technical Assessment Section (3AT22), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107, phone: 215 597-9781.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title, "Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Allegheny County: USX Clairton Works", which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur Oxides.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 25, 1995.

W. Michael McCabe,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 95-20485 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[IN48-1-6761b; FRL-5279-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The USEPA proposes to approve the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Indiana for its Federally Enforceable State Operating Permits (FESOP) regulation and an Enhanced New Source Review (NSR) regulation. The USEPA made a finding of completeness in a letter dated November 25, 1994. The USEPA proposes to approve Indiana's FESOP regulation as an acceptable mechanism for establishing federally enforceable State operating permits for the purpose of creating