Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR part 900).

The purpose of the hearing is to receive evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order.

Actions under the Federal milk order program are subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–354). This Act seeks to ensure that, within the statutory authority of a program, the regulatory and informational requirements are tailored to the size and nature of small businesses. For the purpose of the Act, a dairy farm is a ''small business'' if it has an annual gross revenue of less than $500,000, and a dairy products manufacturer is a ''small business'' if it has fewer than 500 employees. Most parties subject to a milk order are considered as a small business. Accordingly, interested parties are invited to present evidence on the probable regulatory and informational impact of the hearing proposals on small businesses. Also, parties may suggest modifications of these proposals for the purpose of tailoring their applicability to small businesses.

The amendments to the rules proposed herein have been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform. They are not intended to have a retroactive effect. If adopted, the proposed amendments would not preempt any state or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 8c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with the law and requesting a modification of an order or to be exempted from the order. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After a hearing, the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has its principal place of business, has jurisdiction in equity to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided a bill in equity is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

Interested parties who wish to introduce exhibits should provide the Presiding Officer at the hearing with 4 copies of such exhibits for the Official Record. Also, it would be helpful if additional copies are available for the use of other participants at the hearing.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1007

Milk marketing orders.

The authority citation for 7 CFR part 1007 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

The proposed amendments, as set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Proposed by Mid-America Dairymen, Inc.

Proposal No. 1: In § 1007.2, amend Zone 11 by adding the words ''(north of State Highway 16)'' after the word ''Tangipahoa'' and amend Zone 12 by adding the words ''Tangipahoa (south of State Highway 16)''. This amendment would increase the Class I price and the uniform price by 7 cents for milk delivered to a plant located in Hammond, Louisiana.

Proposed by Barber Pure Milk Company, Birmingham, Alabama, and Dairy Fresh Corporation, Greensboro, Alabama

Proposal No. 2: In § 1007.2, amend Zone 11 by removing the words ''(more than 20 miles from the Mobile city hall)'' and amend Zone 12 by removing the words ''Alabama counties: Mobile (within 20 miles of the Mobile city hall)''. This amendment would decrease the Class I price and the uniform price by 7 cents for milk delivered to plants located within 20 miles of Mobile, Alabama.

Proposed by the Dairy Division, Agricultural Marketing Service

Proposal No. 3: Make such changes as may be necessary to make the order conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the order regulating the Southeast marketing area may be procured from the Market Administrator, P.O. Box 1208, Norcross, GA 30091–1208 (Tel: 404/448–1194), or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 1083, South Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, or may be inspected there.

Copies of the transcript of testimony taken at the hearing will not be available for distribution through the Hearing Clerk's Office. If you wish to purchase a copy, arrangements may be made with the reporter at the hearing.

From the time that a hearing notice is issued and until the issuance of a final decision in a proceeding, Department employees involved in the decision-making process are prohibited from discussing the merits of the hearing issues on an ex parte basis with any person having an interest in the proceeding. For this particular proceeding, the prohibition applies to employees in the following organizational units: Office of the Secretary of Agriculture; Office of the Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service; Office of the General Counsel; Dairy Division, Agricultural Marketing Service (Washington office) and the Office of the Market Administrator, Southeast Federal Milk Order. Procedural matters are not subject to the above prohibition and may be discussed at any time.

Dated: August 11, 1995.

Lon Hatamiya,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 95–20351 Filed 8–16–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

Food Safety and Inspection Service

9 CFR Parts 308, 310, 318, 320, 325, 326, 327, and 381

[Docket No. 95–036N]

Pathogen Reduction; Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) Systems—Federal-State Relations Conference

AGENCY: Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is holding a meeting, ''Federal-State Relations Conference,'' on August 21–23, 1995, with State government leaders responsible for food safety. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the proposed rule, ''Pathogen Reduction; Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) Systems,'' and other issues relevant to Federal and State government relations.

DATES: The conference will begin at noon on August 21 and at 8:30 AM on August 22 and 23. The conference will end at 4:30 PM on August 21 and 22, and at 5 PM on August 23.

ADDRESSES: The conference will be held at the Doubletree Park Terrace Hotel, 1515 Rhode Island Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20250, (202) 232–7000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Dan Vitiello, Director, Planning Coordination and Analysis, Policy Evaluation and Planning Staff, FSIS, USDA, Room 6904, Franklin Court, Washington, DC 20250, (202) 501–7138. If you plan to attend, please contact Ms. Lisa Parks at (202) 501–7138.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The ''Federal-State Relations Conference'' will be held August 21–23, 1995, at the Doubletree Park Terrace Hotel, 1515 Rhode Island Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20250, (202) 232–7000. The conference will begin at noon on August 21 and at 8:30 AM on August 22 and 23. The conference will end at 4:30 PM on August 21 and 22, and at 5:00 PM on August 23.

The purpose of this conference is to provide an opportunity for representatives from State governments to engage in an open and frank dialogue with senior USDA officials. The following agenda items will be discussed:

August 21—12:00 PM–4:30 PM Issues raised during the

August 22—8:30 AM–4:30 PM comment period on the ''Pathogen Reduction; Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) Systems'' (60 FR 6674, February 3, 1995), and potential solutions.

August 23—8:30 AM–10:00 AM FSIS Top-to-Bottom Review activities.

August 23—10:30 AM–12:30 PM FSIS regulatory reform plans.

August 23—1:30 PM–5:00 PM Future relations between FSIS and the States.

A transcript of the proceedings will be made and included in the public record.

Representatives from State governments will be invited to the meeting. The meeting is also open to the public. Those wishing to attend the meeting should contact Ms. Lisa Parks at (202) 501–7138. Also, contact Ms. Parks if you require a sign language interpreter or other special accommodations.

Done at Washington, DC, on August 11, 1995.

Michael R. Taylor,

Acting Under Secretary for Food Safety.

[FR Doc. 95–20428 Filed 8–16–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

13 CFR Part 116

Policies of General Application

AGENCY: Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule would raise from $500,000 to $1.5 million the ceiling for SBA loan assistance that SBA officials with properly delegated authority may determine on a case-by-case basis is not subject to the full review and decision-making process to determine adverse effects or incompatible development on wetlands or in a floodplain required by Executive Orders 11988 (42 FR 26951) and 11990 (42 FR 26961).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 18, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be sent to Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street S.W., Suite 6050, Washington, D.C. 20416.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bernard Kulik, Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance. Telephone (202) 205–6734.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Part 116 of chapter 1, 13 CFR contains policies of general application for specified SBA programs. Subpart D thereof prescribes the policies and procedures for implementing Executive Orders 11988 (42 FR 26951) and 11990 (42 FR 26961), which relate to floodplain management and the protection of wetlands. Section 116.32(a)(6) provides that full implementation of the Executive Orders may be waived on a case-by-case basis in instances of actions that typically do not create adverse effects or incompatible development on wetlands and floodplains. All SBA loan assistance of $500,000 or less is included among these types of actions. Applicants for these loans are relieved of the need to supply SBA with the necessary information and studies for the implementation of the prescribed decision-making process, reducing the cost and the time required to process such loans. This $500,000 loan limit reflects the SBA disaster loan ceiling for any one disaster as it existed prior to April 1, 1993, and the ceiling on SBA business loan assistance as it existed prior to 1988.

This proposed rule would increase the ceiling for SBA loan assistance that may be exempt from review from $500,000 to $1.5 million. This higher amount simply reflects the SBA disaster loan ceiling for any one disaster commencing on or after April 1, 1993 established by P.L. 103–75 (107 Stat. 740), and would also cover the ceiling on SBA business loan assistance and development company assistance.

Compliance With Executive Orders 12612, 12866, and 12778, and the Regulatory Flexibility and Paperwork Reduction Acts

SBA certifies that this rule does not have federalism implications warranting the preparation of a Federalism Assessment in accordance with Executive Order 12612.

For purposes of Executive Order 12866, SBA has determined that the proposed rule would not be a major rule. SBA certifies that the economic impact on the national economy would not exceed $100 million and that the proposed rule would not adversely affect in a material way the economy or the environment.

SBA certifies that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for purposes of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*

There are no additional reporting or recordkeeping or other compliance requirements inherent in this proposed rule which would be subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35. There are no Federal rules which duplicate, overlap or conflict with this proposed rule. There are no alternative means to accomplish the objectives of this proposed rule.

SBA certifies that this proposed rule is drafted, to the extent practicable, in accordance with the standards set forth in E.O. 12778.

List of Subjects in 13 CFR Part 116

Flood Insurance, Flood plains, Lead poisoning, Small businesses, Veterans, Coastal barrier system.

For the reasons set forth above, SBA proposes to amend Part 116 of Title 13 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

Subpart D—Floodplain Management and Wetlands Protection

1. The authority citation for subpart D continues to read as follows:

Authority: Small Business Act, Pub. L. 85–536 (15 U.S.C. 631); Small Business Investment Act of 1958, Pub. L. 85–699 (15 U.S.C. 661); EO 11988, 42 FR 26951 and EO 11990, 42 FR 26961.

§ 116.32 [Amended]

2. Section 116.32 (a)(6) is amended by removing ''$500,000 or less'' and inserting in place thereof ''$1,500,000 or less''.

Philip Lader,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95–20432 Filed 8–16–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P