E, shall be deemed to have waived their rights.

Elizabeth Sherwood,

Land Law Examiner, Branch of Northern Adjudication.

[FR Doc. 95–19210 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–JA–P

[NV-030-5700-10; Closure Notice No. NV-030-95-05]

Temporary Closure of Public Lands; Washoe County, Nevada

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Nevada.

SUMMARY: The Carson City District Manager announces the temporary closure of selected public lands under his administration. This action is being taken to provide for public safety during the 1995 Reno National Championship Air Races.

EFFECTIVE DATES: September 11 through September 17, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James M. Phillips, Lahontan Resource Area Manager, Carson City District Office, 1535 Hot Springs Road, Carson City, Nevada 89706–0638. Telephone (702) 855–6100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This closure applies to all the public, on foot or in vehicles. The public lands affected by this closure are described as follows:

Mt. Diablo Meridian

T.21 N..R. 19 F

Sec. 8, N½NE¼, SE¼NE¼ and E½SE¼ Sec. 16, N½ and SW¼

Aggregating approximately 680 acres.

The above restrictions do not apply to emergency or law enforcement personnel or event officials. The authority for this closure is 43 CFR 8364.1. Persons who violate this closure order are subject to arrest and, upon conviction, may be fined not more than \$1,000 and/or imprisoned for not more than 12 months.

A map of the closed area is posted in the Carson City District Office of Bureau of Land Management.

Dated: July 25, 1995.

James M. Phillips,

Area Manager, Lahontan Resource Area. [FR Doc. 95–19229 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–HC-M

[WY-030-05-1310-01]

Notice of Availability of Greater Wamsutter Area II Natural Gas Project Final Environmental Impact Statement

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the

availability of the Greater Wamsutter Area II (GWA II) Natural Gas Project Final Environmental Impact Statement analyzing the environmental consequences of a proposed natural gas exploration, development, and production operation in the Wamsutter Area II of southwestern Carbon and southeastern Sweetwater Counties, Wyoming. The project area encompasses approximately 334,919 acres within portions of Townships 16 through 22 North, Ranges 92 through 95 West. **DATES:** Comments on the Final Environmental Impact Statement will be accepted for 30 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publishes their Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**. The EPA notice is expected to be published on August 4, 1995. There are no plans to hold a public meeting on the Greater Wamsutter Area II Natural Gas Project. Reviewers are encouraged to visit the local BLM offices in Cheyenne and Rawlins to talk with the managers about any concerns.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the Final Environmental Impact Statement should be sent to Mr. John Spehar, Great Divide Resource Area, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 880, Rawlins, Wyoming 82301.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John Spehar, Great Divide Resource Area, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 880, Rawlins, Wyoming 82301, phone 307–324–4841.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Final Environmental Impact Statement analyzes three project development alternatives and a no action alternative. The proposed project provides a maximum development of 750 wells and 300 locations within the GWA II analysis area in addition to existing operations.

The proposed project would affect 2,416 acres, bringing the total disturbance area within the GWA II area to 14,943 acres of land. The proposed project entails drilling, completion testing, production, abandonment, and reclamation of natural gas operations by Union Pacific Resources Company, Amoco Production Company, and others. Impacts to most resources would be negligible to moderate during the life of the project. The proposed project would have beneficial impacts associated with increased revenues generated by taxes, royalties, and the use of local goods and services. This Final Environmental Impact Statement is in compliance with Section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (as amended) and includes a Biological Assessment for the purpose of identifying any

endangered or threatened species which are likely to be affected by the proposed action

Dated: July 24, 1995.

Alan Kesterke,

Acting State Director.

[FR Doc. 95–19211 Filed 8–3–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–22–P

National Park Service

Notice of Availability of the Final General Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement for Fort Clatsop National Memorial, Oregon

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91–190, as amended), the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has prepared a Final General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/ FEIS) that describes and analyzes a proposal and three alternatives to meet immediate and long-term needs at Fort Clatsop National Memorial; these alternatives address visitor use and the preservation of the natural and cultural resources that provide the environment in which the Lewis and Clark story is presented to the public.

A Notice of Availability of the Draft General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/ DEIS) was published in the Federal Register on 3 November 1993 (58 FR 211), with the public comment period to close on 7 January 1994; responding to a request that additional time be provided for review and comment, the review period was extended until 7 February 1994 through notices in the local media and the 5 January 1994 issue of the Federal Register (59 FR 3). During this comment period, three public meetings were held and written comments were also received. The GMP/FEIS contains responses to the comments received and modifications to the document as needed in response to the comments.

The proposal, which was developed in response to public and agency comments on the GMP/DEIS, calls for: (1) Establishment of a trail between the Fort and the Pacific Ocean; (2) addition of approximately 963 acres to the Memorial's boundary; (3) coordination of interpretive activities with other public and private entities throughout the lower Columbia River region; and (4) increasing the Memorial's staffing levels.

Three alternatives were considered, in addition to the proposal. The No-Action Alternative would maintain the existing