

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 60, No. 155

Friday, August 11, 1995

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

7 CFR Part 301

[Docket No. 94-117-3]

Oriental Fruit Fly; Removal of Quarantined Area

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Affirmation of interim rule as final rule.

SUMMARY: We are adopting as a final rule, without change, an interim rule that amended the Oriental fruit fly regulations by removing the quarantine on a portion of Los Angeles County, CA, and by removing the restrictions on the interstate movement of regulated articles from that area. The interim rule was necessary to relieve restrictions that are no longer needed to prevent the artificial spread of the Oriental fruit fly into noninfested areas of the United States. We have determined that the Oriental fruit fly has been eradicated from this portion of Los Angeles County and that the quarantine and restrictions are no longer necessary.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 11, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Michael B. Stefan, Operations Officer, Domestic and Emergency Operations, PPQ, APHIS, Suite 4C03, 4700 River Road Unit 134, Riverdale, MD 20737-1236, (301) 734-8247.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In an interim rule effective on April 7, 1995, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 1995 (60 FR 18727-18728, Docket No. 94-117-2), we amended the Oriental fruit fly regulations in 7 CFR 301.93-3 by removing the quarantine on a portion of

Los Angeles County, CA, and by removing the restrictions on interstate movement of regulated articles from that area.

Comments on the interim rule were required to be received on or before June 12, 1995. We did not receive any comments. The facts presented in the interim rule still provide a basis for the rule.

This action also affirms the information contained in the interim rule concerning Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act, Executive Orders 12372 and 12778, and the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Further, for this action, the Office of Management and Budget has waived the review process required by Executive Order 12866.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 301

Agricultural commodities, Plant diseases and pests, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

Accordingly, we are adopting as a final rule, without change, the interim rule that amended 7 CFR 301.93-3(c) and that was published at 60 FR 18727-18728 on April 13, 1995.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 150bb, 150dd, 150ee, 150ff, 161, 162, and 164-167; 7 CFR 2.17, 2.51, and 371.2(c).

Done in Washington, DC, this 3rd day of August 1995.

Bobby R. Acord,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 95-19856 Filed 8-10-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-104-AD; Amendment 39-9262; AD 95-12-12]

Airworthiness Directives; de Havilland Model DHC-8-102, -103, and -106, Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a typographical error that appeared in airworthiness directive (AD) 95-12-12 that was published in the **Federal Register** on June 13, 1995 (60 FR 31063). The typographical error resulted in a reference to a part number that does not exist. This AD is applicable to certain de Havilland Models DHC-8-102, -103, and -106 series airplanes and requires repetitive operational testing of the stall warning computers.

DATES: Effective June 28, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations was previously approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of June 28, 1995 (60 FR 31063, June 13, 1995).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter Cuneo, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANE-172, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581, telephone (516) 256-7506; fax (516) 568-2716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Airworthiness Directive (AD) 95-12-12, amendment 39-9262, applicable to certain de Havilland Model DHC-8-102, -103, and -106 series airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** on June 13, 1995 (60 FR 31063). That AD requires repetitive operational testing of the stall warning computers and replacement of non-operational stall warning computers with new or serviceable units. That AD also provides an optional terminating action for the repetitive operational tests.

As published, that AD contained a typographical error in paragraph (b), which describes the optional terminating action. That paragraph stated that replacement of stall warning computers having part number (P/N) "3605-5, -6, or -7" with new stall warning computers having P/N 3605-8 would constitute terminating action. However, "P/N 3605-7" was inadvertently indicated in that paragraph instead of the correct part number of "P/N 3605-4." (In fact, P/N 3605-7 does not exist.) In all other parts of the published AD and its preamble, these part numbers were correctly cited as "P/N 3605-4, -5, and -6."

Since no other part of the regulatory information has been changed, the final rule is not being republished.