

Agreement (Stipulation) in the above referenced docket and the Commission's May 5, 1995 order approving the Stipulation.

Kern River stated that on June 23, 1995, a total refund of \$662,500.00 was sent to the Kern River's firm transportation customers. Kern River apportioned the refund amounts based upon the actual contract demands in effect for each shipper for each month during the period March 1, 1993 through December 31, 1994. The report identifies each firm shipper, its aggregate contract demand during the referenced period, its resulting allocation percentage, and the refund amount.

Any person desiring to protect said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). All such protests should be filed on or before August 2, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of the filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-18783 Filed 7-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-628-000]

**Koch Gateway Pipeline Company;
Request Under Blanket Authorization**

July 26, 1995.

Take notice that on July 19, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478 filed in Docket No. CP95-628-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to seek certificate authority to operate an existing delivery tap as a jurisdictional facility, under Koch Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway requests authorization to place into jurisdictional service a two-inch tap constructed under Section 311(a) of the NGPA and § 284.3(c) of the Commission's Regulations. Koch

installed a delivery tap to serve the Washington Parish Utilities (Washington Parish), a local distribution company, from Koch Gateway's Bogalusa 10-inch line, designated as Index 301-4, Washington Parish, Louisiana. Washington Parish reimbursed Koch Gateway approximately \$11,000 for the installation of the facilities. Certification of the facilities will provide Washington Parish with the additional flexibility of being able to use these facilities as a delivery point on Washington Parish's blanket transportation agreements with Koch Gateway.

Koch Gateway proposes to provide Section 311 transportation service to Washington Parish pursuant to § 284(B) of the Commission's Regulations. Once these facilities are certificated Koch Gateway will also provide jurisdictional transportation services pursuant to Koch Gateway's NNS rate schedule and its blanket transportation certificate issued in Docket No. CP88-6-000. Washington Parish estimates that its average daily requirements at this point are 5 MMBtu. The volume delivered to this new point under the firm agreement will be within the certificated entitlement of that existing service.

Koch Gateway further states it will operate the proposed facilities in compliance with 18 CFR Part 157, Subpart F, and that it has sufficient capacity to render the proposed service without detriment or disadvantage to its other existing customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-18779 Filed 7-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. OR95-7-000]

**Longhorn Partners Pipeline; Notice of
Petition for Declaratory Order**

July 26, 1995.

Take notice that on June 30, 1995, AXIS Gas Corporation (AXIS), pursuant to Rule 207(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 387.207(a)(2), filed a request for a declaratory order.

AXIS states that Longhorn Partnerships Pipeline (LPP), a partnership being formed by Axis, intends to convert certain crude oil pipeline facilities, which in conjunction with new pipeline facilities to be constructed, will provide common carrier transportation service for refined petroleum products from the Gulf Coast to El Paso, Texas—and through connecting pipelines into Arizona and New Mexico. The existing pipeline facilities proposed to be converted to this new pipeline are currently owned and operated by Exxon Pipeline Company (EPC). EPC currently moves crude oil on these facilities from West Texas (Crane, TX) to the Houston, Texas (Baytown, TX) area.

AXIS and its financial partner signed a letter of intent to purchase the pipeline facilities owned by EPC on June 9, 1995. That letter provides that a binding purchase and sale agreement must be entered into by a certain date. In the event that, before such date, the Commission has not declared that LPP will be allowed to include the full purchase price paid for these facilities in its cost-of-service calculations, AXIS will be unable to go forward with the contemplated project and the terms of the proposed agreement will expire. Notwithstanding that AXIS and its financial partner would continue to believe that the project would be commercially viable (apart from regulatory considerations), and notwithstanding the material benefits that the project would confer on shippers and consumers of petroleum products in the Southwest, AXIS and its financial partner would be unwilling to assume the regulatory risk that LPP would not be allowed to recover the purchase price paid to EPC. Accordingly, AXIS requests that this matter be handled on an expedited basis.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All