

Howard Street, Petoskey, Michigan 49770.

Dated at Rockville, Md., this 26th day of July 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

**John N. Hannon,**

*Director, Project Directorate III-1, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-18807 Filed 7-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

### **Intent To Remove the United Technologies Pratt & Whitney Middletown, Conn. Site from the NRC Site Decommissioning Management Plan**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to remove the United Technologies Pratt & Whitney Middletown, Connecticut site from the NRC Site Decommissioning Management Plan.

**SUMMARY:** This is a notice to inform the public that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission is considering removing the United Technologies Pratt & Whitney Middletown, Connecticut site from the NRC Site Decommissioning Management Plan (SDMP). The NRC expects to determine that remediation of residual radioactive contamination in a building on the site has successfully been completed and the facility meets the current NRC criteria for release for unrestricted use.

**DATES:** The NRC hereby provides notice of an opportunity to comment on the proposed NRC action. Comments must be submitted within thirty (30) days of the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to USNRC, Region I, Attn: Mark Roberts, Senior Health Physicist, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406. Hand deliver comments to 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406 between 7:45 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. on Federal workdays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Roberts, Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards, USNRC, Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406, Telephone: (610) 337-5094.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United Technologies Pratt & Whitney site in Middletown, Connecticut was identified in 1992 by the NRC as a site where residual radioactive contamination might be present above NRC criteria as a result of past operations. Radioactive contamination was identified by Pratt & Whitney in

one of the buildings on the site. In order to ensure that remediation of the building was accomplished in a timely manner, the NRC added this site to its SDMP. Pratt & Whitney has remediated residual contamination in the building, performed radiological surveys in that building and other buildings where radioactive materials may have been used, and requested by letter dated April 27, 1995, that the NRC remove the Middletown, Connecticut site from the SDMP. The request before the NRC at this time is to concur with the view of Pratt & Whitney that the site meets the current criteria for release for unrestricted use and thus can be released for unrestricted use and removed from the SDMP.

The staff of the NRC's Region I Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards has reviewed and approved various remediation activities since 1992. The staff has also reviewed various records of past activities at the site and the radiological surveys performed by Pratt & Whitney's contractor and conducted confirmatory radiological measurements at the site. The NRC staff has not yet completed all of these reviews, but, based on information available at this time, expects to determine that the facility meets the requirements for release for unrestricted use and to remove the site from the SDMP in 1995.

For further details with respect to this action, documents are available for inspection at the NRC's Region I offices located at 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406. Persons desiring to review documents at the Region I Office should call Ms. Cheryl Buracker at (610) 337-5093 several days in advance to assure that the documents will be readily available for review.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 26th day of July, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Michael F. Weber,**

*Chief, Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 95-18809 Filed 7-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### **Review of Revised NRC Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) Program**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is reviewing its Systematic Assessment of Licensee

Performance (SALP) program that was last revised on May 19, 1993. Public comments are requested on the revised program and its implementation. The NRC is soliciting comments from interested public interest groups, the regulated industry, States, and concerned citizens. Comments received will be used in the NRC's review of the SALP program.

**DATES:** The comment period expires August 31, 1995. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to: Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publication Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: T-6D-59, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Hand deliver comments to: 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:45 am and 4:15 pm on Federal workdays. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David L. Gamberoni, Mail Stop: O-12E-4, Inspection Program Branch, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Telephone (301) 415-1144.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) has begun a review of the implementation of the SALP program. The SALP program was revised on May 19, 1993, to improve the focus on significant performance issues, communication with licensees, and licensees' and the public's understanding of SALP results. Specific program changes included reducing the number of functional areas from seven to four, changing the board membership to Senior Executive Service (SES) members, shortening the SALP report, eliminating the draft initial report, changing the nature of the SALP meeting with the licensee from a presentation to more of a discussion, and focusing on the last six months of performance. Implementation of the revised program began for assessment periods ending after July 19, 1993.

This review will attempt to determine if the revisions to the SALP program have been effective in focusing the SALP reports on significant performance issues and have resulted in