

Dated: August 14, 1995.

Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

40 CFR part 52 is proposed to be amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Subpart T—Louisiana

2. Section 52.992 is proposed to be amended by adding paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 52.992 Area-wide nitrogen oxides (NO_x) exemptions.

* * * * *

(b) The LDEQ submitted to the EPA on November 17, 1994, a petition requesting that the Baton Rouge ozone nonattainment area be exempted from the NO_x control requirements of section 182(f) of the CAA. In addition, supplemental information was submitted to the EPA by the LDEQ on January 26, 1995, June 6, 1995, and June 16, 1995. The Baton Rouge nonattainment area consists of East Baton Rouge, West Baton Rouge, Point Coupee, Livingston, Iberville, and Ascension parishes. The exemption request was based on photochemical grid modeling which shows that reductions in NO_x would not contribute to attainment in the nonattainment area. On (insert date 60 days after date of final approval), the EPA approved the State's request for an areawide exemption from the following requirements: NO_x new source review, NO_x reasonable available control technology, NO_x general conformity, NO_x inspection and maintenance requirements.

[FR Doc. 95-20526 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[LA-24-1-7026b; FRL-5277-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; State of Louisiana; Approval of the Maintenance Plans for the Parishes of Beauregard, Grant, Lafayette, Lafourche, and St. Mary; Redesignation of these Ozone Nonattainment Areas to Attainment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: On March 27, 1995, December 12, 1994, October 21, 1994, November 18, 1994, and November 23, 1994, the

State of Louisiana submitted revised maintenance plans and requests to redesignate the ozone nonattainment areas of Beauregard, Grant, Lafayette, Lafourche, and St. Mary Parishes to attainment. These maintenance plans and redesignation requests were initially submitted to the EPA during the Summer of 1993. Although the EPA deemed these initial submittals complete, certain approvability issues existed. The State of Louisiana addressed these approvability issues and has revised its submissions. Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), nonattainment areas may be redesignated to attainment if sufficient data are available to warrant the redesignation and the area meets the other CAA redesignation requirements. In this action, EPA is proposing to approve Louisiana's redesignation requests because they meet the maintenance plan and redesignation requirements set forth in the CAA and EPA is proposing to approve the 1990 base year emissions inventory. The approved maintenance plans will become a federally enforceable part of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Louisiana.

In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving these redesignation requests in a direct final rulemaking without prior proposal because the EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by September 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section (6T-AP), U.S. EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733. Copies of the State's petition and other information relevant to this action are available for inspection during normal hours at the following locations:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Programs Branch (6T-A), 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality, P.O. Box 82135, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884-2135.

Anyone wishing to review this petition at the EPA office is asked to contact the person below to schedule an appointment 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mick Cote, Planning Section (6T-AP), EPA Region 6, telephone (214) 665-7219.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Area designations, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental regulations, National parks, Reporting and recordkeeping, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds, Wilderness areas.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 21, 1995.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator (6A).

[FR Doc. 95-20190 Filed 8-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[TN 141-1-6986b; FRL-5277-8]

Clean Air Act Approval and Promulgation of Redesignation of the Rossville Area of Fayette County, Tennessee, to Attainment for Lead

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Tennessee for the purpose of redesignating the Fayette County area to attainment for lead. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule