751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 28, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–19257 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–588–836]

Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Polyvinyl Alcohol From Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Grebasch, Dorothy Tomaszewski or Erik Warga, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–3773, (202) 482–0631, or (202) 482–0922, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA).

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On July 21, 1995, petitioner, Air Products and Chemicals Inc., made a timely request that the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') postpone until October 2, 1995, its preliminary determination in this proceeding. Accordingly, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (''the Act''), we have done so.

This notice is published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act.

Dated: August 1, 1995.

Barbara R. Stafford.

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Investigations, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–19261 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–570–001]

Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On February 15, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 8629) the notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China. This review has now been terminated as a result of the withdrawal by the petitioner of its request for the review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4474.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 27, 1995, Carus Chemical Company (Carus) requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China for the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a)(1)(1994). On February 15, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (60 FR 8629) the notice of initiation of that administrative review.

Carus timely withdrew its request for a review on May 16, 1995, pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5). As a result, the Department has terminated the review.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5).

Dated: July 28, 1995.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95–19270 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

[A–570–804]

Sparklers From the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by the petitioners, the Elkton Sparkler Company and the Diamond Sparkler Company, the Department of Commerce (the Department) has conducted an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The review was requested for one manufacturer, Guangxi Native Produce Import and Export Corporation, Beihai Fireworks and Firecrackers Branch (Guangxi). The review covers the period June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994.

As a result of this review, we have preliminarily determined to assess an antidumping duty of 93.54 percent on the merchandise subject to the review. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results of the review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Blaskovich or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5831/4114.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 18, 1991, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the PRC (56 FR 27946). On June 7, 1994, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying interested parties of the opportunity to request an administrative review of sparklers from the PRC (58 FR 31941). On June 23, 1994, the petitioners requested, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a), that we conduct an administrative review of exports to the United States by Guangxi, for the period June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994. We published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review on July 15, 1994 (58 FR 39007).

The initiation notice indicated that the review would cover Guangxi and would cover conditionally all other exporters of this merchandise. The Department is now conducting a review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this administrative review are sparklers from the PRC. Sparklers are fireworks, each comprising a cut-to-length wire, one end of which is coated with a chemical mix that emits bright sparks while burning. Sparklers are currently classifiable

under the Harmonized Tariff System (HTS) subheading 3604.10.00. The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of this proceeding.

Best Information Available

On July 20, 1994, we mailed Guangxi a questionnaire explaining the review procedures. In addition, a short questionnaire was sent to Guangxi, the Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region People's Government, the Embassy of the People's Republic of China, the Guangxi Foreign Economic Relations and Trade Commission and the Guangxi People's Government-Beijing Office. This questionnaire sought to ascertain whether Guangxi shall be entitled to a separate rate by demonstrating both *de jure* and *de facto* absence of central government control with respect to exports.

In addition, the questionnaire states:

> [b]ecause we consider the PRC to be a non-market economy for the purposes of this review, we will presume that each company that exported the subject merchandise during the period of review (POR) is owned or controlled by the PRC government until evidence is placed on the record that demonstrates otherwise. Absent evidence to the contrary, we will consider a single antidumping duty rate to be appropriate for all exporters. However, if a company can demonstrate an absence of central government control with respect to pricing exports, both in law and in fact, it will be entitled to a rate separate from the rate for other PRC firms.

The questionnaires, which covered exports to the United States for the period of review (POR), were due on August 23, 1994. We did not receive a response from any party by the due date.

Furthermore, we had previously asked Skypak International Express (TNT) to trace the mailing and verify Guangxi's receipt of the document. On August 3, 1994, TNT's delivery office in Hong Kong confirmed that the questionnaire was accepted by a representative of Guangxi on August 2, 1994. Because we received no response and have not been contacted by Guangxi or any other respondent, we preliminarily determine that Guangxi is no longer entitled to a separate rate, as absence of central government control with regard to exports was not demonstrated. Therefore, in accordance with section 776(c) of the Act, we are using the best information available (BIA) as the basis for determining a dumping margin for all entries into the United States of the subject merchandise during the POR.

In determining what to use as BIA, the Department follows a two-tiered methodology whereby the Department normally assigns lower margins to those respondents who cooperate in a review, and margins based on more adverse assumptions for those respondents who do not cooperate in a review.

In accordance with our BIA methodology for uncooperative respondents, we assign as BIA the higher of: (1) the highest of the rates found for any firm for the same class or kind of merchandise in the same country of origin in the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation or prior administrative reviews; or (2) the highest rate found in this review for any firm for the same class or kind of merchandise in the same country of origin (*see Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review: Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France; et. al.* (57 FR 28379, June 24, 1992)).

This methodology has been upheld by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (*see Allied-Signal Aerospace Co.* v. *the United States, 996 F.2nd 1185* (CAFC 1993); *see also Krupp Stahl Ag. et. al.* v. *the United States, 822 F. Supp. 789 (CIT 1993)). Given that Guangxi did not respond to the Department's questionnaires, we find that Guangxi has not cooperated in this review.*

In accordance with our methodology we have used as BIA the highest rate established in the remand of the LTFV final determination (58 FR 53708, July 29, 1993), the PRC country-wide rate of 93.54 percent.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of our review, we preliminarily determine the dumping margin to be the following:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
PRC country-wide rate	6/1/93–5/31/94	93.54

Interested parties to this proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days of publication of this notice and may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments not later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication, or the first workday thereafter. The Department will publish a notice of the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any briefs or comments.

Upon completion of this review, the Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of sparklers from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Guangxi will be the PRC country-wide rate as stated above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies that received separate rates not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC country-wide rate of 93.54 percent, the rate established on remand of the LTFV final determination; and (4) the cash deposit rate for any non-PRC exporter will be the rate established for that firm; if a non-PRC exporter does not have its own separate rate, the deposit rate for that firm's shipments will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. In all cases, the rate applicable to a firm normally should change only as a result of a review of that firm, except in instances of change of ownership.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated July 28, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–19260 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[C–351–406]

Certain Agricultural Tillage Tools From Brazil; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

July 28, 1995.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil. We preliminarily determine the net subsidy to be zero for all companies for the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results; the Department intends to instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as indicated above. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Albright and Kelly Parkhill, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 22, 1985, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (50 FR 42743) the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil. On October 7, 1994, the Department published a notice of ''Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review'' (59 FR 51166) of this countervailing duty order. We received a timely request for review from Marchesan Implementos Argicolas, S.A. a Brazilian producer of the subject merchandise and a respondent, and Agritech Trading Company, an importer of the subject merchandise.

We initiated the review, covering the period January 1, 1993 to December 31, 1993, on November 14, 1994 (59 FR 56459). The review covers four manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise and four programs.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise subject to this review (hereinafter ''subject merchandise'') is certain round shaped agricultural tillage tools (discs) with plain or notched edges, such as colters and furrow-opener blades. The products covered in this review are currently classifiable under the following item numbers of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS): 8432.21.00, 8432.29.00, 8432.80.00 and 8432.90.00. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purpose. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Programs

Programs Preliminarily Found Not to Be Used

We examined the following programs and preliminarily determine that the respondents did not use them during the review period:

A. Preferential Financing under FINEP.

B. Preferential Financing for Industrial Enterprises by the Banco de Brasil (FST and EGF loans).

C. Accelerated Depreciation for Brazilian-made Capital Goods.

D. Preferential Financing under PROEX (Formerly under Resolution 68 and 509 through FINEX).

Preliminary Results of Review

For the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993, we preliminarily determine the net subsidy to be zero for all companies. If the final results of this review remain the same as these preliminary results, the Department intends to instruct the U. S. Customs Service to assess the following countervailing duties:

Manufacturer/exporter	Rate
All companies	Zero.

The Department also intends to instruct the U. S. Customs Service to collect zero cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties on all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of Publication of the final results of this review.

Parties to the proceeding may request a hearing not later than 10 days after the date of publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit written arguments in case briefs on these preliminary results within 30 days of the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, may be submitted seven days after the time limit for filing the case brief. Parties who submit written arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Any hearing, if requested, will be held seven days after the scheduled date for submission of rebuttal briefs. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 355.38(e).

Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in no event later than the date the case briefs are due under section 355.38(c). The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 355.22.

Dated: July 28, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–19259 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[C–559–802]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof (AFBs) From Singapore; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting two administrative reviews of the